

# INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

*A TRI-STATE WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY*



## 2008 ANNUAL REPORT

NEW YORK

NEW JERSEY

CONNECTICUT



Printed on Recycled Paper

# INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

*A TRI-STATE WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY*



2008

## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

Formerly the  
INTERSTATE SANITATION COMMISSION

# INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

A TRI-STATE WATER AND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

311 WEST 43rd STREET, SUITE 201 • NEW YORK, NY 10036

PHONE: 212-582-0380 FAX: (212) 581-5719 WEB SITE: [www.iec-nynjct.org](http://www.iec-nynjct.org)

## COMMISSIONERS

### CONNECTICUT

John Atkin

Chairman

Richard Blumenthal

J. Robert Galvin, M.D., M.P.H.

Regina McCarthy

Patricia M. P. Sesto

### NEW JERSEY

Frank A. Pecci

Vice Chair

Heather Howard, J.D.

Mark N. Mauriello

John M. Scagnelli

### NEW YORK

Judith L. Baron

Vice Chair

Gerard J. Kassar

Treasurer

Donna B. Gerstle

Alexander B. "Pete" Grannis

Rose Trentman

Executive Director

Chief Engineer

Howard Golub

January 24, 2009

The Honorable M. Jodi Rell  
The Honorable Jon S. Corzine  
The Honorable David A. Paterson  
and the Legislatures of the States of  
Connecticut, New Jersey and New York

Dear Governors:

The Interstate Environmental Commission respectfully submits its report  
for the year 2008.

The members of the Commission are confident that with the continued  
support of the Governors and the members of the Legislatures, the Commission  
will maintain active and effective water and air pollution abatement programs.

Respectfully submitted,



Chairman

For the State of Connecticut



Vice Chair

For the State of New Jersey



Vice Chair

For the State of New York

# **INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

## **COMMISSIONERS**

### **CONNECTICUT**

John Atkin  
Chairman  
Richard Blumenthal  
J. Robert Galvin, M.D., M.P.H.  
Regina McCarthy  
Patricia M. P. Sesto

### **NEW JERSEY**

Frank Pecci  
Vice Chair  
Heather Howard, J.D.  
Mark N. Mauriello  
John M. Scagnelli

### **NEW YORK**

Judith L. Baron  
Vice Chair  
Gerard J. Kassar  
Treasurer  
Donna B. Gerstle  
Alexander B. "Pete" Grannis  
Rose Trentman

\*\*\*

\*\*

\*

Howard Golub  
Executive Secretary

Boris Rukovets  
Assistant Secretary

Ross Brady  
Counsel

# **INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

## **STAFF**

Howard Golub  
Executive Director  
and  
Chief Engineer

Boris Rukovets  
Assistant Executive Director  
and  
Assistant Chief Engineer

Ross Brady  
Senior Attorney

### **Engineering**

Peter L. Sattler  
Nicholas S. Protopsaltis  
Brian J. Mitchell

### **Laboratory**

Pradyot Patnaik  
Evelyn R. Powers  
Inna Golberg

### **Field Investigation**

William M. McCormack  
Caitlyn P. Nichols  
Gillian M. Spencer  
Kristen J. Barshatzky  
Alexia T. Barlikas  
James A. Bunkiewicz

### **Administrative**

Carmen L. Leon  
Elizabeth M. Morgan  
Fay L. Lau  
Peter M. Del Vicario

## **STATEMENT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

As I near the end of my second term as Chairman of the Interstate Environmental Commission (IEC), I am proud of the environmental progress we have attained in so many areas. The Commission continues to move forward with strong programs covering interstate coordination, water testing and monitoring, response to emergencies, regulation and enforcement, research, and a full slate of activities to address public education and public outreach. The Commission is an integral part of a network of regional and multi-agency partnerships addressing environmental matters throughout the tri-state area.

I am honored being the Chairman of the sole environmental agency in the Tri-State Area with both regulatory and enforcement powers. The Commission has continued its commitment and involvement with the Long Island Sound Study and the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary Program, including special intensive surveys in support of these programs. We just completed our 18th year of monitoring in Long Island Sound to document dissolved oxygen conditions, our 8th year of monitoring for pathogens in the New York-New Jersey Harbor Complex, our 13th year of sampling shellfish harvesting waters in the New Jersey portion of western Raritan Bay, and our 6th year of ambient and point source sampling to determine the causes of bacterial contamination in the Byram River. Along with these programs, we are continually striving to build and reinforce lines of communication with the US Environmental Protection Agency and our three member States' environmental and health departments.

I am gratified that the IEC again participated in World Water Monitoring Day which has become an annual event that takes place each fall. This was the sixth annual World Water Monitoring Day, an event that IEC has participated in since it was started in 2002 as National Water Monitoring Day. The Commission joined with thousands of organizations and people around the world to collect water quality data that all the participants input to an international data bank. This international monitoring partnership of the public; state, interstate and local governments; federal agencies and countries throughout the world is an excellent example of the importance of involvement at all levels to protect the environment. It is essential that we have participation at all levels — starting at the grassroots — to care for the natural resources that benefit us locally. I am proud that we have been a part of this effort since its inception.

I strongly feel that it is incumbent upon us to make clear that it is the IEC's responsibility to encourage and promote interstate cooperation nationally. To that end, we have established a respected position among interstate commissions whose jurisdictions that reach from Canada to the Mississippi River. Exchanging ideas and cooperating with our


fellow interstate commissions facilitates our ability to stay fully informed on all matters affecting the quality of our interstate waters and, consequently, to quickly react to potential sources of pollution within our region.

We continue to be active in seeking grants to supplement our traditional state and federal funding. I am pleased to report that in addition to being awarded several research grants, we are involved in important cutting edge topics of the moment, including pharmaceuticals and green technology. The staff is to be commended for their perseverance for successfully obtaining grants in a highly competitive atmosphere for limited research funds.

Additionally, the broad scope of the Commission's outreach programs include meetings with key legislators, as well as appearances before citizen groups, student internship programs, and public education campaigns. Some of these efforts are conducted solely by IEC and others in conjunction with interstate commissions and professional pollution control organizations. As a part of these efforts, our annual boat inspection trip was once again a most rewarding success. This year's trip covered the upper East River and the New York and Connecticut waters of western Long Island Sound; the trip afforded the Commission's members and staff the opportunity to meet and exchange ideas and points of view with many of you. This is one example of the wide range of the Commission's outreach programs, and I continue to be fully supportive of IEC's strong public education programs and campaigns to further communication and intensify public awareness of water quality and environmental issues.

This Annual Report offers a full review of the wide scope of the Commission's programs and activities, including an update of our legal activities in the areas of regulation and litigation. I invite you to visit our website, [www.iec-nynjct.org](http://www.iec-nynjct.org), for continuing reports and back issues of Annual Reports. This year's Report will soon be available on our website.

On a personal note, I want to sincerely thank my fellow Commissioners for affording me the opportunity to once again chair this vital agency that is so important to our region's environment. I also want to express my gratitude to the Commission's staff for their support and for carrying out our mission and responsibilities in a most professional manner.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Atkin". The signature is stylized with a large "J" and "A".

John Atkin  
Chairman

# CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
WATER POLLUTION	3
AIR POLLUTION	5
II. WATER POLLUTION	6
GENERAL	6
CONNECTICUT WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS	8
NEW JERSEY WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS	14
NEW YORK WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS	22
AMBIENT AND EFFLUENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING	61
SPECIAL INTENSIVE SURVEYS	64
2008 AMBIENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING IN LONG ISLAND SOUND TO DOCUMENT DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONDITIONS	64
2007-2008 MICROBIOLOGICAL SURVEYS IN THE SHELLFISH HARVESTING WATERS OF WESTERN RARITAN BAY	73
2008 AMBIENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING FOR PATHOGENS IN THE HUDSON RIVER FROM YONKERS TO BEAR MOUNTAIN	77
PATHOGEN TRACK DOWN ON THE BYRAM RIVER	81
WORLD WATER MONITORING DAY	83
HARBOR-WIDE WATER QUALITY MONITORING ACTIVITIES IN THE NEW YORK-NEW JERSEY HARBOR COMPLEX	84
2008 BOAT INSPECTION TRIP	84
REGIONAL BYPASS WORKGROUP	86
CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 305(b) WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT STORET	89
PROPOSED REVISIONS TO DISSOLVED OXYGEN SURFACE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR MARINE WATERS	91
NATIONAL ESTUARY PROGRAM	91



	<u>PAGE</u>
COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS AND MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS	93
PUBLIC EDUCATION AND OUTREACH	95
III. AIR POLLUTION	98
GENERAL	98
AIR POLLUTION COMPLAINTS	98
OZONE HEALTH MESSAGE SYSTEM	100
REGIONAL AIR POLLUTION WARNING SYSTEM	100
IV. LEGAL ACTIVITIES	101
MITIGATING NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF NEW YORK CITY'S OPERATION AT THE FRESH KILLS LANDFILL	102
ADDRESSING NITROGEN AND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW IMPACTS OF SOME NEW YORK CITY SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS	104
APPENDIX A - WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS DISCHARGING INTO INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT WATERS - 2008	A-1
APPENDIX B - DISCONTINUANCE OF SANITARY FLOW	B-1
APPENDIX C - INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION FINANCIAL STATEMENT FY 2008	C-1
APPENDIX D - GLOSSARY	D-1

## ILLUSTRATIONS

		<u>PAGE</u>
MAP	Wastewater Treatment Plants in the Interstate Environmental District	7
PHOTO	UV Disinfection Contact Tanks Under Construction, Grass Island WWTP, Fairfield County, Connecticut	9
PHOTO	Final Clarifier Under Construction, Stratford WPCF, Fairfield County, Connecticut	13
PHOTO	Long Island Sound, August 2008	14
PHOTO	Co-generation Cooling System, Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties, Union County, New Jersey	17
PHOTO	Retrofit Step Feed BNR, Bowery Bay WPCP, Queens County, New York	24
PHOTO	Complete Upgraded Facility with UV Disinfection, Huntington Sewer District, Suffolk County, New York	32
PHOTO	Retrofit Step Feed BNR, Hunts Point WPCP, Bronx County, New York	34
PHOTO	Newly Installed Belt Filter Press, SCSD #3, Suffolk County, New York	52
PHOTO	Retrofit Step Feed BNR, Tallman Island WPCP, Queens County, New York	54
PHOTO	Main Facility Located on Hendrix Creek, 26th Ward WPCP, Kings County, New York	56
PHOTO	Retrofit Step Feed BNR, Wards Island WPCP, New York County, New York	58
PHOTO	Crane-Barge Northbound on the Hudson River, June 2008	60
PHOTO	R/V Natale Colosi	61
PHOTO	New York Power Authority-Poletti Project	62
PHOTO	Eastbound on the East River, 2008	63
MAP	2008 Long Island Sound Study - Ambient Water Quality Sampling Stations	65
TABLE	2008 Long Island Sound Study Sampling Stations	66
PHOTO	Secchi Disc	67
CHARTS	Long Island Sound Study — 2008 Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring - Surface and Bottom Waters — Pie Charts	69
CHARTS	Long Island Sound Study — 2007-2008 Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring - Surface and Bottom Waters — Pie Charts	70

		<u>PAGE</u>
CHARTS	Long Island Sound Study — 2008 Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring - Average and Range of All Bottom and Surface Waters Sampled — Profiles	72
CHARTS	Long Island Sound Study — 2008 Monthly Bottom Water Temperature Profiles in Long Island Sound	74
MAP	2007-2008 Sampling Stations for Microbiological Surveys in the Shellfish Harvesting Waters of Western Raritan Bay	75
TABLE	2007-2008 Sampling Station Locations for Microbiological Surveys in the Shellfish Harvesting Waters of Western Raritan Bay	76
TABLE	Ambient Water Monitoring for Pathogens in the Hudson River	78
MAP	2008 Ambient Water Monitoring for Pathogens in the Hudson River from Yonkers to Bear Mountain	79
TABLE	2008 Sampling Station Locations for Ambient Water Monitoring for Pathogens in the Hudson River from Yonkers to Bear Mountain	80
MAP	Pathogen Track Down on the Byram River	82
MAP	2008 Boat Inspection Trip	85
CHART	2008 Bypass Events - Common Causes	88
CHART	2008 Bypass Events Per Waterway	88
TABLE	2008 MS4 Dry Weather Inspections	96
PHOTO	Bayonne, NJ, High School Marine Science Students Aboard the R/V Natale Colosi, May 2008	97
CHART	Air Pollution Complaints, 1982-2008	99
CHART	Communities Impacted by Odors, 1982-2008	99

## **I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The 21st century has been marked by issues of national security, global warming and sea level rise, fuel costs, home mortgage industry collapse, and world financial market uncertainties. These are just a few of the realities facing the population. Many of the stresses of population and industrialization can be generally assessed in terms of use impairments which have measurable social and economic effects. Although not often in the headlines because it is considered less than sensational news, water quality improvements, especially in this tri-state region, have been a success story.

Returning to the water is a national focus. The Clean Water Act, established in 1972, set a national goal to restore and maintain the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of the waters of the United States. This year is the 72nd anniversary of the Interstate Environmental Commission (IEC) — an agency with a mandate to protect this Tri-State Region's waters long before the creation of state and national environmental entities, and before national standards were established.

The Commission is both delighted and gratified to report the great improvements in water quality throughout the Region where the majority of the waters are fishable and swimmable. However, the region still faces problems — some of which are local, and some more far-reaching. Hypoxia, sediment contamination, pathogens, habitat loss, combined sewer overflows (CSOs), municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), atmospheric deposition, invasive species, global warming, impacts on living marine resources, land use issues and public education have all been identified as priority areas of concern. All of these issues have socio-economic impacts throughout the Region.

Following the recommendation of the Tri-State Treaty Commission, the Tri-State Compact establishing the District and the Commission was enacted in 1936, with the Consent of Congress. The Commission has an overall responsibility of protecting the environment by viewing the District from a regional, impartial and unbiased perspective. Whereas each state deals with issues within its own borders, the Commission can and does cross state lines. The Commission strives for interstate cooperation and coordination and to harmonize water quality standards, regulations and requirements throughout its District. The Commission's mandate is as important today as it was in the 1930s.

The mandates of the Commission are governed by the Tri-State Compact, Statutes, and the IEC's Water Quality Regulations. In addition to its mandates in water pollution, the capabilities and benefits of the Commission as a regional agency were also recognized when the IEC's interstate air pollution program began in 1962, and were further reinforced in 1970 when the Commission was designated as the coordinating and planning agency for the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Air Quality Control Region. As the Commission plans to meet its mandates and goals for the future, IEC must adapt to a variety of conditions, but must also rely on good science and sound engineering as an integral part of the decision-making process. The Metropolitan Area contains a world class harbor that is able to support a wide spectrum of commercial and recreational industries and

activities.

In October 2000, the name of this agency was officially changed from the Interstate Sanitation Commission to the *Interstate Environmental Commission (IEC)*. The name change not only brought the Commission into the 21st Century, it more accurately reflects the nature of the Commission's mandates, mission and responsibilities that embrace a broad range of programs and activities that include air pollution, public involvement and education, and regulatory compliance. Nonetheless, the IEC's continuing emphasis is on water quality — an area in which the Commission is a regulatory and enforcement agency. The Commission's website — **[www.iec-nynjct.org](http://www.iec-nynjct.org)** — contains information on the IEC, including recent annual reports and other reports, and useful links to other appropriate websites. This Annual Report will be available on the Commission's website.

The IEC's mission is to protect and enhance environmental quality through cooperation, regulation, coordination, and mutual dialogue between government and citizens in the Tri-State Region. As an interstate agency, the Commission views the Region as an environmental entity and is in a unique position to take the lead on regional issues. IEC can and does cross state boundaries in an impartial and unbiased manner. By interacting with other agencies and interstate commissions, challenges and successes are being shared to better address specific mandates. The staff continues to fulfill IEC's technical and administrative responsibilities within the limitations of the current resources.

The Commission's programs are geared to address specific environmental deficiencies and/or to assure compliance with the Tri-State Compact and the Commission's Water Quality Regulations. The programs are designed for gathering the information necessary for enforcement actions, opening waters for commercial and recreational shellfishing, opening waters for swimming, developing water quality and/or effluent criteria, determine immediate environmental conditions, responding to environmental emergencies, and other needs that may arise.

The Commission has contributed to the many significant improvements in the Region's waters. IEC's adoption of its year-round disinfection requirements was instrumental in opening thousands of acres of shellfish beds year-round since 1989. There have been fewer beach closings during the summer bathing seasons due to elevated levels of coliform bacteria, and no closures due to floatables for the past eight years. In 1997, the Commission amended its regulations to require mandatory notification to the IEC of planned sewage bypasses. This was done as an effort to eliminate or, at a minimum, lessen the impacts from planned sewage bypasses. Additionally, in conjunction with its three member states' environmental and health departments, US EPA and NYC DEP, the Commission coordinated and spearheaded the effort to have a computer model developed to predict the impacts of unplanned sewage bypasses on the area's beaches and shellfish beds. As part of this effort, regional notification protocols were developed and have been in place since the 1998 bathing season. This program has proved to be extremely effective and is an excellent example of regional cooperation and coordination among many agencies. During early 2008, the Commission completed assembling the funding mechanism, as well as disseminated the software for an updated model to address limitations of spatial assessment and recently promulgated federal regulations. To

address the need for comprehensive monitoring throughout the New York-New Jersey Harbor Complex and its tributaries, IEC has taken a leadership role in the development of harbor-wide monitoring programs in an effort to address data gaps and share water quality data. The final report was distributed by the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary Program.

The Commission continues to put great emphasis and a high priority on public involvement, education and outreach activities. This includes testifying at public hearings and meetings on various issues of concern; lecturing at local schools, colleges and to community groups on subjects of environmental concern and Commission activities; participating in seminars and forums involving environmental professionals and the general public; and contributing to various outreach documents for congressional and public awareness. For many years, Commission staff has had hands-on interactions with volunteer citizen water quality monitoring groups.

This report provides a record of the water and air pollution activities of the Interstate Environmental Commission for the period December 2007 through November 2008. To address the environmental problems within its area of jurisdiction, the Commission has focused on technical assistance, enforcement, engineering, planning, laboratory analysis, ambient and effluent water quality monitoring, statistical analysis, coordination, oversight, and legislative/public outreach and education.

## WATER POLLUTION

The Commission's water pollution abatement programs continue to focus on the effective coordination of approaches to regional problems. Opening additional areas for swimming and shellfishing remains a high Commission priority. The IEC's programs include enforcement; minimization of the effects of combined sewers, storm sewers, and municipal separate storm sewer systems; participation in the National Estuary Program; public involvement, education and outreach; control of floatables; compliance monitoring; pretreatment of industrial wastes; toxics contamination; sludge disposal; dredged material disposal; and monitoring the ambient waters — especially with regard to opening new areas for swimming and shellfishing.

Planning and construction is under way to provide water pollution control and abatement from municipal and industrial wastewaters discharging into the IEC's District waters. It is estimated that over \$12.5 billion has been allocated by municipalities and bond act dispersements in the District for 292 projects recently completed, in progress, and planned for the future.

The Commission remains actively involved with the Long Island Sound Study and the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary Program — both part of the National Estuary Program. IEC participates on the Management Committees, implementation and planning teams, and on various workgroups for these studies. With the Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plans for the LISS and the HEP in place, IEC remains involved with the workgroups that are dealing with total maximum daily loads for pathogens, nutrients and toxics. The Commission remains an active participant in the process for public involvement events and products, such as volunteer monitoring

workshops, newsletters, tracking reports and fact sheets. The Commission has been involved with research proposal committees, science and technical advisory committees and interactions with citizen advisory committees throughout the District. In this regard, IEC is a member of the New Jersey Water Monitoring Coordination Council.

IEC's research vessel, the R/V Natale Colosi, is ready to sail year-round. Commissioned 20 years ago, 2008 proved to be a very active year of water quality monitoring. This was IEC's 18th consecutive year as a participant in the multi-agency intensive survey in Long Island Sound to continue to document dissolved oxygen conditions. For the 13th year in a row, at the request of NJ DEP, the Commission collected water quality samples needed by NJ DEP to check the sanitary conditions of the shellfish waters of western Raritan Bay. In support of NY-NJ HEP and NYS DEC's Hudson River Estuary Management Program, IEC completed its third year of a pathogens monitoring program on the Hudson River. Since 2003, IEC has conducted pathogens trackdown investigations on the Byram River, a NY-CT interstate waterway. IEC coordinates its compliance monitoring program with its three member states' environmental departments, as well as with US EPA. This program consists of the Commission regularly sampling waste discharges from municipal and industrial permittees throughout the District. These and other sampling programs are detailed in this report.

For the 11th consecutive year, the Commission took the lead and coordinated the efforts of the Regional Bypass Workgroup which is comprised of 16 federal, interstate, state, county and local agencies. The Workgroup maintained notification protocols to inform each other of unplanned bypasses and, based upon modeling software especially developed to predict the effects of those bypasses, determined if area beaches and shellfish beds should be closed to protect the health of the public. During the 11-month period ending November 30th, a combination of 254 raw sewage bypasses, illegal connections, and treatment reductions occurred.

The IEC's day-to-day legal activities, as well as involvement in several legal actions, continued this past year. Those actions are detailed in the Legal Activities section of this report and are highlighted as follows:

- continued participation as a party in an administrative hearing requested by New York City regarding nitrogen and combined sewer overflows in the reissued permits for New York City's water pollution control plants.
- continued involvement and oversight of the Consent Orders designed to prevent debris from escaping from the Fresh Kills Landfill located on Staten Island.
- enforcement of IEC's Water Quality Regulations and ensured inclusion of its regulations in discharge permits.

The Commission again took an active role in the annual World Water Monitoring Day. Water quality monitoring took place in a coordinated effort around the globe between September

18th and October 18th. The Commission joined thousands of volunteers, agencies and countries around the world to sample area waterways and report their findings. Aboard the IEC's research vessel, nine sampling stations were monitored for a variety of parameters in the East River and Long Island Sound and the results were input to an international data base.

The IEC laboratory has been located on the campus of the College of Staten Island (CSI) since late 1993. In addition to its day-to-day operations, IEC's laboratory personnel continue to collaborate with CSI on environmental projects of mutual concern. The IEC laboratory is certified by NJ DEP, NYS DOH and CT DPH and also follows US FDA procedures for sampling in shellfish waters. The Commission's laboratory is also certified under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program.

IEC's library holdings and archives continue to be updated and digitized in order to provide an accessible regional depository of water and air quality related subjects. The Commission's current and historical holdings have been sought and made available to the academic community, consulting engineering firms, attorneys, environmental and public awareness groups, government agencies across the nation, and international entities.

### AIR POLLUTION

The Commission's air pollution monitoring and response programs remain in place. IEC's 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week answering service (718-761-5677) remains active and IEC personnel investigate as many complaints as its resources will allow. IEC also forwards complaints to the appropriate enforcement and health agencies.

During the 12-month period from October 2007 through September 2008, the Commission received a minimal number of air pollution complaints. As it has been in the past, all of the calls originated from Staten Island, New York. Citizen complaints have proven to be an invaluable source of firsthand information about poor air quality; accurate odor descriptions could lead to the discovery of the emissions sources.

IEC continued its role as coordinator of the High Air Pollution Alert and Warning System for the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Air Quality Control Region; conditions during the past year did not warrant activation of the system.

The Commission again participated in the Ozone Health Message System to alert the public of unhealthy ambient air conditions. Based on information received from its member states, the Commission disseminated the majority of 27 health messages — 27 for ozone alerts — between May 23 and September 4, 2008, to the appropriate government environmental and health agencies throughout the region.



## **II. WATER POLLUTION**

### **GENERAL**


Within the Interstate Environmental District in 2008, over \$12.5 billion was allocated for 292 water pollution control projects which were either completed, in progress, or planned for the future. These monies were allocated in the following manner: over \$108 million for 38 completed projects, more than \$8.85 billion for 178 projects in progress, and more than \$3.56 billion for 76 future projects. These expenditures are being used for engineering studies, pilot projects and experiments; CSO abatement projects; stormwater remediation; land-based alternatives for sewage sludge disposal; construction of new facilities; and upgrading and/or expanding existing facilities in order to provide adequately treated wastewater for discharge into District waterways. These figures do not include the monies spent by and committed to pollution control by industries.

Adequate infrastructure is a necessity for maintaining and improving receiving water quality, as well as for minimizing use impairments. These tremendous expenditures on the infrastructure have resulted in significant water quality improvements throughout the District over these past years. This is truly a success story for the Region.

With secondary treatment virtually in place throughout the Interstate Environmental District since 1994, control of the Region's combined sewer overflows, stormwater runoff, and municipal separate storm sewer systems is necessary in order to achieve further significant water quality improvements. Communities throughout the District have ongoing CSO control programs and projects that include sewer separation, swirl concentrators, booming and skimming, in-line storage and off-line storage. The National Estuary Programs in the District have identified major problems affecting water quality which are exacerbated by anthropogenic impacts, namely, global warming, nutrient enrichment, historic sediment contamination, pathogens, habitat loss and floatables. These issues must be addressed in order to maintain and improve commercial and recreational maritime activities, living marine resources, land use, and habitat protection and remediation.

The Commission obtained the information on water pollution control projects presented in this section from officials in the representative State and local governmental agencies, sewerage authorities, consulting engineering firms, and national depositories of water quality data and industrial/municipal effluent data. The format used in this Report is designed to provide background, as well as the current status of construction, engineering studies and experiments, pilot projects, and related environmental conditions within the associated drainage basins. The information in this section is that which was available and accurate through November 2008.

A map of the Interstate Environmental District on the following page shows the locations of wastewater treatment plants which discharge into Interstate Environmental District waterways, the type of treatment and upgrade status of each plant, and the Commission's water quality classifications. Additional information on each plant is listed in Appendix A.



## CONNECTICUT WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS

In the State of Connecticut, there are presently 12 water pollution control facilities located in two counties that discharge to the receiving waters of the Interstate Environmental District. Combined the design flow of these facilities is about 183.5 MGD. These facilities are inspected several times a year during unannounced visits by Commission staff to check compliance with the existing State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits. These permits contain state and IEC requirements and effluent limitations.

### Bridgeport - East Side and West Side Plants, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

#### Project in Progress

A multi-year phased construction CSO improvement program has been ongoing since 1991 in the 3,880 acres that comprise the Bridgeport drainage basins. This is a dual-phase improvement program. Phase I has been considered 99% complete since 2003 at an estimated final cost of \$32 million. Phase II design and subsequent construction is estimated at \$80 million. All work is planned for completion during 2018; Phase II is in the design phase. CSOs which discharge into Black Rock and Bridgeport Harbors will be eliminated and the remaining CSOs will be monitored by a remote telemetering system.

#### Future Projects

Planned engineering studies for these drainage basins include nutrient enhancements; CSO capture, design and treatment; and Long-term Control Plan (LTCP) development. Chemical chlorination facilities will be constructed at the East Side plant during 2009 with a 12-month agenda. No cost estimates were available.

### Fairfield Water Pollution Control Facility, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

#### Completed Project

The compost building was replaced with a new stainless steel structure including all necessary supporting equipment. The project had a final cost of \$4 million; the facility came on-line during June 2007.

#### Project in Progress

Fairfield is currently working on a 5-phase plan to remove infiltration and inflow. The town has been geographically divided into 5 sections — each section representing a phase of the project. A sewer system evaluation survey of section I (phase I), was completed at a final cost of approximately \$200,000. The SSES for section II (phase II) is scheduled

for 2009; estimated costs are \$225,000. Based on the findings of the SSES for section I, rehabilitation of the sewer lines will commence during February 2009. Estimates for section I are \$180,000.

### Grass Island Waste Water Treatment Plant, Greenwich, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

#### Completed Projects

Upgrade designs were completed for pump stations A, D, Eugene Street and Willowmere. Construction began on July 13, 2007, and were operational during the 2008 spring season. These upgrades were estimated to cost about \$1.449 million. The Ivy Street and Ballwood pump stations' force main replacements began on May 8, 2007. The cast iron pipe was replaced with 958 LF of 6-inch diameter (6"Ø) C-900 and 802 LF of 8-inch diameter (8"Ø) C-900, respectively. Operational during July 2007, estimated costs were \$390,000 and are now 100% complete. The Bruce Park force main installation minus punch list items is complete.

#### Projects in Progress

This 12.5 MGD secondary activated sludge plant is operating under federal and State Orders to evaluate force mains, implement a collection system maintenance program, upgrade the disinfection process with UV, perform an I/I study, evaluate force mains and implement the findings of the ongoing SSES. The facility is in compliance with all Order dates.

#### GRASS ISLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT FAIRFIELD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT



UV DISINFECTION CONTACT TANKS UNDER CONSTRUCTION

*Photo Courtesy of Grass Island WWTP*

Asset management planning plant-wide is complete; plans for the collection system are 75% complete. Installation of a new UV disinfection system, under way during October 2007, is now 90% complete. The re-estimated \$3.5 million project was operational during November 2008.

Phase II of the Sewer System Rehabilitation program is a Greenwich-wide continuation of Phase I which was completed during 2005. Under way since September 2006, components of Phase II (98% complete) include pointing repairs/manhole raising (\$598,300) and sewer lining/manhole sealing (\$929,945) which are trenchless repairs. The South Water pump station relief sewer is in the preliminary design phase. The force mains associated with the South Water and Den Lane pump stations are being installed and are currently 90% complete (\$1.2 million). The main facility headworks are presently being installed; costs are re-estimated at \$1.5 million.

Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority - East Shore Water Pollution Abatement Facility (formerly the New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority), Connecticut (New Haven County)

Completed Projects

Several components of the GNHWPCA and the City of New Haven Long-Term CSO Control Plan were finalized during 2008. The phased work included the Truman School CSO storage tank which has a capacity of 5.5 MG (\$18 million), the reconstruction of the Morris Cove pump station (\$5.5 million) and the Welton Street pump station and sewer reconstruction in Hamden (\$2.4 million).

Projects in Progress

The Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority was formed during 2005. This regional wastewater authority encompasses the towns of East Haven, Hamden, New Haven and Woodbridge. Presently, a facility plan is under way (\$500,000) to address design, construction schedules and costs for low level nitrogen removal, as well as upgrades in conjunction with increasing wet weather capacity as per the Long-term Control Plan for CSOs.

Sewer separation construction will continue until combined sewers discharging to New Haven Harbor are eliminated for up to a 2-year storm through storage and sewer separation. This work will not be completed until approximately 2019 at a re-estimated cost of \$400 million; overall this work is 25% complete. Phased components showing progress include the Prospect Street sewer separation (\$20 million), CSO flow monitoring (\$1.5 million), and tide gate improvements (\$1.2 million).

## Future Projects

The Long-Term CSO Control Plan, which was completed and approved in 2003, is an ongoing 15-year program. There are several components planned to be completed during late 2008 and 2009. The State Street I/I improvements in the town of Hamden will incur costs of \$2.3 million (April 2009). The East Shore WPAF with its associated pump stations — East Street and Boulevard (October 2008) , East Shore (December 2009) — will be upgraded to maximize wet weather flows. The re-estimated cost for this phase is \$90 million. The Lombard East/James Street sewer separation (\$9.2 million) is being planned. A harbor crossing relocation of twin forty-two inch diameter (42" Ø) force mains (\$10 million) is also being planned, as well as the beneficial reuse of waste heat from the sewage sludge incinerator to produce steam to turn a turbine generator which will produce 4.4 million KWH per year (\$5.5 million). The State Street and Union Avenue pump station improvements are scheduled for 2011 (\$20 million). Planned to be under way during 2009 are low level BNR capabilities. This project will incur re-estimated costs of \$45 million.

### Milford - Beaverbrook, Connecticut (New Haven County)

#### Project in Progress

This facility is being upgraded to meet LISS III nitrogen reduction targets. The additional removal will be accomplished by adding more tankage and implementing waste thickening. Construction began during November 2006 and is planned to be operational during January 2009. Re-estimated to cost \$12 million, this project is 99% complete. This facility is operating under federal and State Consent Orders to reduce nitrogen loadings and attain permitted effluent limitations and requirements. Refer to the Milford-Housatonic facility write-up for additional information.

### Milford - Housatonic, Connecticut (New Haven County)

#### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under federal and State Consent Orders to reduce nitrogen loadings and attain permitted effluent limitations and requirements. Facility and design plans are complete for this secondary 8.0 MGD plant which discharges to the Housatonic River. Construction began during November 2006 and is now 90% complete. This upgrading and expansion includes new tankage to enable the facility to increase flow capacity to 12 MGD. It is anticipated that operations will begin during May 2009. Total cost estimates have been re-estimated at \$31 million.

Two pump stations — West Avenue and Gulf Pond — will be upgraded with associated gravity sewers and force mains. The collection system designs are complete; construction and installations began during December 2006 and will coincide with the

timetables for the main facility. Presently, these upgrades are 90% complete. Refer to the Milford-Beaverbrook facility write-up for additional information.

#### Norwalk Waste Water Treatment Plant, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

##### Projects in Progress

This is a 20 MGD secondary activated sludge plant that is located on the Norwalk River which has a confluence with Long Island Sound. An engineering study is well under way to address low level nitrogen reductions (\$200,000). A multi-focus evaluation is addressing CSO and wet weather treatment, as well as capacity issues. This evaluation is re-estimated at \$3.8 million. A third study is addressing SCADA instrumentation needs and remote control logistics for plant-wide operations (\$122,500).

##### Future Projects

Scheduled to begin during September 2009, CSO remediation on the Norwalk River will have positive affects to the river including floatables and pathogen reductions. At the main facility, headworks replacement will be conducted concurrently. Combined, these projects are estimated to cost \$45 million and are anticipated to be operational during December 2010.

#### Stamford Water Pollution Control Authority, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

##### Completed Project

A solids drying and beneficial reuse facility was on-line during December 2007 and is now 100% complete. A final cost estimate of \$17 million was incurred. The Stamford facility, the fifth largest municipal treatment plant in Connecticut, discharges to the east branch of Stamford Harbor in western Long Island Sound and provides treatment to the greater Stamford metropolitan area.

#### Stratford Water Pollution Control Facility, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

##### Project in Progress

Capacity expansion of this 11.5 MGD secondary treatment plant in conjunction with a facility-wide upgrade, was approved by the Town of Stratford and CT DEP during 2005, and under way during October 2006. The total costs to complete all construction phases are estimated at \$52 million. As of September 2008, the work is 80% complete.



STRATFORD WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY  
FAIRFIELD COUNTY, CONNECTICUT



FINAL CLARIFIER UNDER CONSTRUCTION

*Photo by J. Bunkiewicz, IEC*

West Haven, Connecticut (New Haven County)

Project in Progress

Over 90% complete, a facility-wide upgrade design is being prepared for the main plant with CT DEP's approval.

Future Projects

This facility is operating under a 1990 Stipulated Judgement that was amended in 1992. The Stipulated Judgement requires collection system, pump station and main facility upgrades. This facility is in compliance with Consent Order compliance dates. The Consent Order schedule requires substantial construction completion during 2009, with operational levels attained during 2011.

Facility plans that are under way for the 12.5 MGD secondary treatment plant and the collection system upgrades have determined that construction costs are re-estimated at \$62 million. This modernization will incorporate BNR capabilities. A construction start-up date is planned for 2009 and has a 2-year scope of work.



## Westport, Connecticut (Fairfield County)

### Completed Projects

A complete facility upgrade with nitrogen reduction capabilities was operational during November 2007. The new facility incorporates a four-stage oxidation ditch reactor system with an anoxic reactor, clarifiers and UV disinfection. Final construction costs were \$29 million. During the phased in start-up of completed treatment units, UV facilities were on-line during 2006 and final construction was completed during June 2008.

Under way since October 2006, a residential gravity sewer extension was installed in the Hale Court neighborhood of Westport which includes Drumlin Road, Lilac Lane, Inwood Lane and Hales Road. Located south of the I-95 corridor, the project is estimated to cost \$500,000.

### Future Projects

Additional residential gravity sewer extensions are planned for the Imperial Avenue/Keyser Road vicinity. The 6-month installation is anticipated to be operational during December 2009 and incur costs of \$450,000.



LONG ISLAND SOUND, AUGUST 2008

*Photo by D. Sattler*

## NEW JERSEY WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS

There are nine New Jersey sewerage authorities located in five counties that discharge to the receiving waters of the Interstate Environmental District. Combined, the design flow of these facilities is over 660 MGD. These facilities are inspected several times a year during unannounced visits by Commission staff to check compliance with the existing State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits. These permits contain state and IEC requirements and effluent limitations. There are several more authorities that own the collection systems — gravity sewers, force mains and pump stations — and convey flows to a regional facility for treatment. Since the early 1990s, the authorities in Hudson and Middlesex Counties have implemented sewer separation, CSO elimination and/or floatables capture controls.

### Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority, New Jersey (Hudson County)

#### Project in Progress

The treatment plant at the former Military Ocean Terminal (renamed the Peninsula at Bayonne Harbor) is now under the auspices of the Bayonne MUA. During 2003, the Bayonne MUA began a sewer integration project to link the Peninsula's sewer mains with those in the rest of the City. The sewage from this site will be treated at the PVSC treatment plant. Refer to the Peninsula at Bayonne Harbor write-up for additional information.

In 1990, the primary treatment facility in Bayonne, with its discharge to the Kill Van Kull, was converted to a pump station and diverted flows for treatment at the Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners' secondary treatment plant. The Bayonne Municipal Utilities Authority received a \$3.6 million (eligible project cost) low interest loan in 2002 from the New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust for the installation of an additional two miles of gravity sewer, as well as for surveying and relining of applicable existing sewers. The Authority is receiving a grant under the Wastewater Treatment Fund in the amount of \$53,211 for the combined sewer system (partial) sewer separation work. No further updates were available at press time.

### Bergen County Utilities Authority-Edgewater, New Jersey (Bergen County)

#### Completed Projects

During 2004, a study was initiated to determine the potential cost-savings that would result from merging the operations of the Bergen County Utilities Authority (BCUA) with the Edgewater Municipal Utilities Authority. The BCUA treatment facilities discharge to the Hackensack River which are outside of the Interstate Environmental District. The results of the study indicated that a merger was feasible and cost effective. To this end, during October 2007, a merger was completed. The BCUA is comprised of 46 municipalities

servicing a population of 536,000 people.

#### Project in Progress

Under design, SCADA and security improvements will be implemented at the main facility. Construction costs are estimated at \$8 million and a completion date of June 2010 is anticipated.

#### Future Project

Scheduled to begin during June 2010, an extension of the plant outfall from the bulkhead to the bulkhead line is planned. This is the distance from the landside to the end of the pierhead in the Hudson River. The cost is estimated at \$7 million with an approximate operational date of July 2011.

#### Joint Meeting of Essex and Union Counties (Edward P. Decher Wastewater Treatment Facility), New Jersey (Union County)

#### Completed Projects

All construction projects at this facility are in various stages of completion. A main sewage pump rehabilitation includes new VFDs, impellers, motors, and a programmable logic controller system. This work is estimated to cost \$3.5 million and was completed and operational during January 2008. The new analytical laboratory and office building, estimated to cost approximately \$7 million, was complete during May 2008 and operational during October 2008.

#### Projects in Progress

A new 3.2 MW co-generation facility will utilize anaerobic digester gas to produce electricity and is re-estimated to cost \$17 million. The facility is presently 70% complete. An anticipated operational start-up is December 2008. An addition to the SCADA network will be the installation of a plant-wide video surveillance system. A cost estimate was made in the amount of \$350,000.

#### Future Project

Planned for the main plant site from March through June 2009 are plant access and parking improvements, plant-wide pump and valve replacements and service water system upgrades. An estimate for these projects is \$10 million.

JOINT MEETING OF ESSEX AND UNION COUNTIES  
UNION COUNTY, NEW JERSEY



CO-GENERATION COOLING SYSTEM

*Photo Courtesy of Joint Meeting*

Linden Roselle Sewerage Authority, New Jersey (Union County)

Completed Project

The Authority conducted restoration and preventive maintenance on all facility buildings. Where needed, roofs, coping, repointing and sealing were performed, as well as the installation of a new front gate. The final cost was over \$363,000 and was completed on February 19, 2008.

Projects in Progress

Phase IV of the New Jersey Harbor Dischargers Group (NJHDG) PCB Trackdown effort was completed in 2006; Linden Roselle is the lead authority. This long-term effort involved the evaluation of methodologies for tracking down possible sources of PCBs within sewage collection systems and, specifically, within the Linden Roselle collection system. After a presentation of the Phase IV report and discussion with the NY-NJ Harbor Estuary Program's Toxic Workgroup, Phase V has been initiated. All funding was provided by the members of the NJHDG. The NJHDG is comprised of 10 sewerage authorities representing 12 treatment plants (10 plants discharge to the Interstate Environmental District) which discharge to the New Jersey portion of the NY-NJ Harbor Estuary.

Future Projects

Although no installation dates were released, new electrical transformers (2) and an

upgrade of the UV system electrical controls are planned. Costs for these upgrades are estimated at between \$400,000 and \$500,000.

Middlesex County Utilities Authority (Edward J. Patton Water Reclamation Facility), New Jersey (Middlesex County)

Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under an amended Administrative Consent Order (November 15, 2005) requiring the installation of new force mains from the Edison pump station, acoustic monitoring of the 102-inch diameter (102"Ø) Sayreville relief force main, a state-wide survey of the existing pre-stressed concrete cylinder pipe (PCCP) force mains, and exploration of alternate power sources for the co-generation facility. The facility is in compliance with all Consent Order dates.

The Authority is finalizing the start-up mode for the five new indirect dryers with lime mixers (recently re-evaluated at 90% complete). These units will reduce the volume and operating costs of the sludge end product. This project is estimated to cost \$40.4 million.

Under way during December 2006, two new force main installations to convey flows from the Edison pump station are making progress. The work is re-estimated to cost \$71 million. The 60-inch diameter (60"Ø) force mains are 4,160 linear feet each and will be encased in an 170-inch (outside diameter) tunnel. An operational start-up date is planned for April 2010.

Middletown Sewerage Authority, Township of, New Jersey (Monmouth County)

Future Projects

A main facility upgrade will include the installation of fine bubble aeration diffusers. Additional tankage installations include one new aeration tank and one clarifier. This aeration system has estimated costs of \$15 million. The 24-month construction schedule is planned to begin during January 2009.

North Bergen Municipal Utilities Authority - Woodcliff Plant, New Jersey (Hudson County)

Project in Progress

Since 1995, negotiations have been ongoing between this Authority and the NJ DEP to re-rate the plant design flow from 2.9 MGD to 3.4 MGD. During 2008, this secondary treatment facility, which utilizes packed tower trickling filters, discharged an average of 3.14 MGD to the Hudson River.

North Hudson Sewerage Authority - Adams Street Wastewater Treatment Plant (formerly Hoboken), New Jersey (Hudson County)

Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Administrative Consent Order to complete the installation of solids and floatables facilities; the Order dates are presently being met.

Collection system upgrades are under way. The contracts include repair of catch basins, manholes, and sewer lines. The 18th Street pump station upgrade is estimated to cost \$3.5 million. The Authority is receiving a grant under the Wastewater Treatment Fund in the amount of \$181,982 for pump station rehabilitation and replacement of force mains.

Pump controls are being replaced in the effluent and trickling filter pump stations (\$579,000). An alternative energy project using solar panels will be incorporated into the main treatment plant. This undertaking includes new roofs and building HVAC. Final costs are estimated at \$2.737 million.

Main facility improvements include the replacement of the primary sludge pumps, new sludge transfer pumps and various controls (\$600,000). These installations have a 5-month agenda. Old wooden sewers in the Hoboken collection system will be replaced (\$2 million). CSO regulator improvements were planned for 2008 (\$860,000). The 11th Street pump station will be upgraded at an estimated cost of \$450,000. Bids were requested during January 2008 for the construction of a new wet weather pump station; estimates are expected to be approximately \$7 million. Another collection system project involves the installation of another floatables module (\$9.792 million). At press time, no further updates were available.

North Hudson Sewerage Authority - River Road Wastewater Treatment Plant (formerly West New York), New Jersey (Hudson County)

Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Administrative Consent Order to have solids and floatables modules installed in the CSOs discharging to the Hudson River. Three solids and floatables screening modules are being constructed. The first was completed (~\$7 million) and is in operation. Another module — located at Hillside Avenue in West New York, NJ — was 88% complete and is re-estimated to cost \$11.641 million as of November 2007. A planned operational date was December 28, 2007. No status updates were available during 2008.

Under way during 2007, \$660,000 was allocated to make improvements on the secondary clarifier (April 2008), install a new influent bar rack (May 2008), and perform a

fire system upgrade (December 2008). No status updates were available.

#### Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners, New Jersey (Essex County)

##### Completed Projects

Twelve (12) final clarifier drive mechanisms were installed at a final cost of \$2.629 million. A total of five (5) headworks screens were replaced at a final cost of \$1.341 million. Approximate dates for operational startups were November 2007 and January 2008, respectively.

An approximate operational date of November 2008, was scheduled for the oxygenation tanks system improvements. A final cost estimate was determined to be over \$2.696 million.

The New Jersey Harbor Dischargers Group, which is chaired by PVSC, funded a Nutrients Reduction Cost Estimation Study in support of the NY-NJ HEP Nutrients Workgroup which is developing a TMDL. This study is estimated to cost \$409,000. This effort evaluated various technology options for nitrogen and carbon removal at various levels from different types of treatment plants being operated within the NY-NJ HEP core study area. The findings will be useful as a management plan for nutrient limits to New York Harbor.

##### Projects in Progress

Under way during 2008 and nearly complete, two in-house engineering studies are evaluating the oxygen production facility (\$86,020) and the sludge process (\$302,500). The studies will recommend options for improving process efficiency.

An additional phase of an ongoing sewer rehabilitation project is estimated to cost \$8 million; this phase is awaiting final designs.

##### Future Projects

Two improvement projects are slated for 2009. At an estimate of \$25.2 million, electrical and controls upgrades at the effluent and wet weather pump stations are planned. The final clarifier skimmings mechanisms upgrade will incur costs of about \$4.22 million.

#### Peninsula at Bayonne Harbor (formerly Military Ocean Terminal), New Jersey (Hudson County)

##### Future Project

This site was formerly the Military Ocean Terminal (MOT). MOT was

decommissioned during the fall of 1998 and has now reverted to the City of Bayonne. The Bayonne Local Redevelopment Authority (BLRA) has proposed a \$32 billion plan to develop 18 million square feet of commercial and residential space. In December 2002, the complete and total transfer to the BLRA was finalized and the property was renamed The Peninsula at Bayonne Harbor. The 437-acre site is located in Upper New York Harbor. The proposed plan includes a port facility, townhouses, office space, movie production facilities, a marina, recreational facilities, and a retail complex. Part of this complex is Cruise Port - Bayonne (Cape Liberty Cruise Port - Bayonne, NJ), which is the first new cruise port in New Jersey since 1960.

During the Spring of 2003, the Bayonne MUA began the sewer integration project to link the Peninsula's sewer mains with those in the rest of the City of Bayonne. As is the case with the rest of Bayonne, the sewage from this site will be treated at the PVSC treatment plant. No status updates were available.

#### Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, New Jersey (Union County)

##### Completed Projects

Under way since 2004, a co-generation and sludge drying facility (99% complete) is essentially complete. This facility houses three engine generator sets totaling 4.6 megawatts. Eventually, prime electrical power will be provided to all treatment plant expansions. The facility was on-line during the 2008 fall season.

##### Projects in Progress

Since 2001, this facility has been operating under a State Consent Order to expand the capacity of the existing plant in order to accommodate additional wet weather flows from CSOs; this plant is in compliance with the Order dates. The milestone for substantial construction completion is between 2006 and 2008. The preparation of a comprehensive strategic plan which is addressing the major plant upgrade is now 85% complete.

Presently out to bid, expansion of this existing 40 MGD plant will be needed to accommodate wet weather flows due to the elimination of CSOs discharging to the Rahway River. The estimated \$137 million project will include new headworks, new aerated grit chambers, a new primary settling tank, two new final clarifiers, filtration, UV disinfection and effluent pumping. Influent and effluent piping modifications, as well as site facility construction, are planned. Not included in this plant-wide upgrade is the rehabilitation of the digester building facade. Completed during 2008, the facade work was estimated to cost \$364,000.



## NEW YORK WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PLANTS

In March 2008, NYS DEC released the initial report, requested by the Legislature, entitled *Wastewater Infrastructure Needs of New York State*. This report projects that over the next 20 years, \$36.2 billion will need to be invested in wastewater treatment infrastructure to maintain treatment and advance water quality. A significant portion of these dollars, almost half, is projected to be related to nonpoint source pollution control, CSO corrections, and sewer collection and conveyance systems needs. The report clearly states that this estimate is conservative and doesn't include costs related to climate change. Municipal wastewater treatment facilities built in the 1970s under the auspices of the Clean Water Act, are now past their expected useful lives and require increased investments.

On August 13, 2008, the Commission presented testimony on behalf of the New York Water Environment Association (NY WEA) at the New York State Assembly Environmental Conservation Committee's hearing on Impact of Stormwater on Water Quality. NY WEA's testimony emphasized, among other issues, recommendations on funding priorities for wastewater infrastructure.

### Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant - Disposal District No. 2, New York (Nassau County)

#### Project in Progress

Over \$6.42 million will be incurred to modify the chilled and hot water piping in the main facility. The construction is 50% complete and is re-scheduled to be in operation by 2009.

#### Future Projects

Improvements to the site lighting and raw sewage pump system, as well as a new pumping station for the dissolved air floatation facility will go to bid this winter. Cost and construction schedules have yet to be determined.

### Belgrave, New York (Nassau County)

#### Projects in Progress

Reassessed to be about 25% complete, denitrification and UV disinfection facilities are being installed at this 2 MGD trickling filter plant. The outfall is located in Little Neck Bay, an embayment in western Long Island Sound. Construction and installations are planned to be operational during 2009. The BNR upgrade will use a denitrification filter. Concurrently, additional upgrades include a new screenings building and a new secondary clarifier. This upgrade is re-estimated to cost \$6 million. During 2003, the District was

selected to receive a \$2.9 million grant for the BNR upgrade from the 1996 Clean Water/Clean Air Bond Act. In addition to that grant, in January 2006, the District was selected to receive an additional \$1,237,295 from the 1996 CW/CA Bond Act to help with the costs of the BNR and UV upgrade.

#### Blind Brook, New York (Westchester County)

##### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a 2004 State Order to meet SPDES permit limitations for total nitrogen and total residual chlorine reductions. The plant is in compliance with Order dates and is required to obtain operational levels by August 1, 2014. Collection system upgrades include installations at one pump station with alarm systems and remote monitoring of various facility functions. These installations are 50% complete and will incur costs of \$30,000. An operational start-up began during June 2008.

##### Future Project

Planned for 2009, performance maintenance to upgrade plant process equipment will incur costs of about \$9 million. All work is planned for completion during 2011.

#### Bowery Bay, New York (Queens County)

##### Projects in Progress

The Bowery Bay WPCP upgrade is a multi-phase modernization intended to improve process efficiency, reduce manpower requirements, and improve reliability. Subsequent to the project's initiation, the City entered into the NYS SPDES Administrative Consent Order - Nitrogen Reduction Agreement. Required under this Agreement, the Bowery Bay WPCP will be retrofitted to reduce nitrogen loadings into the East River and Long Island Sound. This facility is located on the upper East River south of Rikers Island.

Phase I includes replacement of most of the process equipment, as well as a complete replacement of the electrical distribution and HVAC systems throughout the plant. Process upgrades include new raw sewage pumps and drives, new preliminary scum collection and pumping equipment, replacement of return sludge and mixed sludge pumping systems, and replacement of the disinfection system. A centralized residuals handling building will be constructed to provide for collection and concentration of screenings and grit. A new plant instrumentation and control system is also being installed. The electrical distribution system improvements involve replacement of all distribution switchgear and construction of new unit substations and motor control centers. The substations and motor control centers will be sized for the eventual conversion of all plant equipment from 208V to 480V power supply. All new equipment will be 480V; all existing equipment to remain will be powered

from the existing 208V motor control centers. A complete new boiler plant will be installed in a new addition to the main building. Heating hot water distribution piping and air handling equipment throughout the plant will be replaced. Upgraded personnel, laboratory and storage facilities are also being constructed.

The scope of work for this phase has been greatly reduced in order to expedite the contractors vacating the site. Items that were deleted include the residuals handling building, main sewage pumps #3 through #8 and associated headworks, return activated sludge distribution boxes and main building modifications. The main sewage pump replacement will be completed under another contract. Work on main sewage pump #1 is almost complete, and work on main sewage pump #2 is expected to start shortly. Phase I construction has been under way since December 2000. The bid price for Phase I was \$213 million.

Phase II of the Bowery Bay WPCP upgrade addresses immediate necessary improvements to the Solids Handling Facilities. The work includes the replacement of the existing gravity thickener mechanisms. The existing plunger type sludge pumps are obsolete and will be replaced with progressive cavity type units. Grinders will be provided to minimize the possibility of clogging the new sludge heaters that will be installed downstream. Deteriorated concrete walls and walkways will be repaired and existing hand railings replaced with railings conforming to current codes. The cost of Phase II is estimated at \$37 million.

BOWERY BAY WPCP  
QUEENS COUNTY, NEW YORK



RETROFIT STEP FEED BNR  
*Photo Courtesy of NYC DEP*

Phase III of the Bowery Bay WPCP upgrade details the BNR improvements required to bring the plant into compliance with the nitrogen loading reduction Consent Order. The scope of work included in this phase will relate to additional stabilization needs. The cost for this work is estimated at \$228 million; the work commenced in November 2006.

Overall, all contract work for the three phases is 25% complete. The construction completion milestone is December 31, 2011. The current projected completion date is July 30, 2012.

The New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYC DEP) maintains a vast infrastructure comprised of 14 drainage basins. The 14 treatment facilities are sited throughout the City's five boroughs and range in capacity from 40 MGD to 310 MGD. The sludge management program consists of dewatering facilities sited at eight of the existing 14 treatment plants. The sludge is transferred from the other six plants by sea.

The 14 New York City drainage basins are serviced by a combined sewer system which has approximately 4,800 miles of sewers, 500 outfalls and 382 regulators with tide gates. Completed in 1985, the New York City Regulator Improvement Program was a study to inventory, assess and determine required improvements to the regulators, interceptors and tide gates. These elements control the amount of combined sewer flow captured for treatment, convey it to the treatment plants and prevent tidal inflow from entering the system.

A City-wide CSO abatement program has been under way since the 1980s. The objective is to eliminate or ameliorate the effects of untreated sewage which is bypassed during storm events. The first phase identified the extent to which CSOs result in the contravention of water quality standards. The second phase consists of facility plans involving the entire area of New York City, which has been divided into four major geographical areas of concern. The ultimate goals of the program are the removal of floatable and settleable materials, and the achievement of New York State standards for dissolved oxygen and coliform bacteria. These programs are being conducted in accordance with SPDES permit and/or Consent Order requirements.

Budgetary constraints necessitate the prioritizing of wastewater pollution control projects and watershed supply and enhancement projects. A 10-year capital budget was proposed during 2003. Many projects previously reported here throughout the 14 drainage basins are being eliminated, postponed or scaled down. Prioritizing wastewater treatment projects must coincide with the protection and delivery logistics of the NYC reservoir and aqueduct network which provides 1.2 billion gallons of potable water daily. Structural and nonstructural solutions are being evaluated and prioritized. Projects under way in the upper East River drainage basins are moving ahead. The East River proposals include floatables capture, holding tanks, disinfection, in-line storage and swirl concentrators. Tributaries of the East River will also have holding tanks and in-line storage. Refer to the Hunts Point and Tallman Island WPCP write-ups for additional information.

For the Jamaica Bay geographical area, holding tanks and in-line storage are the selected CSO abatement alternatives. The Spring Creek Auxiliary Water Pollution Control Plant (AWPCP) is an existing CSO detention facility with a storage volume of approximately 20.2 MG — 14.6 MG basin storage and 5.6 MG influent barrel storage. Floatables controls, dredging, in-stream aeration and sewer system improvements are being considered. The Spring Creek AWPCP is located on Spring Creek, a tributary of Jamaica Bay. Refer to the Coney Island, Jamaica and 26th Ward write-ups for information on additional CSO projects.

The other areas that are being addressed are the Inner New York Harbor and Outer New York Harbor. The plan for the Inner Harbor includes maximizing flow to the WPCPs and activation of the flushing tunnel in the Gowanus Canal which was completed during May 1999. Mechanical and dredging issues were realized soon after activation. Under way during 2008, the tunnel will be modernized, the pump station will be upgraded, dredging will be done in the canal and area sewers will be improved. Costs are re-estimated at \$203 million with phased completion through 2013.

Outer Harbor proposals include maximizing flow to the WPCPs and reducing CSOs and dry weather flows in Coney Island Creek. Consent Order elements include regulator improvements and in-line storage. Estimates are that \$45.5 million will be expended through 2010. Other open water elements include the Hannah Street pump station bypass, improvements at Wards Island and additional regulator improvements. These items are estimated to cost \$286 million.

The NYC DEP conducted 26 studies on waterbodies throughout the New York Harbor Complex to address compliance with water quality standards and designated uses. The Use and Standards Attainment (USA) Project began in March 2000. The Waterbody/Watershed Stakeholder Teams, a Government Committee of which IEC was a member, and the NYC Citizens Advisory Committee were active participants in this undertaking. The goals of the project were to (1) define specific and long-term beneficial uses for each waterbody, as well as water quality goals; (2) develop technical, economic, public and regulatory support for prioritizing and expediting implementation of projects and actions needed to attain goals; and (3) provide the technical, scientific and economic bases to support the regulatory process needed to define water quality standards for the highest reasonably attainable use, and to allow water quality standards to be attained upon implementation of recommended projects.

During 2004, the CSO Long-Term Control Plan (LTCP) Project was negotiated with NYS DEC. The hearing record closed during November 2004. This Consent Order incorporates the USA project. Key components of the revised Order include the construction of six retention tanks located in Alley Creek, Flushing Creek, Hutchinson River, Newtown Creek, Paerdegat Basin and Westchester Creek; installation of floatables controls in the Bronx River and Gowanus Canal; wet weather capacity upgrades to capture 2.5 times design capacity; and sewer system improvements. The Waterbody/Watershed Facility Plans were

due June 30, 2007, and the Long Term Control Plan is due by 2017.

Required by the LISS TMDL for nitrogen reduction, the NYC Long-Term Nitrogen Program includes upgrading of the upper East River plants — Bowery Bay, Hunts Point, Tallman Island and Wards Island — and 26th Ward which is located in Jamaica Bay for step feed BNR. The Program will implement separate centrate nitrification at 4 of the 5 plants; construct and operate a 1.85 MGD SHARON process facility (nitrogen removal technology originating in Holland) at Wards Island to treat centrate; conduct supplemental carbon optimization studies at Wards Island; implement a sludge transshipment from Tallman Island to Bowery Bay to help mitigate total nitrogen loadings during construction; and implement supplemental carbon addition and other optimizations.

The milestone to commence operation of the supplemental carbon facilities was December 1, 2007; a one year extension was granted. The contract is about 66% complete. The SHARON process had a completion milestone of July 31, 2008, with a requested extension to July 31, 2009. The projected completion is March 4, 2009. The contract is about 50% complete.

Refer to the Legal Activities section of this report for additional information.

#### Future Project

The Corona Avenue Vortex Facility (CAVF), which was completed in 2000, was conceived and designed as a pilot facility to evaluate the use of swirl concentrators or vortex-type technology to remove floatables from CSOs that discharge to Flushing Bay and the East River. The initial period of operation of the CAVF indicated that several design enhancements were required in order to reduce maintenance, prevent flooding, eliminate odors, and improve operator safety. The use of this facility is being modified. A wrap-up contract was designed to correct problems at the CAVF and 108th Street pump station which is a combined sewage pump station with a 40 MGD capacity. The wrap-up contract work at the CAVF includes the replacement of four slide gates with sluice gates and the installation of a tide gate to help isolate the facility during high tides. The current estimate is \$6 million and is currently scheduled to begin during Fiscal Year 2008.

#### Cedar Creek Water Pollution Control Plant - Disposal District No. 3, New York (Nassau County)

##### Completed Project

A County-wide master plan was completed during 2007 for sewage treatment in Nassau County. A portion of the study is addressing the feasibility of the consolidation of various sewage collection and treatment entities under the auspices of Nassau County. The Villages of Cedarhurst and Lawrence, and the Cities of Glen Cove and Long Beach have concurred in principle and negotiations are continuing with the County to develop agreements whereby the County would assume control and operation of the collection

systems and treatment plants. See the aforementioned plant write-ups, as well as Bay Park, for more information.

#### Projects in Progress

An engineering study dealing with improvements to the sludge thickening facilities is 90% complete. Improvements to the sludge dewatering facility are under way (65% complete) and are estimated to incur costs of \$32.344 million. The project includes the installation of new belt filter dewatering equipment and ancillary systems. The installation of the new gravity belt thickeners (15% complete) which replace the dissolved air floatation thickening tanks began during August 2007. The old tanks have been demolished and construction of a new building is under way. The project is slated for a 30-month construction schedule.

See the Great Neck Water Pollution Control District and Village of Great Neck write-ups for more information.

#### Cedarhurst, New York (Nassau County)

##### Projects in Progress

An engineering report was completed during 2007 for a dechlorination upgrade. However, this facility may join the Nassau County sewer/stormwater system. The antiquated facility, originally built in 1934, will probably need extensive upgrades to meet any new effluent requirements, such as ammonia reductions. The Village of Cedarhurst has concurred in principle and is negotiating with the County to develop agreements whereby the County would assume control and operation of the collection system and treatment plant. To address all possibilities, the Village plans to prepare design and construction documents during 2009. See the Bay Park and Cedar Creek write-ups for more information.

Presently, this facility's SPDES permit is under review. A permit modification for a reduction in the final effluent limit of total residual chlorine is being negotiated.

##### Future Project

Facilities are planned to be constructed in order to address total chlorine residual reduction. Estimated costs for this endeavor are \$300,000. Presently, no construction start-up dates have been determined due to the aforementioned potential Nassau County master plan. This master plan targets 2012 for the consolidation of the Village into the County system.

## Coney Island, New York (Kings County)

### Projects in Progress

The objective of the Paerdegat Basin CSO facility, located in Brooklyn at the intersection of Ralph and Bergen Avenues, is to improve the water quality of Paerdegat Basin by substantially reducing combined sewer overflows during rainstorms. The facility plan includes the reduction of CSO impacts through the maximized use of existing facilities (sewers, interceptors and treatment plant) amounting to 20 MG of in-line storage, and a 30 MG retention tank, all of which capture and store a large portion of combined sewage during a rain event that normally would have been discharged to the basin. The diverted flow is screened prior to entering the tank. After storms, stored combined sewage empties into the Paerdegat Basin Interceptor connected to the Coney Island Water Pollution Control Plant, partly by gravity and mostly by pumps, for complete treatment. Re-estimated costs were \$325 million for all phases; Phases I and II were completed during 2002 and 2005, respectively.

Phase III of the Paerdegat Basin CSO facility is the construction of above-grade structures consisting of a screenings building, odor control and HVAC building, CSO pump back building, and a collections facilities south building with adjacent Community Board No. 18 meeting room. Dredging of the canal is also part of the Long-term Control Plan. Construction has been delayed by contractor default issues.

Engineering studies dealing with the use of a catalyst for primary influent channel grease removal and sludge thickener metering are continuing.

## Glen Cove, New York (Nassau County)

### Completed Project

The City of Glen Cove's wastewater treatment plant is one of 12 point sources in Nassau and Suffolk Counties that are required to reduce nitrogen loadings into Long Island Sound. It is also the largest nitrogen contributor of those point sources on the north shore of Long Island. This facility discharges to Glen Cove Creek which is a tributary of Hempstead Harbor, an embayment of western Long Island Sound. During April 2008, a 3,135 gallon ferrous chloride holding tank (\$40,000) was put on-line.

### Project in Progress

A master plan was completed by Nassau County. The plan includes the feasibility of the consolidation of various sewage collection and treatment entities under the auspices of Nassau County. The City of Glen Cove has concurred in principle and is negotiating with the County to develop agreements whereby the County would assume control and operation



of the collection system and treatment plant. See the Bay Park, Cedarhurst, Cedar Creek, Great Neck District, Great Neck Village, Lawrence and Long Beach plant write-ups for more information.

#### Future Project

Re-estimated at \$4.5 million, the facility's bulk chemical and bulk petroleum storage tanks will be modified or replaced in order to meet State and federal regulations. This cost estimate also includes upgrades to the final tanks and sludge drying facilities. A construction and compliance schedule has yet to be determined.

#### Greater Atlantic Beach Water Reclamation District (formerly West Long Beach Sewer District), New York (Nassau County)

#### Future Project

Planned upgrades will modernize all three substations in the collection system. The estimate for these improvements range from \$300,000 to \$500,000, but no construction schedule has been released.

#### Great Neck, Village of, New York (Nassau County)

#### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a 2005 State Consent Order to update the facility or divert flows. Completion of substantial construction is required by August 9, 2011. An engineering study is ongoing which addresses upgrading the treatment plant by adding four new pump stations and BNR retrofits. Other feasible alternatives involve combining flows with the Great Neck Water Pollution Control District and/or converting both plants to pump stations and diverting all flows for treatment at a regional facility located on the south shore of Nassau County. Another ongoing study will determine the ability of the District's 2.8 MGD plant to process the Village flow while the Village constructs the treatment plant improvements to meet the LISS III nitrogen reduction targets. Refer to the Cedar Creek and Great Neck Water Pollution Control District write-ups for additional information.

Under way during June 2008 (75% complete) collection system rehabilitation involves lining of 1,200 LF of 12-inch diameter (12"Ø) and 300 LF of 8-inch diameter (8"Ø) gravity sewers. This work has a cost estimate of over \$196,000.

#### Future Projects

Planned by in-house staff, the grit chamber will be rehabilitated with new chains, sprockets, rails, shafts and wear shoes. Actual costs and final time schedules are pending.

The installation of nitrogen removal facilities and UV disinfection is also pending.

## Great Neck Water Pollution Control District, New York (Nassau County)

### Projects in Progress

The Feasibility Diversion Study, funded with \$36,000 of CW/CA Bond Act grants, was completed approximately six years ago and concluded that it is technically feasible to divert the entire effluent from this plant and the Village of Great Neck plant to a regional plant on the south shore. However, the study indicated that the diversion would be more costly and not as environmentally responsible as compared to other on site upgrades. An engineering design is currently under way for a facility upgrade to achieve nitrogen removal. The plant design will utilize oxidation ditch technology.

This facility is operating under a 2006 State Consent Order to further the goals of the Environmental Conservation Law and the federal Clean Water Act. The plant is in compliance with all Order dates and is required to have substantial construction completed by December 31, 2011.

The District is pursuing several Green projects. As part of the upgrade, installation of micro turbines is currently being studied. If feasible, digester gas will be used to generate electricity and heat for anaerobic digester systems with the micro turbines. The District is currently producing biofuel utilizing waste vegetable oil from local restaurants. This biofuel, generated on site, is used to power five diesel vehicles, heat two buildings and will be used by a generator to co-generate electricity for the new treatment plant. The District is planning a receiving station for grease from local restaurants. This waste product will be used to increase the methane production of its anaerobic digesters, as well as electrical generation capacity of the micro turbines. Finally, the new facility will support a rain garden to address stormwater runoff.

### Future Projects

The planned upgrade and expansion of this existing facility will enable the District to comply with the NYS DEC effluent limitations mandated by the LISS Phase III nitrogen reduction plan, and provide increased hydraulic capacity sufficient to accommodate the wastewater flow from the District, as well as from the Village of Great Neck. This expansion and diversion plan is re-estimated at over \$68 million. An approximate construction start is September 1, 2009, with an anticipated operational start date of December 31, 2011. Liquid side treatment system improvements include the replacement of the existing influent mechanical bar screens and associated screenings handling system and the grit removal system. Upgrades to specific treatment units include the primary tanks, primary sludge pumping system, new oxidation ditch system, four new final settling tanks, a new effluent flow meter, new UV disinfection system and upgrades at the existing effluent

pumping station. The solids handling system improvements will include a new gravity belt thickener, conversion of an existing final settling tank and chlorine contact tank into a primary sludge/waste activated sludge/filtrate storage system, upgrade of the anaerobic sludge digesters and sludge dewatering system. Miscellaneous upgrades will include the installation of a new plant generator for back-up power.

The District has budgeted an estimated \$325,000 for capital improvements to the collection system and pump stations. Anticipated for 2009, structural relining of gravity sewers and manhole restoration are planned.

#### Huntington Sewer District, New York (Suffolk County)

##### Completed Projects

The Town of Huntington was awarded more than \$8.8 million for the nitrogen reduction upgrade under the Clean Water/Clean Air Bond Act. The Town completed the final design in 2003. RFPs for professional services were issued in 2004. The biological nitrogen removal system selected by the Town incorporates sequencing batch reactors (SBRs). The existing trickling filters were converted to sludge holding tanks. The belt thickener and polymer storage/feed equipment were installed in an existing building. The treatment facilities were on-line during December 2007. The UV disinfection facilities were operational during August 2008 (\$645,000).

HUNTINGTON SEWER DISTRICT  
SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK



COMPLETE UPGRADED FACILITY WITH UV DISINFECTION  
*Photo Courtesy of H2M*

### Projects in Progress

Two projects dealing with nonpoint source pollution are the Fleets Cove/Knollwood Beach Stormwater Mitigation (\$300,000) and the Centerport Harbor Stormwater Runoff Mitigation (\$250,000). The Fleets Cove construction project has been completed with the exception of stormwater retention and drainage structures on Bayview Drive. A new engineering design was necessary to include additional drainage structures and relief for stormwater runoff. This construction is slated for completion during June 2009. The work includes new drainage pipes, leaching basins and catch basins. The Centerport Harbor Stormwater Runoff Mitigation Project is being finalized. Improvements are being implemented to the existing stormwater drainage system.

### Future Projects

Collection system maintenance, i.e., cleaning and televising sanitary sewers, has a 2009 estimated capital budget of \$50,000. Preliminary plans have been prepared for the installation of the Hill Place siphon. A siphon is a section of sewer constructed below the hydraulic gradient due to an obstruction and operates under pressure. The plans are currently under review by NYS DEC and NYS DOT. The Hill Place siphon construction budget is \$800,000. Replacement of sewer manhole frames and covers in NYS DOT roadways are anticipated for 2009.

## Hunts Point, New York (Bronx County)

### Projects in Progress

The Hunts Point WPCP upgrade is a multi-phase project intended to improve process efficiency, reduce manpower requirements, improve reliability and maintain compliance with all applicable permit requirements and Consent Orders. Subsequent to the project's initiation, the City entered into the NYS SPDES Administrative Consent Order-Nitrogen Reduction Agreement. Required under this Order, retrofitting of existing treatment units will reduce nitrogen loadings into the East River and Long Island Sound. This facility is located on the north shore of the upper reach of the East River.

Phase I, estimated to cost \$203 million, is a 3½-year construction phase which includes Consent Order mandates for hydraulic improvements to allow treatment of twice dry weather design flow (200 MGD) by October 13, 2004, as well as upgrades to most of the wet stream processes. The major items to address include forebay gate chamber improvements, screen chamber modifications, main pump station upgrade, raw sewage conduit modifications, personnel facility additions, aeration tank froth and foam control, a RAS system upgrade, and chlorine building and contact tank modifications. A new central residuals handling facility will be built on site to handle grit, screenings, and scum under one roof.

Phase II construction, under way since June 2003, has been estimated to cost \$192 million. This 3½-year construction phase involves BNR enhancement. To comply with nitrogen reduction requirements, this phase will also include new process and channel air blowers, polymer and alkalinity addition facilities, new centrate distribution facilities and a new main electrical substation. Upgrades will be made on the air headers, diffusers and aeration tanks. The BNR work in this phase is also under the Consent Order and must be constructed and operational by June 30, 2008.

HUNTS POINT WPCP  
BRONX COUNTY, NEW YORK



RETROFIT STEP FEED BNR  
*Photo Courtesy of NYC DEP*

Overall, all contract work for the two phases is about 80% complete. The milestone modification requested of NYS DEC was February 28, 2008. The construction completion milestone was June 30, 2008. The current projected completion date is February 28, 2010.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on the City-wide projects.

### Future Projects

Phase III, the upgrade of the plant's solids handling facilities, is currently under design and has been divided into four construction stages. The first stage will be the environmental remediation of the Barretto Point site, which will be the location of future sludge digestion facilities. Barretto Point is located on the East River south of the Bronx River confluence. The second stage will be a contract to renovate the existing digesters and to install facilities to add polymer to the main wastewater flow in order to enhance nitrogen removal. The third stage will be the upgrade of the existing sludge thickening facilities and the installation of new waste gas burners and a gas holding tank, which will replace existing facilities. The final stage will be the construction of two new egg-shaped digesters on the

Barretto Point site. The scheduled completion date for the upgrade of the solids handling facilities is July 2014.

Phase IV is the installation of carbon addition facilities required to achieve future total maximum daily nitrogen limits. The carbon addition facilities are required under the Nitrogen Consent Order, and must be constructed and operational by July 2014.

The objectives of the East River CSO Facilities Planning Project are CSO abatement and improving the water quality of several rivers and creeks tributary to and including the East River. The primary goal is to increase, to an extent reasonably feasible and practical, compliance with NYS DEC water quality criteria for the East River and its tributaries through the identification, evaluation and selection of CSO abatement alternatives that would achieve cost-effective improvement in water quality. The tributaries of concern are the Hutchinson River, the Bronx River, and Westchester Creek which are all located in the Borough of the Bronx. Alley Creek, which has a confluence with Little Neck Bay, is located in the Tallman Island drainage basin in Queens County.

The NYC DEP began its CSO abatement program in the 1980s, and expanded the program in response to permits issued by the State. The NYS DEC issued an Order on Consent (June 24, 1996) and a Modification (August 6, 1996) that required the NYC DEP to implement a CSO abatement plan to achieve, to a practicable level, compliance with water quality standards. On January 14, 2005, the parties entered into a new Order of Consent. This new Order supersedes all previous Orders.

The Hutchinson River CSO Storage Tanks Project has been developed by the NYC DEP to reduce CSO discharge from two outfalls into the Hutchinson River. The goals of the project are to improve the water quality and achieve, to the extent practical, compliance with New York State Class SB water quality criteria. These outfalls currently contribute, on an annual basis, about 95% of the CSO discharges to the Hutchinson River, contribute significantly to water quality degradation, and are the primary sources of violations of water quality standards in the river. The river has a confluence with Eastchester Bay in western Long Island Sound.

The project has gone through a number of design concepts, and the latest proposed plan, as submitted to the NYS DEC on June 30, 2003, provides for the design and construction of one underground storage conduit (4 MG) and an underground storage tank (3 MG) for a total capacity of 7 MG. Additional facility planning completed during 2007 has identified a better alternative and subsequently changed the design to a second storage tank. The proposed facilities would be constructed in two phases: June 2011 through June 2015 for the 4 MG southern storage tank, and December 2016 through 2023 for the 3 MG tank. The CSO storage units would be comprised of mechanical bar screens, an air treatment system, an overflow discharge conduit to the river, a pumping station to pump stored combined sewage back to the existing combined sewer system after rainstorms, and a force

main to discharge pumped combined sewage into the existing combined sewer system. Provisions would be made for the future installation of disinfection facilities, if such facilities are later found to be necessary for compliance with NYS DEC regulations.

A September 2003 submittal to the NYS DEC provided for the Bronx River CSO Storage Facility Project that will include construction of a 4 MG off-line CSO storage conduit. Subsequently, in March 2004, a modified facilities plan identified minimal improvements to the river with the proposed plan. In lieu of the storage conduit, floatables control facilities will be installed at three outfalls discharging into the Bronx River. The in-line netting and screens alternative will be designed with hydraulic capacity to ensure no surcharging conditions in the upstream sewer system. As presently planned, the proposed facilities will be constructed under a single contract from June 2009 through June 2012.

As indicated in a June 2003 submittal to the NYS DEC, the Westchester Creek CSO Storage Tank Project will include the construction of an underground CSO storage tank with a capacity of 12 MG which includes the storage capacity within the supply/storage conduit. Other principal facilities to be constructed as part of the project include an operations building to house operational units including air treatment facilities, a single-barrel supply/storage conduit, and a pumping station with a rated capacity of approximately 10,000 gpm. In addition to the facilities required for CSO abatement, amenities for use by neighborhood baseball Little Leagues will be provided adjacent to the site of the underground storage tank. Provisions would be made for the future installation of disinfection facilities, if such facilities are later found to be necessary for compliance with NYS DEC regulations.

The preliminary phase of the Westchester Creek CSO storage tank will be for site preparation and construction of the Little League restroom facilities which will take place from June 2008 through February 2009. Phase I, scheduled for June 2011 through June 2015, includes the construction of the diversion chamber, supply/storage conduit and the tide gate chamber. Phase II includes the construction of the storage tank, Little League clubhouse facility and parking lot, and fencing. This phase will be conducted from December 2015 through 2022.

#### Jamaica, New York (Queens County)

##### Completed Projects

Under way in 2005, an engineering experiment dealing with a sludge thickener blanket probe is now in the final testing stage. A biofilter pilot study was completed in December 2007. An experimental hypochlorite feed system is being tested.

##### Projects in Progress

Plant-wide interim expansions are ongoing in order to comply with SPDES

limitations and requirements. The estimated cost for this work is over \$260 million plus over \$48 million in engineering and design construction management fees. There will be two construction phases. Phase I will entail new installations of treatment units such as a primary tank splitter box, a primary tank, a primary force main, the main sewage pumps driven by VFDs, return activated sludge pump stations, waste activated sludge pump stations, a chlorine contact tank, odor controls, and an electrical substation. Phase II includes a new secondary screenings building, main building alterations, a residuals handling building, an administrative and maintenance building, new covers for existing sludge storage tanks, rehabilitation of the existing air blowers, new process air piping and new fine bubble diffusers in the aeration tanks, odor controls, emergency lighting and a boiler plant. Final design for Phase II is complete. Phase II construction started in April 2005 and is anticipated to be complete by April 2010.

CSO abatement projects in this drainage basin include the placement of a retention tank in Fresh Creek which is a tributary of Jamaica Bay. The preliminary design is under way. Other elements to be implemented include upgraded floatables control, sewer system improvements, dredging and in-stream aeration. For additional information on other CSO control projects in the Jamaica Bay tributaries, see the Coney Island and 26th Ward write-ups.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

#### Joint Regional Sewerage Board-Town of Haverstraw, New York (Rockland County)

##### Completed Projects

On-line since August 2008, a collection system upgrade involved the replacement of the Girling Drive pump station 24-inch diameter (24"Ø) gravity sewer with a 30-inch (30"Ø) pipe. In addition, a third siphon barrel was installed. A final cost for this modernization was \$1.3 million.

##### Project in Progress

Recently under way, three pump stations are being upgraded at an estimated cost of \$1 million.

##### Future Project

A four-month agenda has been scheduled for the replacement of the existing bar screen, as well as the existing grit removal system. The re-estimated \$650,000 project is planned to be complete during August 2009.



## Jones Beach State Park, New York (Nassau County)

### Completed Projects

The existing in-line grinder located at the head of the plant was replaced during March 2008 at a final cost of \$60,000. The primary clarifier was rehabilitated during a two-month period ending June 2008. The approximately \$300,000 upgrade included sand blasting, sealing, painting, new catwalks and platform, as well as all masonry needs.

### Project in Progress

Under way during late fall 2008, various installations are being made including two new VFD recirculation pumps and motors, new flow meters, a supplemental food source for the trickling filter, and a new sludge removal system. Cost estimates were not available.

### Future Projects

Planned for the 2009 spring season, an SBR design process will be installed to address the total nitrogen effluent limitations. Another late spring upgrade will address the rehabilitation of the secondary clarifier. Cost estimates and constructions schedules are awaiting State approvals.

## Lawrence, New York (Nassau County)

### Project in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order to correct collection system Infiltration and Inflow. The Lawrence drainage basin discharges to Bannister Creek in eastern Jamaica Bay.

A master plan was completed by Nassau County which includes the feasibility of the consolidation of various sewage collection and treatment entities under the auspices of Nassau County. The Village of Lawrence has concurred in principle and is negotiating with the County to develop agreements whereby the County would assume control and operation of the collection system and treatment plant. See the Bay Park, Cedarhurst, Cedar Creek, Glen Cove, the Great Necks and Long Beach plant write-ups for more information.

### Future Projects

Planning stages for Phase II facility improvements are complete. Various plant-wide equipment upgrades and replacements will be done as needed. The major focus will be BNR capabilities and UV disinfection. During November 2005, the Village of Lawrence was awarded \$1.16 million by NYS under the 1996 CW/CA Bond Act. The grant is to help the

Village upgrade the main plant to have the capability to remove ammonia and total residual chlorine, and provide denitrification from the final effluent. Estimates for these upgrades are \$6 million. Final Village approval is pending, as well as construction start-up dates due to the aforementioned potential Nassau County master plan.

#### Long Beach, New York (Nassau County)

##### Projects in Progress

An engineering report was finalized and submitted to the City which addresses modifications to three existing lift stations. Bid specifications and construction agendas are dependent upon the City of Long Beach's review process and acceptance.

A master plan was completed by Nassau County which includes the feasibility of the consolidation of various sewage collection and treatment entities under the auspices of Nassau County. The City of Long Beach has concurred in principle and is negotiating with the County to develop agreements whereby the County would assume control and operation of the collection system and treatment plant. See the Bay Park, Cedarhurst, Cedar Creek, Glen Cove, the Great Necks and Lawrence plant write-ups for more information.

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order (September 2008) to address dechlorination and ammonia removal effluent limitations. Compliance schedules are being negotiated. The existing SPDES permit was recently modified for effluent flow reading usage.

##### Future Project

Approximately \$2.5 million will be needed to modify one lift station on New York Avenue. Construction start-up dates are pending.

#### Mamaroneck, New York (Westchester County)

##### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a 2004 State Order to meet SPDES permit limitations for total nitrogen reductions. The plant is in compliance with Order dates and is required to obtain operational levels by August 1, 2014.

Installations at eight pump stations include alarm systems and remote monitoring of various facility functions. These installations are 50% complete and will incur costs of \$350,000. An operational start-up began during June 2008.

## Future Projects

Planned for 2009 with a 12-month agenda, the screening and grit facilities will be repaired and rehabilitated. Costs are estimated at \$3.75 million. During the same year, steel sheet piles that encase one of the Long Island Sound outfalls will be replaced at an estimated cost of \$5 million.

## New Rochelle, New York (Westchester County)

### Projects in Progress

A December 12, 1986, NYS DEC sewer extension moratorium on the New Rochelle Sewer District remains in effect. This plant is operating at or above its permitted flow capacity. With anticipated and ongoing development, there is concern of insufficient plant capacity, as well as the ability to meet effluent requirements. This facility is operating under a 2004 State Order to meet SPDES permit limitations for total nitrogen, flow, CBOD and TSS percent removal, and total residual chlorine. The plant is in compliance with Order dates and is required to obtain operational levels by August 1, 2014.

Architectural, electrical and mechanical upgrades are being installed at two pump stations with costs estimated to be \$5.9 million. These installations are 50% complete and were operational during April 2008. Installations at nine pump stations include alarm systems and remote monitoring of various facility functions. An operational start-up commenced during June 2008. These installations are 50% complete and will incur costs of \$300,000.

## Newtown Creek, New York (Kings County)

### Projects in Progress

The Newtown Creek WPCP upgrade project is a multi-phase project designed to improve process efficiency and treatment facility reliability. The project is mandated by the NYS DEC Second Modified Judgment on Consent. The Order requires an effluent enhancement program to achieve City-wide effluent limits; secondary treatment and step denitrification treatment levels by December 31, 2007; and complete construction by May 1, 2013.

Phase 1A is a nine-year construction phase with a re-estimated cost of \$941 million. Under this phase, the existing main building will be remodeled with the inclusion of new boilers, new emergency turbine generators, and preparations for the installation of the process air blowers. Other items include a new electrical substation, locker facilities, and a visitor's center. Construction of these aforementioned items is currently 85% complete, is estimated to cost \$260 million, and is anticipated to be complete during February 2009.

The construction of the new solids handling facility consists of the new centrifuge thickening building, 24 thickening centrifuges, eight 3-MG egg-shaped sludge digestion tanks, a sludge transfer station, sludge storage tanks and gas holding tanks. These items are currently 92% complete, are estimated to cost \$405 million, and are anticipated to be complete during December 2008. The construction of a new support building to house personnel facilities and laboratories, the disinfection facility, and chlorine contact tanks are progressing. The construction of a new contact tank influent channel, new East River/Whale Creek Canal effluent conduits, the Whale Creek Canal outfall and bulkhead are complete. Collectively, these items are currently 91% complete and are estimated to cost \$251 million. These items were slated for completion during March 2008.

Phase 1B, estimated to cost \$1.43 billion, is a 10-year construction phase consisting of the construction of the north and central batteries of aeration and final tanks, aeration tank influent splitter box, and north control building. The installation of the process air system blowers in the main building and process air mains across all three batteries is nearly complete. Collectively, this phase is currently 91% complete, is estimated to cost \$901 million, and is re-scheduled to be operational during April 2009. Modifications to the north side of the existing main building include the additions of maintenance shops, training facilities, and offices, as well as the replacement of the influent screening equipment and raw sewage pumps. The Manhattan pump station upgrade includes the replacement of raw sewage pumps, structural and architectural modifications to the building, addition of a new electrical substation, and emergency turbine generators. This work is 55% complete, is estimated to cost \$225 million, and has a planned completion date of May 2011.

Phase 2, re-estimated at \$863 million, is a six-year construction phase consisting of the construction of a new central residuals building with new secondary screens for screening the combined flow from the service areas in Brooklyn, Queens and Manhattan prior to the treatment batteries. This phase also includes the installation of skimmings concentrators, grit cyclones and grit classifiers. Screenings containers, truck loading facilities and an odor control system will also be part of this phase; the design is complete. This phase was repackaged into two distinct contracts. One is to perform the demolition of the digesters and building foundation, and a second is to construct the central residuals building. Construction began during February 2008 (8% complete) and is anticipated to be complete during June 2010. Design of the building is 90% complete, with construction planned to start during September 2009. Kingsland Avenue will be reconstructed to reflect the final queuing and travel lane configuration. The Nature Walk Extension will be constructed along Kingsland Avenue. The preliminary design was complete during 2005.

Phase 3, re-estimated to cost \$1.277 billion, is a seven-year construction phase which involves rebuilding of the existing south battery of grit, aeration and sedimentation tanks. The existing control building will be demolished and a new building will be constructed. The design is 90% complete. The construction schedule is based on the central and south battery reconstruction. The construction notice to proceed was given during September

2008. The final site work would occur at the end of the upgrade and would include landscaping, construction of new on-site roads, parking areas, and site lighting. The preliminary design was complete during 2006. With the suspension of the rehabilitation of the existing East River sludge dock and sludge force mains, final design has started on the construction of a new sludge loading facility on Newtown Creek, which is a tributary of the East River. The preliminary design was complete during 2006 with anticipated construction to begin during March 2009.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

#### Future Projects

Consent Order elements to be addressed includes an 8 MG CSO storage tank, installation of the Morgan Avenue sewer and the Kent Avenue throttling facility. Other elements that will directly affect the open waters of Newtown Creek include enhanced aeration, dredging, floatables control, installation of a 40 MG storage retention tunnel and a relief sewer in Dutch Kills. Construction dates and costs will be contingent upon NYS DEC approval of Consent Order modifications.

#### Northport, New York (Suffolk County)

##### Completed Project

An engineering design is 100% complete (\$195,000) for automatic DO controls.

##### Project in Progress

The Stormwater Runoff Control Project for Northport Harbor includes the installation of a network of catch basins and leaching pools to mitigate stormwater runoff and improve shellfishing and primary contact recreation in the Harbor. This project received \$178,000 of CW/CA Bond Act funds. The Bond Act grant contract was executed in July 2002. The design was complete in 2005 and the construction started in the spring of 2006. Presently, the construction portion of the project is 95% complete.

#### North River, New York (New York County)

##### Projects in Progress

This facility, located on the east shore of the Hudson River south of the George Washington Bridge, is operating under a 1992 State Consent Order to address issues of capacity, odor, and air emissions. Odor emissions are a particularly sensitive issue for the North River WPCP, since it is located in a heavily populated section of Manhattan with Riverbank State Park constructed on its rooftop. The Post Construction Odor Survey, which

was mandated by Consent Order, was to identify and recommend solutions to odor control. The findings of this study were published in the Post Construction Odor Study, which also includes the results of an independent study as part of a settlement with the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), West Harlem Environmental Action (WHEACT) and the City. Both studies focus on identifying odors and recommend remedial measures to further control odor emissions, as necessary.

The Consent Order required work has been under way since February 10, 2002. The major components included in this contract are (1) odor control system rehabilitation of the scrubbers, absorbers, fans and associated appurtenances, a chemical system and controls; (2) replacement of the aeration tanks' diffusers; (3) a process air upgrade; (4) dissolved oxygen probe installations with automatic controls; and (5) increasing the waste sludge capacity. Expenditures are estimated at \$45.5 million and was estimated to be complete during mid-2008.

Inspections and cleaning of the eight digester tanks revealed that one tank had damage to the mechanical piping and support systems. The work needed to repair the digester tank includes the internal inspection of six digester tanks; and developing the required repairs to the digester piping, pipe support systems, steel liner, and concrete surfaces subsequent to the inspection. Final testing of each digester tank for leaks after the repairs has been completed. The repairs included furnishing and installing new piping, couplings and pipe sleeves, reinforced pipe support systems, and pipe gaskets; welding of the liner and attachments; grouting behind the liner and at the edges; and concrete spall repairs. Costs for all repairs and inspections are re-estimated at over \$7.7 million. These repairs have been under way since December 27, 2004, and are re-scheduled to be complete during late 2009.

Engineering studies are continuing dealing with diffusers, air headers and other odor control improvements, centrifuge thickening, primary sludge pumping, degritter replacement, boiler and heat exchange improvements and chlorine disinfection. These studies have been ongoing as early as August 2002, with others initiated as recently as February 2006. These studies are being conducted by in-house staff and contractors.

Under way since June 29, 2005, another contract includes the (1) installation of additional odor control equipment (scrubbers, adsorbers and fans); (2) replacement of headworks' ventilation ductwork; (3) installation of additional electric motor driven process air blowers; (4) modification of the digester overflow box control; (5) odor control of the final settling tanks' effluent launderers and chlorine tankage; (6) modification of the secondary bypass control system; (7) upgrading the plant-wide chemical storage and conveyance system; (8) replacement of the City water pumps and pre-packaged booster pumps; and (9) modification of the electrical substation. Expenditures are re-estimated at \$77.3 million. Completion is anticipated for mid-2010.

Under a previous contract, repair work was performed on three digester tanks. Under

way since December 27, 2004, another contract includes the reconstruction and repair of the remaining digester tanks. This work includes (1) emptying, cleaning and internal inspection of six digester tanks; (2) furnishing and installing new pipes, couplings, gaskets and pipe sleeves; (3) installation of reinforced pipe support systems with associated pipe stands, cantilever supports and guy wires; (4) weld repairs of liner and attachments; (5) grouting behind the liner and at the liner edges; (6) concrete spall repairs; and (7) application of a protective coating on the liner. This contract is re-estimated to cost \$13.3 million and is anticipated to be complete by late 2009.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

### Orangetown, New York (Rockland County)

#### Projects in Progress

During the period from August 2003 until September 2004, there were 18 sanitary sewer overflow events reported to NYS DEC - Region 3 at several pump stations and sanitary sewer manholes. On March 28, 2005, the Town Board voted to enter into a State Order on Consent which included a \$15,000 penalty (½ of which was suspended), as well as a schedule to upgrade pump stations, repair leaking sewers, and eliminate illegal sewer connections. The Order also requires upgraded disinfection capabilities and odor controls at the main facility. The District is in compliance with Order dates for disinfection, but not for odor controls. Start-up operations for odor controls are scheduled during April 2009.

In order to share costs and services for providing sewage collection and treatment, the Orangetown District was established in April 2005. The District includes about 200 miles of sewers, 43 pumping stations, a 12.75 MGD secondary treatment plant, and a common outfall with the Rockland County Sewer District which discharges to the Hudson River. The District encompasses the Town of Orangetown — the unincorporated areas, as well as the incorporated Villages of Piermont, Grandview-on-Hudson, South Nyack and Nyack. The District can now provide long-term management with a dedicated funding mechanism for sewage treatment and infrastructure maintenance.

Phase I of a capital improvement plan is making progress. Work includes extensive upgrades and expansions for the main facility and collection system. Five pump stations — Better Brands, Hunt Road, Nyack, Pearl Street and Upper Nyack — will be upgraded and/or be rehabilitated, as needed. The Better Brands, Hunt Road and Pearl Street force mains will be replaced. The force main installations include 3,400 linear feet (LF) of 8-inch diameter (8"Ø); 2,300LF of 10-inch diameter (10"Ø); and 7,300LF of 24-inch diameter (24"Ø), respectively. The sanitary sewer installations include 1,100LF of 12-inch diameter (12"Ø); 4,500LF of 15-inch diameter (15"Ø); and 600LF of 8-inch diameter (8"Ø). Associated siphon installations include 3,100LF of 16-inch diameter (16"Ø) and 3,100LF of 18-inch diameter (18"Ø). Additionally, the Pearl Street influent sewer will be replaced. Operational

levels will be reached on a phased basis between 2008 and 2009. The collection system improvements are estimated to cost \$26 million. Overall, 70% of these collection system upgrades are complete.

The main facility is being modernized (80% complete) with new influent screens, a grit system, primary and secondary clarifiers, a gaseous chlorine system and miscellaneous piping, and electrical and drainage improvements. All installations are re-estimated to cost \$20 million. These phases are rescheduled to be operational during April 2009.

#### Future Project

Additional capital improvements that are proposed for the District include rebuilding 12 pump stations. Cost estimates or construction start-up dates have not yet been determined.

### Ossining, New York (Westchester County)

#### Projects in Progress

Under way since March 2007, installations at five pump stations include alarm systems and remote monitoring of various facility functions. These installations are 50% complete and will incur costs of \$145,000. These upgrades were operational during June 2008. Architectural, electrical and mechanical upgrades are being performed on one pump station. Operational during April 2008, this work is presently 30% complete and has estimated costs of \$2.29 million.

The furnaces and ash building were destroyed. Sludge handling improvements include two new sludge holding tanks and a new sludge loading building with odor control capabilities. Construction is under way (March 2008); the estimated costs are approximately \$9.5 million and an operational start-up is anticipated for March 2010.

### Owls Head, New York (Kings County)

#### Projects in Progress

Since the closing of the Fresh Kills Landfill, it has been mandated that the grit and scum building at this facility be expanded to accommodate the storage of grit and scum collected over a three-day to four-day period. The project includes extending the central residual building, designing an odor control system that can accommodate the expansion of the building and the added odorous load of stored grit and scum, improving the bays to accommodate large containers (20-30 cubic yards), and upgrading the electrical service. The bid documents are currently in law review. A Notice to Proceed schedule will depend on the law review outcome. Design costs are about \$5.1 million. All construction phases are to be



completed by December 15, 2008, at a re-estimated cost of \$41 million.

Stabilization of the forebay includes reconstruction of the forebay conduit, sampling of sediments, sediment removal, crack repair, rehabilitation or replacement of the steel liner (north forebay conduit only), and installation of a cathodic protection system for the new liner. After completing the crack repair, several items will be undertaken: installation of a microfine cement grout around the soil envelope of both conduits, designing of a pump-around system to facilitate wet weather flow during storms; stabilizing the soil around the forebay; and providing power to support the construction. A contract was awarded during March 2006. All phases are to be completed by February 2008 at a re-estimated cost of \$12.1 million.

The objectives in reconstructing the 30 MGD Avenue V pumping station and force mains are to: reduce the potential for sanitary sewer surcharge conditions upstream of the station; improve the Coney Island Creek water quality by increasing the wet weather (CSO) pumping capacity; and upgrade and automate the station for unmanned operation. The station's wet weather flow capacity will be increased to a nominal 80 MGD to pump the sum of peak sewage flow of 34.6 MGD and necessary CSO flow of 42 MGD. Anticipated work will continue through 2012; construction is 20% complete. Costs are estimated at \$166 million.

The pumping station upgrade includes construction of a wet well extension for temporary pumping, sequential demolition and construction of the wet well lowered by 3.5 feet, demolition of unneeded structures, replacement of tide gates, force mains, removal of old equipment, installation of six wet pit submersible pumps with VFDs, and new electrical and HVAC equipment. Having historic and architectural significance, the main building's restoration will be done with the approval of the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission and the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.

This project will be bid as two contracts. The total cost has been re-estimated at \$117.1 million — \$42 million for the station and \$75.1 million for the force mains. First is the reconstruction and upgrading of the station for automated operation and expanding the pumping capacity for wet weather flow. Construction actually began during December 2005. Second is construction of two new force mains: a 42-inch diameter (42"Ø) pipe (18,500 linear feet) dedicated to dry weather flow and a 48-inch diameter (48"Ø) pipe (13,100 linear feet) dedicated to wet weather flow. Construction was scheduled to begin April 2007. These projects are anticipated to be complete during April 2011 and April 2012, respectively.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

## Oyster Bay Sewer District, New York (Nassau County)

### Projects in Progress

Under way during June 2008, the exterior of the primary and secondary digester covers are being painted; the interiors are being cleaned at re-estimated costs of \$502,000. Installation of spot liners in five locations throughout the sanitary sewer system was initiated during October.

### Future Project

An automated alarm system installation is slated for June 2009 at the Highwood pump station at a re-estimated cost of \$25,000.

## Peekskill, New York (Westchester County)

### Project in Progress

Under way since March 2007, two pump stations are being upgraded with alarm systems and remote monitoring capabilities for various operating functions. This work is 50% complete and is estimated to cost \$70,000. An operational start-up is planned for 2010.

### Future Projects

Electrical upgrades at one pump station and at the main facility (\$5.8 million) are re-scheduled to begin during March 2009. Re-estimated to cost \$4.5 million, odor controls will be installed for the headworks which will be housed in a dedicated building. Construction was scheduled to begin during June 2008. Both projects have a 12-month installation schedule. Anticipated to also begin during March 2009, the aeration system will be upgraded. Estimated to cost \$3.8 million, an operational start-up is planned for March 2011. Rehabilitation of the Mill Street pump station is planned to begin during June 2010; no cost estimates were available.

## Port Chester, New York (Westchester County)

### Projects in Progress

The Commission is coordinating and addressing oversight for a multi-agency pathogen track down investigation of the Byram River. Since 2003, IEC field staff have conducted ambient and end-of-pipe inspections and discovered dry weather discharges to the river. Continued surveillance, laboratory analysis and data sharing have been maintained throughout the past four years. Since 2004, inland tracking for dry weather flow and illegal hook-ups were jointly conducted by IEC and the Westchester County Department of Health.

Refer to the Ambient Water Quality Cooperative Studies section for a detailed report.

This facility is operating under a 2004 State Consent Order to achieve SPDES effluent limitations for total nitrogen and total residual chlorine. The facility is in compliance with Order dates and is required to complete substantial construction by December 31, 2013, and obtain operational levels by August 2014.

The facility-wide performance maintenance project is 95% complete and is re-scheduled to be operational during February 2009. The upgrading is estimated to cost \$7.1 million.

#### Future Project

An HVAC upgrade is planned. A study will be conducted at an estimated cost of \$200,000. A start date has not been released.

### Port Washington, New York (Nassau County)

#### Completed Project

Rehabilitation of the 24-inch diameter (24"Ø) outfall line from the plant, landside to the Manhasset Bay outfall was completed during March 2008. A final cost of \$546,000 was incurred.

#### Project in Progress

Under way (11% complete) during 2008, plant modifications are being constructed for nitrogen removal capabilities. Costs are re-estimated at \$22.2 million. The District completed the Facility Plan and the Plan was subsequently approved by the NYS DEC in January 2006. An oxidation ditch which typically operates in an extended aeration mode with long detention and solids retention times is the technology selected by the District to provide denitrification. Additional installations include one new clarifier, UV disinfection, an enlarged plant emergency generator, as well as all associated pumps, piping and electrical needs. An operational start-up date for all work is anticipated for July 2010.

#### Future Project

Planned to begin during the late 2008 fall season, rehabilitation of the refractory (heat resistant ceramic component) in the sludge fluidized bed reactor will be completed during early 2009. The work is estimated to cost \$550,000.

### Red Hook, New York (Kings County)

#### Project in Progress

A continuing experiment, under way since July 2003, involves fuel cell efficiency.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

### Rockaway, New York (Queens County)

#### Completed Project

An engineering study ended during April which addressed implementation of a third aeration tank to eliminate premature secondary bypass to Rockaway Inlet.

#### Projects in Progress

The preliminary design for the stabilization project began during April 2006 and was anticipated to be complete during March 2008. Subsequently, a three-year construction agenda is planned to begin during March 2009.

Ongoing engineering studies are addressing total residual chlorine management, chlorine disinfection system improvements, and the first planning phase of plant-wide improvements.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

### Rockland County Sewer District No. 1, New York (Rockland County)

#### Projects in Progress

The District is operating under a State Consent Order to eliminate overflows during wet weather events. All Order dates are being met.

Planned for completion in 2009, engineering studies are addressing flow monitoring, I & I and hydraulic modeling of the collection system. These studies, collectively will incur costs of \$200,000.

Under way since 2006, construction of a new 1.5 MGD advanced treatment facility to serve western Ramapo will incur costs of \$44 million and is now 95% complete. The plant process will incorporate sand filters, microfilters, and post-aeration. An approximate operational start-up will be during December 2008. The new plant which is sited in Hillburn, New York, will discharge to the Ramapo River; this waterway is outside of the Interstate

Environmental District. The modernization of the existing Rockland County 28.9 MGD secondary facility includes replacement of debilitated treatment units and pump station improvements. Presently 75% complete, this work will incur costs of \$5.1 million and is anticipated to have an operational start-up during December 2009.

The first construction contract began in 2004 for the installation of principal trunk sewers, pump stations, force mains, and laterals in the Villages of Hillburn and Sloatsburg and the unincorporated portion of western Ramapo. Total construction is estimated to cost \$50 million. The sanitary sewers and force mains along Route 17 were completed (\$20 million); this infrastructure will be operational by December 2008. Another collection system phase (95% complete/\$2.5 million), will include the Ridge Road sanitary sewer extension and the Congers Road pump station. The 5th Avenue pipe bridge rehabilitation has recently begun (1% complete) and will incur costs of \$1.1 million. Collection system work in Western Ramapo (\$10 million) has a 12-month agenda starting in November 2008.

#### Future Projects

Additional existing treatment plant and pump station improvements will incur costs of \$10 million. Planned to begin in conjunction with the collection system work, this phase is rescheduled to begin during January 2009. Additional collection system construction for Western Ramapo, New York, will commence during the 2008 winter season. This \$10 million phase has an anticipated operational start-up date of December 2008. Rescheduled to begin during January 2009, the Cooper Morris Drive-Buena Vista Road-Dogwood Lane sewer extension and pump station will incur costs of \$6 million.

#### Springvale Apartments, New York (Westchester County)

##### Completed Project

Upgrades were completed and on-line during early August 2008 at this complex with a service population of 1,700. The work included a new drive for the secondary clarifier; new bearings on both RBCs, as well as shaft repairs. Final costs were not available.

#### Stony Point, New York (Rockland County)

##### Completed Project

At a final cost of \$57,000, the existing flowmeter was replaced during September 2008.

## Suffolk County Sewer District #1, Port Jefferson, New York (Suffolk County)

### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order to update its chemical bulk storage facilities. Substantial construction compliance dates were re-negotiated during September 2005. Presently, substantial construction has been completed.

An in-house water quality assessment of Port Jefferson Harbor and an engineering study for collection system upgrades are ongoing. Since 2006, the in-house staff is rehabilitating manholes and sewers to minimize I/I impacts. Expenditures are estimated at \$300,000. Additional pump station improvements, if needed, will be initiated. A pump station evaluation (\$50,000) to assess hydraulics was completed and recommendations are being considered.

In order to meet an existing SPDES effluent requirement for chlorine residual, UV disinfection facility installations are nearly complete and is on-line. The estimated \$23 million project is in the final testing phase (November 2008). A grant of 85% of the eligible construction cost from the NYS CW/CA Bond Act has been awarded. In January 2006, the District was awarded an additional \$1,298,500 from the 1996 NYS CW/CA Bond Act for the nitrogen removal and UV upgrade.

### Future Projects

Sewer rehabilitation and pump station upgrades are planned for lower Port Jefferson. An approximate construction start-up date is January 2010. Slated costs are estimated at \$1.5 million.

## Suffolk County Sewer District #3, Southwest, New York (Suffolk County)

### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order to update its chemical bulk storage facilities. The Order requires the completion of substantial construction by June 2007. This facility is also under a federal Consent Order to address the pretreatment program. The facility is in compliance with all Order dates.

Several engineering studies, design projects and RFP preparations are under way to address a variety of treatment unit and collection system improvements. Consulting engineers are currently designing grit handling improvements, UV disinfection facilities, and a sludge dewatering and disposal system. An evaluation of alternatives for the outfall pipe which discharges into the Atlantic Ocean is being considered. A design for an odor control system for the influent is also under way (80% complete).

SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT #3  
SUFFOLK COUNTY, NEW YORK



NEWLY INSTALLED BELT FILTER PRESS

*Photo Courtesy of SCSD*

A project has been awarded to evaluate I/I and develop the Capacity Management/ Operations and Maintenance program (\$200,000). Recently under way, methods to reduce extraneous flows, designated as Phase I, are under design (\$1.9 million). A study and design have begun to determine the feasibility of a capacity expansion to 10 MGD.

Sludge dewatering facility upgrades are 95% complete (\$25 million). The addition of a second influent force main is 95% complete (\$1.7 million). Facility-wide improvements including grit handling, plant security, UV disinfection and odor control are ongoing. Additionally, outfall and miscellaneous infrastructure improvements are being addressed. These items are re-estimated to cost \$60 million.

Suffolk County Sewer District #6, Kings Park, New York (Suffolk County)

Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order to update its chemical bulk storage facilities. The Order requires the completion of substantial construction by September 2005. Due to construction delays, a revised date is being negotiated. The facility is in compliance with all Order dates.

A feasibility study of installations of gravity sewers in portions of Kings Park and Smithtown is under way. The extent of sewerage is budget dependent. These towns are located on the north shore of Long Island, west of the Nissequogue River.

A re-estimated \$15 million SBR and equipment renovation is recently under way.

The installation includes a second SBR, an UV disinfection system and related construction. Future construction of the outfall will include a clean-out chamber on the barrier beach and a terminal fitting.

#### Suffolk County Sewer District #21, SUNY, New York (Suffolk County)

##### Completed Project

An electrical upgrade was completed and operational during 2007.

##### Projects in Progress

This facility is operating under a State Consent Order to update its chemical bulk storage facilities. A revision of compliance dates was negotiated; construction delays affected milestones. The Order now requires the completion of substantial construction by July 2009. The facility is in compliance with all Order dates.

Beginning during September 2008 (25% complete), the in-house staff is rehabilitating manholes and sewers to minimize I/I impacts. Expenditures are estimated at \$450,000.

A contract was awarded for an engineering report and design documents for additional nitrogen removal, plant expansion and effluent recharge. The facility plan has been submitted. A final report, contingent upon completion of the effluent recharge design will be submitted in late 2008 as discussions continue with NYS DEC. The expansion will address additional LISS Phase III nitrogen reduction targets.

##### Future Project

Construction of sequencing batch reactors is planned in order to increase the plant capacity by 0.15 MGD to a total design flow of 2.65 MGD. Anticipated construction for early 2009 will address additional treatment processes. To enable compliance with LISS Phase III nitrogen reduction targets, a portion of the treated effluent will be diverted to groundwater. An approximate operational date is mid-2014 and will incur re-estimated costs of \$18 million.

#### Tallman Island, New York (Queens County)

##### Projects in Progress

The Tallman Island upgrading is a multi-phase project intended to improve process efficiency, reduce manpower requirements, improve reliability, and maintain compliance with all applicable permit requirements and Consent Orders. Subsequent to the start of this project, the City entered into the NYS DEC SPDES Administrative Consent Order-Nitrogen



Reduction Agreement. This Order requires this facility to be retrofitted to reduce nitrogen loadings into the East River and Long Island Sound. This 80 MGD secondary treatment plant is located on the south side of the upper reach of the East River.

Phase I is the only phase with a defined scope of work and consists of high priority repairs and implementation of low-level BNR. The major stabilization improvements in this phase include the replacement of the main sewage pumps and process air blowers. BNR improvements — such as increased blower capacity, mixers, baffles, and increased RAS capacity — will also be implemented. The estimated cost of this phase is \$280 million and was registered for FY 2007. Construction is anticipated to be complete during December 2013.

TALLMAN ISLAND WPCP  
QUEENS COUNTY, NEW YORK



RETROFIT STEP FEED BNR

*Photo Courtesy of NYC DEP*

Phase II of the Tallman Island upgrade includes BNR enhancement work including methanol, alkalinity and polymer addition, and centrate treatment. Other major items include new main sewage pumps and engines, digester improvements, and plant-wide instrumentation. In order to avoid a bypass event while replacing the main sewage pumps and suction piping, a \$6 million pump-around-system will be constructed. This three-year construction phase started in 2005 and, as mandated by Consent Order, must be constructed and operational by December 31, 2009. This phase is estimated to cost \$233 million.

Phase III of the Tallman Island upgrade includes BNR enhancement work including methanol addition and centrate treatment. This three-year construction phase is estimated to cost \$23 million. Overall, contract work is less than 14% complete.

Several engineering experiments are under way to investigate automated chlorine control using total chlorine residual analyzers, automatic sampling based on plant effluent

and flow pace and the evaluation of new hypochlorite pumping system for disinfection.

The Alley Creek Drainage Area Improvements/CSO Abatement Facilities Project, which has been designated as Phase I of the comprehensive Alley Creek Project, will be constructed in three stages: the Alley Creek Drainage Area Improvements (Stage 1), the Alley Creek CSO Abatement Facilities (Stage 2), and the Alley Park Environmental Restoration (Stage 3). The Oakland Ravine Stormwater Treatment System (ORSTS), a stormwater treatment system in the form of settling basins and natural emergent wetlands which is not a part of the CSO abatement project, has been designated as Phase II of the comprehensive Alley Creek Project. Alley Creek is located at the head of Little Neck Bay, an embayment of western Long Island Sound.

The principal elements of the project include additional stormwater and combined sewers, a new outfall sewer, and a new combined sewer outfall to substantially eliminate street flooding and sewer surcharging, and construction of a new 5 MG CSO storage facility to abate CSO discharges into Alley Creek (Stage 1). Stage 2 is the activation of the 5 MG CSO storage facility, upgrading the Old Douglaston pumping station to enhance the station's reliability to pump the captured combined sewage to the Tallman Island WPCP for treatment, a fixed weir constructed within the new outfall sewer at its downstream end near the outfall to induce storage of the combined sewage, and a baffle constructed within the outfall sewer immediately upstream of the fixed weir for floatables control. This stage began during December 2006 and is anticipated to be operational in June 2009. Finally, a permanent ecological restoration of approximately 23.5 acres within Alley Park including the restoration and/or creation of 8.2 acres of wetlands and 15.3 acres of upland/parkland community comprised of trees, shrubs, herbaceous plants and grasses. This final stage began during August 2007 and is planned to be complete during August 2009. Total costs are estimated at \$130 million and is 80% complete.

Ongoing since October 2003, engineering studies are addressing automated chlorine controls, installation and evaluation of new hypo-chlorite pumping systems and automated samplers for plant effluent and flow pace.

#### Future Project

The objective of the Flushing Bay CSO facility is to improve the water quality of Flushing Creek and Bay by substantially reducing combined sewer overflows during rainstorms; these waters have a confluence with the upper East River. This phase was substantially completed on May 17, 2007, and was planned to be fully operational during August 2008. Additional elements to be addressed through 2027 include the construction of a 25 MG retention tunnel, sewer upgrades, and dredging. Estimated costs for this agenda is \$2.146 billion.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

## 26th Ward, New York (Kings County)

### Completed Project

A long term engineering experiment was completed during February 2008 which addressed biological nutrient removal.

### Projects in Progress

The 26th Ward WPCP upgrade is a multi-phase project to improve process efficiency, reduce manpower requirements, and improve reliability. This modernization will ensure compliance with all applicable SPDES permit requirements and Consent Orders. Phase II of the facility-wide upgrade involves the replacement of the main sewage pumping station force main. Other collection system installations include a new force main and flow meter on the plant site, installation of a new header within the existing pump station, connection of each pump to the new force main, and temporary pumping while the connections are made. Construction of the new force main will require relocation of the existing fuel oil storage tanks. The existing tanks are aging and will be replaced with temporary, above-ground tanks. The project bid price was \$16,926,750. Construction for this project began during May 2005.

26TH WARD WPCP  
KINGS COUNTY, NEW YORK



MAIN FACILITY LOCATED ON HENDRIX CREEK IN JAMAICA BAY

*Photo Courtesy of NYC DEP*

Phase III will concentrate on BNR installations and other improvements at the plant. The scope of work for this phase includes replacement of the preliminary settling tank mechanical equipment (sludge pumps and piping), blower motors and control systems,

aeration tank diffusers, return sludge pumps, thickener mechanisms, and various electrical and HVAC elements. Refurbishment of the existing process air blowers, miscellaneous improvements to the final settling tanks, and construction of a new chlorine storage building are the final agenda items for this phase. Work began during October 2005 with associated costs re-estimated at over \$91.05 million.

Collectively, the Consent Order construction completion milestone of the facility-wide upgrade was June 30, 2008. The milestone modification date requested is December 31, 2009. The current projected completion date is January 6, 2010. Presently, the contract work is 56% complete.

Engineering designs are nearly complete for the replacement of the existing two 4.0 MW gas turbine generators with three 2.5 MW diesel engine generators. Construction costs are re-estimated at \$45 million. Subsequent construction is expected to commence during FY 2008.

Several engineering studies have been ongoing since 1991 which address biological nutrient removal, centrate nitrogen removal (postponed due plant-wide construction), polymer addition for sludge thickening enhancement, and the use of fuel cells for co-generation and the sludge thickener blankets.

The Spring Creek AWPCP was originally constructed and placed into service in the early 1970s. Its function is to capture CSO flows from tributary drainage areas in Brooklyn and Queens. The plant, with a capacity of 20 MG, provides for stormwater detention, solids settling, and disinfection contact time. A stabilization study was performed in the early 1990s and a design was completed by the end of 1999. The facility upgrade will consist of replacement of the pumps and controls, rehabilitation of personnel facilities and basins, installation of a new spray water system and a new emergency generator. Also, construction of a new odor control building and a new scavenger waste manhole are necessary. Construction started in February 2003, and was anticipated to be complete during May 2007 at a re-estimated total construction cost of \$85 million.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

#### Future Project

A comprehensive upgrading at 26th Ward, including expansion of the plant to accept 50 MGD of additional flow during storm events, is being planned. Engineering services for this work are being procured. Design for the comprehensive upgrading began during June 2006. It is anticipated that substantial construction will be complete during December 2015. The multi-phase construction will include two additional preliminary settling tanks and a new raw sewage pumping station with additional chlorine contact tanks. The preliminary construction for the two phases is re-estimated to cost \$896 million.

## Wards Island, New York (New York County)

### Projects in Progress

Engineering studies and experiments under way since 2004 focus on polymer additions and enhancements, several froth control alternatives, and automation of the chlorination system.

The Wards Island WPCP upgrading is a multi-phase project to improve process efficiency, reduce manpower requirements, and improve reliability. These necessary steps will ensure compliance with all applicable permit SPDES requirements and Consent Orders. The upgrades will address the digester gas system, secondary treatment equipment and implement BNR in the existing secondary treatment facilities. The plant's remote facilities are currently under construction to upgrade the mechanical treatment equipment and architectural attributes of the Bronx grit chamber which is considered a New York City landmark.

WARDS ISLAND WPCP  
NEW YORK COUNTY, NEW YORK



RETROFIT STEP FEED BNR

*Photo Courtesy of NYC DEP*

The physical facilities of the Bronx and Manhattan grit chambers are currently under construction. The rehabilitation includes automation of grit handling, electrical upgrades, odor control, new emergency generators and other equipment as needed. The bid price was \$91 million and the current cost is \$108.7 million. The structures will be in compliance with current building codes. The Manhattan grit chamber will be renovated based on concepts approved by the Art Commission of NYC. The Bronx grit chamber will be renovated based on concepts approved by the NYC Landmarks Preservation Commission.

Phase III previously included all work necessary to provide 20 years of reliable service for the solids handling facility. Due to budget constraints, this phase has been deferred until 2010. As an interim measure, Phase III was designed to stabilize the solids handling facility and has been designated Plant Stabilization 1. Improvements to the thickeners, gas handling system and gas holder are included. The construction began during June 2005 and will require about 4 years to complete. The bid price was \$42.4 million and the current cost is \$48.7 million.

Collectively, the Consent Ordered construction completion milestone is December 31, 2010. The current projected completion is May 22, 2014. Presently, the contract work is 33% complete.

BNR related improvements, as well as other stabilization improvements will be implemented under Plant Stabilization 2. BNR will include process air blowers, separate centrate treatment, chemical addition systems, aeration tank upgrades, and new RAS pumps. In addition, process improvements such as gate replacement, final settling tank drives, control panels and concrete repair will be performed. Construction began during April 2006 and will require about four years to complete. The bid price was \$173.4 million and the current costs incurred are \$190.5 million. Existing Consent Order requirements mandate BNR completion and operational by December 31, 2010.

Plant Stabilization 3 includes improvements to the main electrical substation. An additional feed will support additional loads as a result of construction of various demonstration projects and Plant Stabilization 2. This work began during June 2008 and has a construction agenda of 18 months. The bid price and current cost is \$8.2 million. Upgrades to the chemical and petroleum bulk storage systems to meet federal, State and local regulations are under way since August 2008 and will require about 18 months to complete. The bid price and current cost is \$11.4 million.

See the Bowery Bay write-up for information on City-wide projects.

#### Yonkers Joint Wastewater Treatment Plant, New York (Westchester County)

##### Projects in Progress

The North Yonkers pump station is operating under a State Consent Order (June 25, 2007) for exceeding the existing SPDES permit effluent limitation for oil and grease. Westchester County DEF submitted another plan to track petroleum-based oil and grease in the North Yonkers pump station effluent (July 25, 2007). WC DEF submitted a plan to develop BMPs that address food grease (August 1, 2007). A final resolution of this Order was not made available.

Collection system improvements include pump station mechanical upgrades, pump

replacements at two pump stations and installation of one emergency generator. Under way since February 2007, the percent completeness of this work was not available. Costs were estimated at \$700,000.

Re-estimated to cost \$27.5 million, a multi-phase improvement project includes a skimming system for the final tanks, a HVAC rehabilitation in the screen and grit building, primary digester system upgrades, an Hudson River bulkhead rehabilitation and a new emergency generation system. Under way during March 2008, an operational start-up is anticipated for 2010.



CRANE-BARGE NORTHBOUND ON THE HUDSON RIVER, JUNE 2008

*Photo by G. Spencer, IEC*



## AMBIENT AND EFFLUENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING

During 2008, the Commission continued to conduct extensive compliance monitoring programs of municipal and industrial wastewater discharges. Ambient water quality surveys were conducted year-round to document hypoxia, to measure pathogens affecting shellfish beds and bathing beaches, to perform pathogens track down in IEC's tri-state District, as well as to collect data to support pathogens TMDL development. The Commission's laboratory and field staff perform analyses on samples collected at wastewater treatment plants and industrial facilities, as well as on samples collected from the ambient waters. IEC conducted scheduled and reactive sample collection programs in response to regulatory compliance, wet weather conditions, and the need for information on dissolved oxygen and pathogens. Field inspections of CSOs, SSOs and MS4s were conducted during dry weather to discover illegal discharges and to take steps to have them remediated.

The Commission continued its weekly summer sampling to document hypoxic (low dissolved oxygen) conditions in western Long Island Sound and the upper East River. This year, 2008, was the 18th consecutive summer season that the Commission conducted this sampling. This survey was performed utilizing the IEC's research vessel, the R/V Natale Colosi. The monitoring is performed in support of the National Estuary Program's Long Island Sound Study and was conducted from late June through late September in cooperation with several other agencies. Through agreements with CT DEP, IEC collected and delivered surface water samples to the Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering at the University of Connecticut (UConn) for chlorophyll a analysis.



R/V NATALE COLOSI  
*Photo by P. Sattler, IEC*

The 2007-2008 winter season was the 13th consecutive winter-spring season that IEC participated in a cooperative effort with the NJ DEP and US EPA. The Commission's field staff collected surface water quality samples for the assessment of the sanitary conditions of shellfish beds in the New Jersey portion of western Raritan Bay. This project is conducted using the US FDA's sampling protocols. The Commission plans to continue reactive sampling in western Raritan Bay during the 2008-2009 winter and spring seasons.

In support of the NY-NJ HEP and the New York State Hudson River Estuary Program, IEC staff conducted an ambient water quality monitoring program for pathogens under dry and wet conditions. This year's effort was the third consecutive year for this monitoring project. Sample collection began in October 2007 and was then continued and completed during the period from April through June 2008. The project covered the area from Yonkers, NY, north to Bear Mountain. All water quality samples were delivered to the IEC laboratory for analysis of fecal and total coliforms, fecal streptococcus, enterococcus and E. coli. This unique data set will be used for state and interstate water quality assessments, bathing beach water quality, model calibrations, and TMDL development.



The Commission participated in the sixth World Water Monitoring Day which is an outgrowth of the 2002 National Water Monitoring Day; IEC has participated in this event since its inception. In situ measurements of dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature, and water clarity were made at nine established water quality stations in the upper East River and Long Island Sound during September. These waterways are within the IED, as well as the core areas of two National Estuary Programs. All of the data were submitted to an international data bank which can be accessed at [www.worldwatermonitoringday.org](http://www.worldwatermonitoringday.org).

All analyses performed by the Commission's laboratory are in accordance with IEC's Laboratory Quality Control Manual, Quality Assurance Project Plans, and Quality Management Plan, all of which are approved by US EPA. IEC's laboratory is certified by NJ DEP, NYS DOH and CT DPH. The Commission's laboratory also has certification under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) from the NJ DEP, the NYS DOH and the and CT DPH. NELAP, under the auspices of the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC), is sponsored by the US EPA. The purpose of NELAC is to foster the generation of environmental laboratory data of known and documented quality through the development of national performance standards. In October 2007, the Commission's laboratory received NELAP certification for the analysis of E. coli in non-potable water using MPN technology. This approval was sought to enable the Commission's laboratory to perform E. coli analysis on samples IEC collected on the Hudson River in support of the New York State Hudson River Estuary Program, as described above. With the addition of E. coli certification, the Commission's laboratory now has NELAP approval to perform analyses on 41 parameters using a total of 45 different methods.

Investigations of private and municipal facilities involve a six-hour sampling period and an inspection of processes, equipment, and plant records. Investigations of industrial facilities generally involve a 24-hour period or a full day's production. Analyses are performed for the parameters specified in the facilities' National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits which contain the Commission's requirements. The data generated from these investigations are used to determine compliance with IEC's Water Quality Regulations and with each facility's NPDES permit effluent limitations. The Commission coordinates the industrial compliance monitoring of major dischargers, as well as its monitoring of municipal facilities, with the environmental departments of its member states and US EPA.



NEW YORK POWER AUTHORITY-POLETTI PROJECT

*Photo by D. Sattler*

In 2008, in addition to conducting unannounced effluent surveys, the IEC continued, for the fifth consecutive year, a cooperative program with NYS DEC - Region 2 whose jurisdiction encompasses the five boroughs of New York City. This effort consists of the Commission conducting what NYS DEC defines as reconnaissance inspections and comprehensive inspections at NYC DEP's 14 wastewater treatment plants. Under an US EPA ORD grant during 2007, the Commission documented the effectiveness of blending at treatment plants; the final report is under review. Blending is the practice at a WPCP of diverting a part of the peak wet weather flow around biological treatment units and combining effluent from all processes prior to disinfection and discharge to the receiving waterway.

The Commission's laboratory has been located on the campus of the College of Staten Island (CSI) since December 1993. In addition to the day-to-day analyses performed at the laboratory, the Commission — both on its own and in collaboration with the Center for Environmental Science (CES) at CSI — submits proposals for research projects whose results would benefit the environment and the citizens throughout the Tri-State Region. The laboratory director and staff continually have research papers and articles published, as well as making presentations at prestigious environmental forums and being involved with mentoring students enrolled in the CES Masters Degree program.



EASTBOUND ON THE EAST RIVER, 2008  
*Photo by G. Spencer, IEC*

## SPECIAL INTENSIVE SURVEYS


### 2008 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring in Long Island Sound to Document Dissolved Oxygen Conditions

With an ongoing need to document the hypoxic conditions in Long Island Sound and its embayments where the majority of primary recreational activities take place, US EPA - Region 2 again requested that the Commission continue to conduct an intensive ambient water quality survey in support of the Long Island Sound Study during 2008. For the 18th consecutive year, the IEC participated in a cooperative sampling effort with other government agencies during the critical summer season. The existing data sets have been significantly enhanced by the weekly data collected by IEC for western Long Island Sound and its embayments and the upper East River. The information will also be used to measure the effectiveness of management activities and programs implemented under the Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan. The Commission disseminates its data on a weekly basis to give cooperating agencies and volunteer monitoring groups an immediate picture of environmental conditions, as well as a basis for comparison with historic and ongoing monitoring programs.

IEC is an active participant on the Long Island Sound Study Monitoring Workgroup. This is the Workgroup that determined and agreed to station locations, parameters, methodologies, QA/QC, data sharing, etc. A map and a listing of the 2008 station locations are on the following pages. A subset of these ambient water quality stations (those marked with an asterisk on the station listing) were monitored on September 23rd for the World Water Monitoring Day data set.

CT DEP again volunteered to have all chlorophyll a analyses performed and to bear the cost for these analyses. The samples collected by the IEC — as well as those collected by NYC DEP and CT DEP — were filtered, archived, and frozen until shipped to the Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering (formerly named the Environmental Research Institute) at the University of Connecticut. Under agreements between CT DEP and US EPA's Long Island Sound Office (LISO), the analyses for chlorophyll a were conducted by the University of Connecticut (UConn).

Low levels of oxygen can be fatal to aquatic life if levels remain persistent and drop below the organisms' threshold to survive. Fish kills can also occur due to predation and toxic phytoplankton. During its weekly sampling runs, the Commission has always communicated from the field with local environmental and health agencies to pass on current information about unique events. Additional monitoring in response to fish kills and beach closures has taken place in past years. Because the Commission's research vessel is available and accessible to typical western Long Island Sound trouble spots, the NYS DEC's Division of Marine Resources requested the IEC to assist and respond to fish kills. On September 13th, a fish kill — menhaden — was observed in Mamaroneck Harbor. This type of information is usually reported directly from the field to the NYS DEC, Division of Marine Resources, and to the IEC office which then disseminates the information to the members of the Regional Bypass Workgroup. In this case, the observation was made by a local fisherman who contacted the IEC and the information was then disseminated by established



**INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

**2008 LONG ISLAND SOUND STUDY SAMPLING STATIONS**

STATION	WATER COLUMN DEPTH (meters)	LOCATION		DESCRIPTION
		LATITUDE NORTH D M S	LONGITUDE WEST D M S	
A1 *	26	40-48-12	73-49-36	East of Whitestone Bridge
A2M *	35	40-48-06	73-47-00	East of Throgs Neck Bridge
8-403	3	40-46-38	73-45-38	Little Neck Bay - ~0.2 nm W of yellow nun "B"
8-405	3	40-47-33	73-45-49	Little Neck Bay - ~0.15 nm North of LNB mid- channel buoy
A3 *	12	40-50-30	73-45-18	Hewlett Point South of Fl G 4 Sec "29"
9-409	4	40-49-44	73-43-05	Manhasset Bay
9-412	4	40-49-20	73-42-45	Manhasset Bay
9-413	3	40-48-26	73-42-49	Manhasset Bay
A4 *	35	40-52-35	73-44-06	East of Sands Point, mid-channel
A5 *	13	40-53-54	73-41-12	~2.6 nm East of Execution Lighthouse
B1S	15	40-56-42	73-40-00	Porgy Shoal South of Fl G 4 Sec R "40"
B2	20	40-56-06	73-39-12	Matinecock Point 1.6 nm North of Gong "21"
B3M *	19	40-55-12	73-38-42	Matinecock Point 0.7 nm North of Gong "21"
B4	15	40-54-24	73-38-06	Matinecock Point South of Gong "21"
DI1	10	40-53-33	73-46-24	Davids Island North of Nun "10A"
DI2	6	40-53-40	73-46-00	Davids Island East of Nun "4"
H-A3 *	3	40-55-24	73-43-12	Delancy Point South of Can "1"
H-B *	12	40-54-48	73-42-54	0.7 nm Southeast of Daymarker Fl R 4 Sec
H-C	8	40-51-54	73-40-30	Hempstead Harbor East of R Bell "6"
H-C1 *	11	40-53-12	73-41-42	Hempstead Harbor~ 2.0 nm East of Sands Point
H-D	7	40-50-42	73-39-36	Hempstead Harbor East of Can "9"

\* In situ measurements of dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature and water clarity conducted for World Water Monitoring Day, September 23, 2008.



notification protocols.

Due to the extended 2008 hypoxia event, 13 weekly sampling runs were conducted from the end of June through September 23rd when observations showed an end to hypoxia; all dissolved oxygen readings were above 5 mg/l. The ambient network of 21 stations was sampled weekly and in situ measurements were made for pH, temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen (DO). Measurements were taken one meter below the surface, at mid-depth, and one meter above the bottom. For stations deeper than 15 meters, measurements were taken at five depths — the two additional depths being one equidistant between the surface and mid-depth samples, and one equidistant between the mid-depth and bottom samples. For the seventh consecutive year, the measurement of water clarity or Secchi depth was collected. A Secchi disc is lowered into the water until it disappears and raised until it appears; this equates to the vertical transparency, or distance below the water surface, that light penetrates. Secchi depth measurements ranged from 0.5 to 3.4 meters. This range is nearly the same as in the past six years. In general, measurements in the embayments are less than 1 meter while open water stations had better clarity with values greater than 2.0 meters. During 2008, observations below 1.0 meter were rare, but were always in the north shore Nassau County embayments. This correlates with the few high chlorophyll a concentrations observed in the embayments, as well as with low concentrations in the open waters of the Sound. Clarity on the surface does not necessarily equate to good vertical or horizontal visibility on the bottom.



*Photo by P. Sattler, IEC*

Samples for chlorophyll a, a pigment found in aquatic plants and used as an indicator of algal production, were collected one meter below the surface on alternate runs at all stations. These were filtered, archived, frozen, and subsequently shipped by overnight mail to the Center for Environmental Sciences and Engineering at UCONN. To ensure consistency amongst the agencies, this lab also analyzed the samples collected by NYC DEP and CT DEP. Chlorophyll a values ranged from 1.9 to 129.5 ug/l which are nearly consistent with all observations for the current century. The lowest values were observed in the open waters, specifically the East River (1.9 to 11.9 ug/l) and are nearly exactly the same as 2007. The highest values were observed in the embayments (2.2 to 129.5 ug/l). All sampling, sample preservation and analyses were done according to procedures accepted by the US EPA. All field measurements were summarized and forwarded weekly to US EPA - Region 2's Long Island Sound Office, the CT DEP's Bureau of Water Management, the Nassau County Health Department, the NYS DEC Division of Marine Resources, the NYC DEP Marine Sciences Section, Westchester County Department of Health, US EPA's modeling contractor, and to several volunteer monitoring groups. The data are available from the Commission's office. The Long Island Sound data, as well as all Commission ambient water quality data, can be retrieved from STORET, the US EPA's national data base.

Dissolved oxygen is a measure of the ecological health of a waterbody. A dissolved oxygen concentration of 5 mg/l is considered to be protective of most marine aquatic life. According to IEC's Water Quality Regulations, a waterbody classified as "Class A" — as are all the stations

included in this IEC survey — has a minimum dissolved oxygen requirement of 5 mg/l at all times. Waters of this type are suitable for primary contact recreation, fish propagation and, in designated areas, shellfish harvesting. During 2001, CT DEP adopted revised DO criteria in some of the Long Island Sound waters in Connecticut. NYS DEC is also addressing this issue in Long Island Sound and other New York waters. The NYS DEC adopted revised water quality regulations on February 16, 2008. Monitoring methodologies will be addressed in a TOGs to be developed by NYS DEC. TOGS is the NYS DEC's Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS). To date, NJ DEP has not proposed any revisions to their DO criteria in the New Jersey waters of the NY-NJ Harbor Complex, which also encompasses the IED. Since the interstate waters in Connecticut, New York and New Jersey are also IEC waters, whatever is done by IEC's member states in those waters is going to affect IEC and the course of action the Commission might have to take regarding its DO regulations.

A presentation of the dissolved oxygen data acquired during the 2008 ambient water quality monitoring in Long Island Sound is shown on the pie chart entitled "2008 Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring". Measurements of dissolved oxygen concentration in both surface and bottom waters are separated and grouped into the following three categories. Dissolved oxygen concentrations that are less than three mg/l ( $<3.0$  mg/l) reflect hypoxic conditions; under these conditions, very few types of juvenile fish can survive, many adult fishes will avoid or leave the area, and those organisms not free to move (sessile) will die. For dissolved oxygen concentrations which are greater than or equal to three mg/l ( $\geq 3.0$  mg/l) and less than five mg/l ( $<5.0$  mg/l), marine resources surviving in this range are at threshold levels for reduced growth and abundance. The impact to marine organisms is dependent on the duration and spatial extent of hypoxia, as well as the water temperature, salinity and the distribution and behavioral patterns of resident species. Dissolved oxygen concentrations of at least five mg/l ( $\geq 5.0$  mg/l) are considered to be protective of most marine aquatic life. The summer of 2008 marks the eighth consecutive year that hypoxic conditions were measured in the surface waters of the Sound; the extent was the least during 2007. For all stations, the surface water range of dissolved oxygen was 2.3 to 11.6 mg/l. The waters of western Long Island Sound, which tend to be stratified, were well mixed, but hypoxic. The lowest value at the surface was recorded on August 18th. Bottom waters ranged from 0.4 to 10.6 mg/l with the low values representing extreme hypoxia and, in some areas, anoxic conditions. These extremely low values were recorded from mid-July to mid-September. The temporal range of poor surface and bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations were the worst observed since the surveys began in 1991.

As shown on the pie charts depicting 2007 and 2008 monitoring data, the condition of the surface waters was somewhat worse during 2008 than in 2007. The 2008 surface water in situ measurements for the categories of *Greater Than 5 mg/l*, *Between 3 and 5 mg/l*, and *Less Than 3 mg/l* are 67.5%, 28.2% and 4.3%, respectively. In the same category order, the results of the 2007 survey were 79.5%, 18.3% and 2.2%, respectively. The weather patterns for 2008 were unremarkable (over 1" of rain above the yearly average): wet April through June, very humid summer with little wind.

Based on the percentage of hypoxic readings, the bottom waters of the Sound were extremely

# **INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**


## **LONG ISLAND SOUND STUDY**

### **2008 DISSOLVED OXYGEN MONITORING**

#### **SURFACE AND BOTTOM WATERS**


##### **SURFACE WATERS**

Range of Dissolved Oxygen Values: 2.3 to 11.6 mg/l



##### **BOTTOM WATERS**

Range of Dissolved Oxygen Values: 0.4 to 10.6 mg/l






# INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

## LONG ISLAND SOUND STUDY


### 2007-2008 DISSOLVED OXYGEN MONITORING

#### SURFACE AND BOTTOM WATERS

##### *SURFACE WATERS*



##### *BOTTOM WATERS*



poor. As displayed in the bottom half of the pie chart entitled “2007 - 2008 Dissolved Oxygen Monitoring,” the 2008 bottom water in situ measurements for the categories of *Greater Than 5 mg/l*, *Between 3 and 5 mg/l* and *Less Than 3 mg/l* are 6.3%, 33.3% and 60.4%, respectively. In the same category order, the bottom water results of the 2007 survey were 26.8, 54.1% and 19.1%. A variety of natural and anthropogenic factors (water pollution, municipal water pollution control programs, weather, circulation pattern changes, proliferation or lack of algal blooms, etc.) contribute to hypoxia and year-to-year variability.

It is important to know the time period in which hypoxic conditions occur in surface and bottom waters. A display of the variation of the average dissolved oxygen concentration at all 21 stations between weekly sampling dates is shown on the graph entitled “Surface and Bottom Waters: Average and Range of All Stations Sampled”. The averages, maximum and minimum values of surface and bottom waters for each run are displayed and represented separately. The graph indicates that hypoxic conditions were observed in surface waters during the 2008 sampling; this is the eighth year in a row that these conditions were observed in surface waters. Prior to 2001, the last observation by IEC of hypoxic conditions in the surface waters was in 1997.

During 2008, hypoxic, as well as anoxic conditions ( $DO < 2 \text{ mg/l}$ ), were observed in bottom waters from early July until mid-September. As early as June 30th, three stations recorded values less than 3 mg/l through July 23rd. For the eleven-week period from July 10th to September 15th, as many as 20 stations recorded values of less than 3mg/l; 10 stations recorded values less than 1 mg/l on August 25th. Due to the extended hypoxia event, this was the first summer survey that was conducted for 13 weeks in stead of 12.

A gradual and positive recovery of lobster catches in western Long Island Sound has been observed in past years. Lobster had been a major cash crop for this area; prior to 1999, it was the third largest producer behind Maine and Massachusetts. Dead lobsters were reported in traps in late November 1998 and by late August 1999, catches in western Long Island Sound were nearly zero. The 2007 commercial and recreational harvest in the western and central portions of the Sound was better than 2003 when the harvest started to recover — especially compared to 2000 when the dockside landings were almost nonexistent. However, the 2008 lobster harvest was very poor.

Minimum size regulations for lobster in Long Island Sound were increased from 3 1/4" to 3 9/32" in 2005. Additional protective measures were enacted on July 5, 2006, when the minimum size was increased to 3 5/16". The CT DEP announced on July 21, 2008, that the federal Lobster Conservation Management Area 6 (Long Island Sound) lobster “V-notch” program achieved the level of success needed to delay (for at least one year) an increase in the current minimum legal size for lobsters that are taken from the waters of Long Island Sound. NYS DEC was accepting comments through September 2, 2008, on lobster emergency regulations. The regulations establish a more conservative V-notch definition of 1/8" with or without setal hairs and a maximum carapace size limit of 5 1/4" for all lobsters in the federal Lobster Conservation Management Area 4 (Atlantic Ocean between 3 and approximately 30 miles offshore off the south coast of Long Island, New York, and westward to about the central coast of New Jersey).

# INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION


## LONG ISLAND SOUND STUDY

### 2008 DISSOLVED OXYGEN MONITORING


#### SURFACE AND BOTTOM WATERS:

#### AVERAGE AND RANGE OF ALL STATIONS SAMPLED

##### *SURFACE WATERS*



##### *BOTTOM WATERS*



Research dealing with disease and responses to stress in lobsters showed a threshold temperature of 20.5°C; bacterial infections increase due to higher temperatures and hypoxia. Mortality increases with low DO, high temperatures, high sulfide and ammonia concentrations resulting from organic matter decomposition. The profiles on the following page entitled, “2008 Monthly Bottom Water Temperature Distribution in Long Island Sound” illustrates the temporal extent for temperature at all 21 monitoring stations from west to east. In situ measurements of bottom temperatures recorded during 2008 were 14.0°C to 22.8°C in July; 19.5°C to 24.3°C in August, and 20.5°C to 24.1°C in September. Bottom temperature ranges were higher than observations made for the three-year period 2005 to 2007 measurements.

#### 2007-2008 Microbiological Surveys in the Shellfish Harvesting Waters of Western Raritan Bay

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Marine Water Classification and Analysis (BMWCA), regularly conducts ambient water quality monitoring of the State’s shellfish harvesting beds. In order to meet the increasing demands for sampling that the shellfish industry has requested, accompanied by a shortfall in staffing, the BMWCA requested the IEC, for the 13th consecutive year, to assist in sample collection in western Raritan Bay during the 2007-2008 winter and spring seasons.

Sampling runs were planned to collect the data needed to assess the microbiological quality of the shellfish waters; protocols used followed the criteria established by the US Food and Drug Administration’s National Shellfish Sanitation Program. The surveys were triggered by storm events with an intensity of at least 0.2 inches of rain. A window of 48 hours subsequent to the rain gives ample time to document the effects of the runoff. During 2005, the sampling route was expanded by four stations to include Keyport Harbor. All samples were collected from surface waters at 22 sampling stations. A map and a listing of the sampling stations are on the following pages. In conjunction with the NJ DEP/US EPA Performance Partnership Agreement, all samples were transported by IEC to the US EPA’s Edison, New Jersey, laboratory for analysis of fecal and total coliform bacteria.




During November 2007, the R/V Natale Colosi was moved to Raritan Bay and berthed at the Leonardo State Marina which is operated by the NJ DEP. From November 28, 2007, until April 30, 2008, three survey runs were completed. All sample collection, storage and delivery to the US EPA Edison laboratory adhered to chain of custody procedures and followed standard operating methods as outlined in the NJ DEP Field Sampling Procedures Manual. The Commission, at the request of BMWCA, will again conduct this survey over the 2008-2009 winter and spring seasons.


The 10,400 acres of Raritan Bay waters off the eastern shore of Staten Island, NY, represent nearly 45% of New York State’s hard clam industry. During 2002, a shortened shellfish season limited the harvest to 48,102 bushels from these waters. The economic hardship of the shortened season was compounded on March 13, 2003, when the NYS DEC Division of Marine Resources closed the harvest for 2003 due to quahog parasite unknown (QPX). QPX is a protozoan parasite

# BOTTOM WATER 2008 MONTHLY TEMPERATURE PROFILES IN LONG ISLAND SOUND


## JULY



## AUGUST




## SEPTEMBER



\* Stations inside embayments

IEC STATIONS (WEST TO EAST)



**INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

**2007-2008 SAMPLING STATION LOCATIONS**  
**FOR MICROBIOLOGICAL SURVEYS**  
**IN THE SHELLFISH HARVESTING WATERS OF WESTERN RARITAN BAY**

SAMPLE No.	STATION	LOCATION		DESCRIPTION
		LATITUDE NORTH D M S	LONGITUDE WEST D M S	
1	50	40-28-40	74-06-42	~0.7 nm south of Can "9"
2	10	40-29-23	74-06-58	~0.5 nm west of Can "9"
3	29A	40-28-58	74-08-09	~0.5 nm west of Buoy "I"
4	28	40-28-45	74-09-23	~1.8 nm north of Union Beach
5	26A	40-28-30	74-10-38	~1.1 nm north of Conaskonk Point
6	24A	40-28-20	74-11-50	~1.25 nm north of Buoy "7"
7	18	40-28-33	74-13-26	~1.0 nm east of Ward Point Daymarker
8	20A	40-28-53	74-14-53	~0.4 nm south of Ward Point Daymarker
9	20	40-28-20	74-14-45	Cheesequake Creek
10	21	40-27-54	74-14-38	Cheesequake Creek
11	23	40-28-02	74-13-18	Seidler Beach
12	58	40-27-35	74-13-09	Seidler Beach
13	56	40-27-56	74-11-41	Keyport Harbor
14	KP 2	40-26-58	74-12-21	Keyport Harbor
15	KP 1	40-26-31	74-12-10	Keyport Harbor
16	KP 3	40-26-52	74-11-55	Keyport Harbor
17	KP 4	40-26-52	74-11-28	Keyport Harbor
18	61A	40-27-23	74-11-33	Keyport Harbor
19	62	40-27-35	74-10-23	Conaskonk Point
20	63B	40-27-46	74-09-05	Keansburg
21	86A	40-27-28	74-07-42	Point Comfort
22	88A	40-27-10	74-06-15	Ideal Beach

(slime mold) that infects the soft tissue of the clam. This parasite is not harmful to humans and does not represent a public health threat, but is fatal to hard clams. In order to eliminate the spread of the parasite, the transfer program to cleaner, eastern waters of the District was stopped as a precautionary measure. There is no known treatment or cure for QPX. The closure remained in effect throughout 2004. On May 2, 2005, about 2,600 acres were reopened for transplant harvest. The areas approved for harvest are located west of a line extending southerly from the mouth of Lemon Creek (~2,000 acres) and to the east in the area of Great Kills Harbor (~650 acres). The program is restricted to a maximum of 40 diggers. The shellfish harvest waters remained open in 2008.

QPX was also confirmed in low prevalence in parts of the New Jersey waters of Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay. NJ DEP closed these areas to relay harvest, but allowed depuration harvest to continue in Raritan Bay. NJ DEP reopened Sandy Hook Bay to relay in 2004 based on the 2003 sampling results of null prevalence of QPX. Pathologists from New York and New Jersey have reported an overall decrease in QPX prevalence in Raritan Bay in 2004 in both States.

#### 2008 Ambient Water Quality Monitoring for Pathogens in the Hudson River from Yonkers to Bear Mountain

Within urban areas, pathogens levels are influenced by combined sewer overflows and stormwater runoff, both of which are widely recognized as major contributors to water pollution. Several recreational beaches, as well as many productive shellfish beds within the Interstate Environmental District have been frequently closed (some areas closed since the 1920s), primarily due to pathogens contamination. Priority attention has therefore been placed on site-specific surveys leading to a better understanding of the association between pathogens levels and point and non-point source runoff, especially discharges from storm sewers and combined sewer outfalls, as well as the pathogens distribution in receiving waterbodies. IEC has previously conducted a number of pathogens sampling projects at the request of the US EPA - Region 2, in support of the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary Program.

While most of the waters in the Interstate Environmental District have been recently sampled by IEC or other agencies for the bacterial parameters, there is limited monitoring of pathogens for the portion of the Hudson River between Yonkers and Bear Mountain. Recognizing this data gap, IEC, in cooperation with the NYS DEC's Hudson River Estuary Management Program, and local county health departments, developed a pathogens monitoring program for the aforementioned portion of the river. The results of this plan will be used to create a database for fecal coliform, total coliform, enterococcus and E. coli. Similar surveys District-wide were conducted between 2001 and 2005.

The 2006 survey consisted of six boat runs: three dry weather and three wet weather. The 2007 survey (amended QAPP, October 2007) was expanded to eight sampling runs: four during dry weather (planned) and four wet weather events (reactive); and one additional sampling station. A run was considered wet weather when there was at least 0.25 inches of rain as recorded at Lake DeForest, West Nyack, NY, during the previous 24-hour period. Mid-river samples were taken at



nine pre-determined locations that span from Iona Island (just south of the Bear Mountain Bridge) to a mid-river location by Alpine, NJ, and Yonkers, NY. This Alpine, NJ, sampling location was added in order to expand the 2007 survey and this is the southernmost station. This expanded survey was conducted in 2008. A map and listing of the sampling stations are on the following pages. All samples were transferred to the IEC laboratory and analyzed for pathogens including enterococcus, and fecal and total coliform and E. coli.

Temperature, salinity, conductivity, pH and water clarity (Secchi depth) were measured at each site. Bacterial growth is impacted by temperature. Favorable temperatures create conditions in which bacterial population growth rates are significantly higher than rates associated with adverse temperatures. Salinity, on the other hand, if compared amongst sample locations, could provide an indication of the extent of mixing of fresh water with salt water. It could also potentially describe, taking into account a variety of other parameters, the impact of discharged water in the vicinity of the sample location from sources including, but not limited to CSOs.


The R/V Natale Colosi returned to Tarrytown, NY, on October 1, 2007, to resume sampling in the Hudson River. As of the end of October, IEC completed a total of five sampling runs. Following the completion of the first run, IEC's laboratory obtained certification to analyze for E. coli, and began to perform all analyses outlined in the project. On October 31, 2007, the R/V Natale Colosi left for its winter berth in Leonardo, New Jersey (see the 2007-2008 Microbiological Surveys in the Shellfish Harvesting Waters of Western Raritan Bay for details). The R/V Natale Colosi returned to Tarrytown, NY, on May 7th, 2008. Between May 10th and May 21st, three wet weather boat runs were completed; those runs completed the full monitoring commitment to the 2007 amended QAPP. Under way on June 2nd through June 24, 2008, and completed on August 12th, the remainder of the wet weather samplings were completed. A summary of this three year effort on the Hudson River is below.

YEAR	DATES	DRY/WET(1) EVENTS	NUMBER OF STATIONS (2)	PARAMETERS (SURFACE)
1	2006 Riverkeeper (3): MAY-JUNE 2007	3/3 (2/1) (1/2)	8	Temperature, Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, Secchi Depth, Conductivity, and Pathogens -Total and Fecal Coliforms, Fecal Strep and Enterococcus
2	OCT 2007/MAY 2008	4/4	9	
3	MAY-JUNE 2008/AUG 2008	4/4	9	
4	2009 (Proposed)	4/4	9	

(1) Rain event of at least 0.25" as measured at Lake DeForest, NY and 24-hour response window.

(2) All sampling stations are mid-river locations.

(3) All sample collection conducted aboard the R/V Natale Colosi except where indicated.



# **INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION**

## **2008 SAMPLING STATION LOCATIONS** **FOR AMBIENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING** **FOR PATHOGENS IN THE HUDSON RIVER FROM YONKERS TO BEAR MOUNTAIN**

SAMPLE #	STATION #	LOCATION		DESCRIPTION
		LATITUDE NORTH D M S	LONGITUDE WEST D M S	
1	15	41°-18'-21"	73°-58'-15"	Iona Island- mid-river
2	14	41°-16'-40"	73°-57'-15"	Peekskill - mid-river
3	13	41°-14'-03"	73°-57'-35"	Georges Island - mid-river
4	12	41°-10'-48"	73°-55'-09"	Croton Point Park - mid-river
5	11	41°-07'-48"	73°-53'-25"	Nyack Beach - mid-river
6	10	41°-05'-42"	73°-53'-35"	Philipse Manor Beach - mid-river
7	9	41°-04'-48"	73°-53'-35	Tappan Zee Bridge - mid-river
8	8	41°-02'-31"	73°-53'-14"	Rockland County Joint Outfall - mid-river
9	8A	40°-59'-06"	73°-54'-30"	Alpine, New Jersey - mid-river

## Pathogen Track Down on the Byram River

The Byram River is an interstate waterway about 13 miles long. It runs between New York and Connecticut, with Port Chester, Westchester County, New York, on the west bank and Greenwich, Fairfield County, Connecticut, on the east. The river mouth empties into Port Chester Harbor and has a confluence with Long Island Sound. While a bacterial contamination problem in the Byram River has existed for some time, there's great interest in eliminating this pollution source because there are negative impacts on the shellfish beds that are used for recreational purposes in adjacent Greenwich Harbor, as well as the New York-Connecticut area beaches. The areas around this portion of the river are highly developed with numerous potential industrial and residential sources of bacteria.

Due to its interstate nature, in 2002 the CT DEP requested the Interstate Environmental Commission to coordinate and address oversight for a multi-agency pathogens track down investigation. The entities involved in the project are IEC, CT DEP, NYS DEC - Region 3, Westchester County Department of Health (WC DOH), Greenwich Health Department, and the Village of Port Chester. In 2002 and 2003, the investigation began by assessing historic data and examining potential industrial and municipal sources by reviewing NPDES permits and Consent Orders of record. IEC field staff conducted shoreline surveys, as well as inspected one pump station on the Westchester County side of the river. The Commission developed a QA/QC monitoring plan that was submitted and approved by US EPA - Region 1. The first priority was to identify the outfalls to be monitored for dry weather discharges (no rain within the previous 48-hours). Any discharge observed was then sampled and, where accessible, an ambient sample of the river was also taken. The samples were analyzed at the IEC laboratory for fecal coliform, total coliform, fecal streptococcus and enterococcus. A map on the following page shows the area of concern and the outfalls under investigation. During 2003, six ambient surveys were performed.

In early 2004, IEC met with the WC DOH to discuss locating contaminated discharges to the Byram River from the Village of Port Chester. The investigation involved following the discharges into the river upstream through the sewers. IEC and WC DOH jointly conducted investigations of storm sewers in Port Chester. Subsequently, inland tracking for dry weather flow and illegal hook-ups were jointly conducted by IEC and WC DOH. These inspections involved visually inspecting the interceptors during dry weather to locate illegal flows. Since 2004, these investigations have revealed numerous sources of contamination, usually coming from illegal connections to the storm sewer line and cross connections between storm sewer and sanitary lines. Upon the discovery of illegal connections in the storm sewers, IEC and WC DOH notified the Village of Port Chester and in several cases, the WC DOH issued Official Notices of Non-Compliance. Subsequently, the Village took the necessary steps to eliminate the illegal connections. From 2002 to 2008, 22 violations were discovered and, at this writing, 21 have been remediated. IEC and WC DOH continue to perform these joint inspections.

Once notified, the Village has taken the necessary steps to remediate all of the sources that were identified by the joint IEC-WC DOH investigations. Port Chester has been more proactive in



## INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION

PATHOGEN TRACKDOWN ON THE  
BYRAM RIVER  
2008






the process of locating potential sources. They have hired a contractor to investigate the system and have videotaped storm sewers to locate potential sources. Results of these investigations have led them to repair and/or reline sewer lines. They are continuing their investigation.

As part of this investigation, IEC has been sampling discharges into the Byram River and areas adjacent to those discharges. The IEC's most recent sampling was in September 2008 and laboratory results showed continued levels of bacterial contamination. IEC will continue to meet with and work with the other regulatory agencies in 2009. In addition, IEC is working with a grassroots citizen's organization in addressing Byram River watershed issues.

### World Water Monitoring Day

On July 28, 2006, the Water Environment Federation announced its adoption of World Water Monitoring Day. Founded by the America's Clean Water Foundation in 2002 with a national focus, the first National Water Monitoring Day in the United States was a great success. To continue to promote water quality awareness around the globe, the sixth annual World Water Monitoring Day was held on September 18, 2008, with sampling taking place between September 18th and October 18th. It was originally on October 18th to commemorate the anniversary of the enactment of the federal Clean Water Act. IEC has participated in this monitoring effort since its inception.



While World Water Monitoring Day is often associated with fresh water, it is important to consider the importance and impacts of water quality to marine habitats. In order to emphasize this point, WWMD has partnered with the 2008 International Year of the Reef. In order to achieve this goal of raising awareness about the value and importance of coral reefs, people world-wide should explore means for protecting these endangered aquatic ecosystems.

The IEC joined thousands of volunteers to sample water quality and report their results. It is estimated that nearly 10,000 sites in 48 states and 80 nations are part of the sampling network. While comprehensive monitoring goes on throughout the year, IEC conducted in situ testing of water quality parameters on September 23rd at nine sites in the upper East River and western Long Island Sound, covering a distance of about 29 nautical miles. These are the same sites monitored by IEC since 2002. The ambient water quality stations represent a subset of the LISS sampling network (see the 2008 LISS Sampling Stations for specific locations). In addition to meteorological and tidal conditions, parameters collected include dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature, and water clarity. All IEC data has been submitted to the World Water Monitoring Day website, [www.worldwatermonitoringday.org](http://www.worldwatermonitoringday.org) for inclusion into an international data bank.

## Harbor-wide Water Quality Monitoring Activities in the New York-New Jersey Harbor Complex

As part of and in cooperation with the NY-NJ HEP, the Interstate Environmental Commission has been chairing an Ad Hoc Committee to develop a harbor-wide water quality monitoring survey to be fashioned after the NYC DEP Harbor Survey. The conceptual monitoring survey is in place and addresses the entire New York-New Jersey Harbor Complex which includes state and interstate waters, as well as tributaries. The Committee includes IEC, US EPA - Region 2, NYS DEC, NJ DEP, NYC DEP, and the New Jersey Harbor Dischargers Group (NJHDG) which is chaired by PVSC. All of the aforementioned agencies have existing water quality monitoring programs within the HEP core study area. The conceptual plan is to be consistent with the existing New York City Harbor Survey so as to allow for a harbor-wide assessment of water quality.

To assess the data gaps necessary to have harbor-wide monitoring, the Committee looked at all aspects of the current and future sampling/data collection programs, including the parameters of concern, waterways, monitoring scenarios, methodologies, laboratory capabilities and capacities, QA/QC and final products. This Committee sought input from all HEP workgroups to identify needs.


Under way in late 2003, the NJHDG, with an initial grant from the HEP, established an ambient water quality monitoring program consisting of 33 stations. Subsequently, all funds were allocated from internal resources. During 2004, 16 parameters of concern including DO, nutrients and pathogens (except enterococcus) were collected weekly between May and September, and bimonthly between October and April. The analyses are conducted at three laboratories located at the Bergen County Utilities Authority, MCUA and PVSC. This program was maintained in 2008.

During the 2008 fall season, the report entitled, "*Harbor-Wide Water Quality Monitoring Report for the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary*" was issued. This water quality report is the first where the data from New York and New Jersey have been combined and analyzed together. The report presents bacterial and dissolved oxygen information for the Harbor as a whole, as well as for the principle geographical regions of the Harbor. The report can be accessed electronically at [www.harborestuary.org](http://www.harborestuary.org).

## 2008 BOAT INSPECTION TRIP

The Commission's annual Boat Inspection Trip provides an excellent opportunity for public officials and other parties interested in protecting the environment to view and discuss water quality issues affecting the Region.

The 2008 Boat Inspection Trip was held on August 6th and covered the upper East River and western Long Island Sound. On the southern side of the Sound, the trip included Little Neck Bay, Manhasset Bay, Hempstead Harbor, Oyster Bay, and Cold Spring Harbor. Crossing the Sound to its northern shoreline, the vessel visited Norwalk, Stamford and Greenwich, Connecticut, and New York's shorelines of Westchester and Bronx Counties. The map on the following page shows the





six-hour route which was traversed, covering over 70 nautical miles. The waters inspected during the trip provide for recreational powerboating and sailing; the use of canoes, kayaks and sculls; and a major sea-lane for the eastern seaboard. Other primary contact activities supported by these waters and observed during the trip included commercial and recreational fishing, shellfishing, crabbing and lobstering; scuba diving; swimming; jet skiing; parasailing; waterskiing; and windsurfing.

IEC Commissioners, officials from all levels of government, and citizen groups viewed bathing beaches and seaside parks, commercial hard clam and oyster operations, numerous party boats and small recreational vessels, sailing clubs comprised of dozens of vessels, tug and barge transports, urban and maritime industries, historical landmarks, and shipwreck sites. The lobster die-off that began in the fall of 1999 and literally devastated the 2000 and 2001 harvest seasons in western Long Island Sound, showed signs of activity by the presence of lobster pot markers during the eastern portion of the trip. A running dialogue of water quality issues, sights and points of interest, recommended fishing and scuba diving sites, as well as local lore dealing with lighthouses, embattlements and shipwrecks were provided throughout the trip.

The attendees viewed ongoing waterfront development, sewage treatment plants, sludge dewatering facilities, prison facilities, electrical/steam generating stations, closed landfills, a dredged material disposal site and CSO outfalls on the shores of the upper East River.

Attendees enjoyed skyline views; the magnificent homes of Connecticut and New York shore communities; and fragile bird sanctuaries on North and South Brother Islands in the East River, on Huckleberry Island off the Westchester County shore, and on Tavern Island in Sheffield Island Harbor. Up close viewing of nesting ospreys was observed at the Cold Spring Harbor light, Port Chester daymarker and Aunt Phoebe's Rock near David's Island, New Rochelle. The inspection trip gave the attendees a firsthand view of the progress that has been made and some of the problems that must still be addressed in the Region.

#### REGIONAL BYPASS WORKGROUP

The Regional Bypass Workgroup (RBWG) was formed in 1997 to address the issue of unplanned bypasses of raw and partially treated sewage, i.e., treatment plant upsets, broken pipes due to age, or construction mishaps. The Commission has chaired the RBWG since its inception. The RBWG has members from the IEC's three states' environmental and health departments, IEC, National Park Service, NJHDG, NYC DEP, US EPA, US FDA, US Coast Guard, and county health officials. The Workgroup has been using the Regional Bypass model to predict which areas may be affected by a particular bypass. Specifically, the quick predictions can determine whether a discharge occurring at a certain point will affect another area, and if there should be concern as to whether a beach or a shellfish area should be closed. Also, regional notification protocols were put in place and are updated annually.

For the first ten full calendar years (1998 to 2007), that the model and notification protocols have been in place the Commission has received between 93 and 269 bypass event notifications.

Originally, the focus of identifying bypass events was raw sewage. The focus has since been expanded to address any type of spill, i.e., chemical, oil, fuel, sludge and treatment reductions. The 254 bypass events reported to the Commission for the period January 1 to November 30, 2008, are shown below, delineated by state. The 2007 totals are included as a basis of comparison, as well as to report all bypass events for the past full calendar year.

	<u>Total</u> <u>Events in 2007</u>	<u>% of Total</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Events in 2008</u>	<u>% of Total</u>
Connecticut	0	0.0 %	0	0.0%
New Jersey	2	0.8 %	2	0.8%
New York	257	99.2 %	252	99.2%


The number of reported bypasses from 2004 through 2008 have been substantially higher than previous years. This may be primarily due to rainfall. In 2004 and 2005, the hurricane seasons were extremely active. While 2006 and 2007 were considered “wet years” with rainfall totals over 10 inches above the yearly average, 2008 had slightly above average rainfall (~1 inch), but had “storms” of high intensity rain events, which lead to just as many bypasses. Additionally, the hydraulic capacity of several NYC plants was diminished due to construction upgrades. The majority of the New York City and northern New Jersey collection systems are comprised of combined sewers and, when there is rain, the flows to the WPCPs increase. If the flow is greater than the plant design, part of the flow is “throttled”. This throttled flow is considered to be a bypass. For the 2008 reporting period, there were 187 wet weather bypass events reported to the RBWG, which accounted for 74% of the reported events. For 2003, less than 10% of the reported bypasses were caused by wet weather. The discrepancy in the number of bypasses reported by the States is partly because of the different reporting criteria of the States. Connecticut requires reporting of dry weather discharges only. For those municipalities with CSOs (i.e., Norwalk, Bridgeport, New Haven), no wet weather reporting is required. In New Jersey, any time a WPCP discharges any amount of untreated or partially treated wastewater (meaning it has bypassed some or all treatment), they must report the incident. NYS DEC requires reporting of all wet weather bypasses.

Although the majority of the bypass events occur in NYS DEC - Region 2 which encompasses the five boroughs of New York City, it should be noted that the majority of the treatment facilities, pump stations, regulators and gravity sewers and force mains that exist in this region are in New York City. A more detailed breakdown of the bypass events in New York is below:

	<u>Total NY Events in 2008</u>
Region 1 (Nassau/Suffolk)	1
Region 2 (5 NYC Boroughs)	249
Region 3 (Westchester/Rockland)	2
(Region 3 also includes the counties of Putnam, Dutchess, Orange, Ulster and Sullivan)	

During the reporting period, all bypass event details were disseminated in a timely fashion by e-mail. For the most part, any missing data from the event was reported by conventional mail subsequent to repairs. Minor events or ongoing investigations of illegal discharges were reported by mail. Volumes bypassed ranged from a less than 1,000 gallon sludge spill to wet weather


2008 Bypass Events - Common Causes



bypasses that were over a 100 MG. During 2008, the common causes for bypass events were rain (187), equipment failures (20), disinfection problems (4), blockages (10), power outages (13), broken pipes/lines (4), illegal connections (4) and 12 events were caused by miscellaneous reasons including tank overflows and missing parts of the treatment trains. The breakdown is displayed on the pie chart shown at left. The majority of the 254 bypass events were comprised of raw sewage (227). Other types of bypasses included disinfected wastewater with primary treatment, secondary treatment with no disinfection, low chlorine residual and sludge spills.

Bypass events that had the potential to impact primary recreational waters occurred during the period May 23rd through September 1, 2008, which represents the “official” bathing season (Memorial Day weekend to Labor Day). There were 86 releases, or 34% of the total, during this period. During 2008, the waterways impacted by bypass events are shown on the pie chart below.

2008 Bypass Events per Waterway



During November 2005, IEC convened a special RBWG meeting and, on behalf of the Workgroup, took the lead in pursuing additional funds necessary to upgrade the model. NYS DEC indicated that New York funding would be available through the NYS Environmental Benefit Projects Fund administered by the Natural Heritage Trust. At the annual spring 2006 meeting, all Workgroup attendees authorized IEC to apply for the aforementioned NYS DEC funds on behalf of the Workgroup. In May 2007,

IEC received a commitment from NYS DEC to provide monies for the model upgrade. IEC worked with the other Workgroup members and commitments were made, including a commitment by IEC, to fund the model upgrade.

During 2008, the Regional Bypass Model, version 2.0 was released. While the original bypass model has been a valuable tool in predicting the extent of bypasses over the years, it had its limitations. Some of the upgrades to the new model include but are not limited to (1) use of calibrated entero and total/fecal coliform kinetics; (2) a spatial domain encompassing NY/NJ Harbor, LIS, the New Jersey coastline south to Cape May and the Passaic/Hackensack/Raritan Rivers; (3) discharge into any segment; (4) multiple discharges; (5) time of discharge with proper position in

the tidal cycle and temperature conditions; (6) temperature assignment and (7) specific duration and quantity. All members of the RBWG were given the upgraded model software.

### CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 305(b) WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Under Section 305(b) of the federal Clean Water Act, States, Territories, the District of Columbia, Interstate Water Commissions, and participating American Indian Tribes assess and report on the quality of their waters. The results of a 305(b) assessment are not raw data, but rather statements of the degree to which each waterbody supports the uses designated by water quality standards. The IEC has made submissions since the inception of this reporting format which began in 1984. Each State and Tribe aggregates these assessments and extensive programmatic information in a 305(b) report which is a comprehensive document, usually involving information from multiple agencies. US EPA then uses these individual 305(b) reports to prepare a biennial National Water Quality Inventory Report to Congress.

The goals for 305(b) reporting include comprehensive coverage characterizing all waters in the Interstate Environmental District which adds to the extensive national coverage; reducing paperwork while increasing the amount of assessed waters; annual electronic updates of key information for all assessed waters during the previous year; geo-referencing 305(b) information to identify and map specific waterbodies, including whether they meet water quality standards and to enable long-term tracking of trends; and more rapid, real-time public availability of water quality information.

Since 1998, the IEC has been providing 305(b) reports consisting of both a narrative and an electronic part. As US EPA's Guidance requires, the narrative report contains the Commission's assessment methodology, in addition to a great deal of other important information. The assessment of the Commission's nearly 797 square miles of estuarine waters is based on data collected from its ambient and effluent monitoring programs. It is supplemented with data from the Commission's member states' environmental and health departments dealing with information on water quality, health advisories, fish kills, shellfish closure areas, and beach closings. For the 2008 reporting period which reflects 2007 information, IEC accessed the US Environmental Protection Agency's Assessment Database (ADB) software and used it as a tool to store and report assessment information generated under Section 305(b) of the Clean Water Act. The Commission's waterways were assigned assessment units and a methodology was developed for the statistical analysis of several use support categories. The most important function of the ADB software is to store assessment information in compliance with US EPA's Guidance on generating 305(b) and 303(d) reports. The software standardizes reporting nationwide and facilitates the generation of the National Assessment Database and the biennial National Water Quality Inventory.

### STORET

Since its beginnings, the Commission has amassed a huge data base of ambient and effluent water quality data. These data have been collected for a variety of reasons which have been

highlighted throughout this report, previous Annual Reports, and in special reports. The Commission has always been a water quality data depository and an advocate of water quality data collection, analyses and dissemination for the Tri-State Region.

Originally under the auspices of the Public Health Service, the US EPA now has the responsibility of maintaining the National Water STOrage and RETrieval (STORET) data base. STORET is a national database that contains biological, chemical, and physical data on surface and ground water collected by federal, state and local agencies, Indian tribes, volunteer groups, academia, and others. The original data base underwent a complete modernization and overhaul between 1991 and 1998. Since then, the system has been subjected to continuous updates and improvements resulting in the present operating system, STORET, Version 2.0.



The Commission's first input to this repository dates back to 1970. Since then, the Commission has been a steady contributor. Currently, IEC is represented by well over 100,000 entries of parametric data as well as metadata. Parameters recorded include dissolved oxygen, temperature, salinity, Secchi depth, chlorophyll a, fecal and total coliform, fecal streptococcus and enterococcus bacteria. The modernized version of STORET has been enhanced to contain ancillary information such as climatological and tidal data, type of monitoring instrumentation, personnel expertise and visual observations. All the data sets generated by the Commission that are suitable for input have been entered into STORET.

STORET data are available on the Internet. The data can be retrieved from two separate databases, the STORET Legacy Data Center (LDC) and the more current, Modernized STORET system. In contrast to the LDC, which is a static, archived database, the Modernized STORET is an operational system, actively being populated with water quality data. The Commission's data sets supplied to US EPA prior to 1999 were all placed in the Legacy Data Center, whereas those supplied to US EPA since January 1, 1999, reside in the Modernized STORET System.

Under way in 2006, US EPA is making significant changes to the STORET model of data sharing. At the end of a transition period of about three years, STORET, as presently implemented, will no longer be supported. US EPA plans to adopt a new approach, tentatively called the Water Quality Exchange (WQX). WQX uses Extensible Markup Language (XML), a relatively new technology for transferring data. The evolution of STORET to WQX will bring the system in line with US EPA's Enterprise Architecture approach, Central Data Exchange(CDX), and Environmental Sampling, Analysis, and Results (ESAR) standard, which gives consistent names and definitions to common data elements. US EPA has completed a successful pilot program to test the draft XML scheme for chemical/physical data and the transfer of data through the system. US EPA is now working towards finalizing the WQX schema for chemical and physical data. A second pilot for biological and habitat data was planned for late 2007 through early 2008.

## REVISIONS TO DISSOLVED OXYGEN SURFACE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR MARINE WATERS

In November 2000, US EPA issued the final guidance document *Ambient Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen (Saltwater): Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras*. This document recommended guidelines for revising water quality criteria for dissolved oxygen (DO). As a result of the release of this document, the Commission's member states, as well as the Commission, have or are considering revisions to current DO standards. Subsequent to public hearings, US EPA - Region 1 approved Connecticut's proposed revisions during May 2001. Connecticut adopted the revised dissolved oxygen ambient water standards in certain portions of Long Island Sound.

The NYS DEC adopted revised water quality regulations for DO on February 16, 2008. Monitoring methodologies will be addressed in a TOGs to be developed by NYS DEC. TOGS is the NYS DEC's Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (TOGS). To date, NJ DEP has not proposed any revisions to their DO criteria in the New Jersey waters of the NY-NJ Harbor Complex, which also encompasses the IED. Since the interstate waters in Connecticut, New York and New Jersey are also IEC waters, whatever is done by IEC's member states in those waters is going to affect IEC and the course of action the Commission might have to take regarding its DO regulations.

## NATIONAL ESTUARY PROGRAM

The National Estuary Program was established in 1984 and provides assistance to estuaries of national significance which are threatened by pollution, development or overuse. The NEP provides federal assistance to develop a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan for designated estuaries. There are 28 estuaries located along the Atlantic, Pacific and Gulf of Mexico coastlines, as well as in Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands, that are developing or implementing CCMPs. Within the Interstate Environmental District, Long Island Sound and the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary have been receiving funding under this program since 1985 and 1988, respectively. The overall coordination for the Long Island Sound Study (LISS) is being done by the US EPA - Regions 1 and 2. The New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary Program (HEP) is being coordinated by the US EPA - Region 2.

During 2008, the Commission continued its active participation as a member of the Management Committees, implementation and planning teams, as well as various workgroups for the LISS and the HEP. Commission staff members have taken active roles in the preparation and dissemination of outreach materials intended for legislators and the public. IEC staff also attends the spring and fall meetings of the Association of National Estuary Programs (ANEP). The spring meetings in Washington, DC, give the NEPs access to the appropriate legislators. The fall meetings, which are hosted by different NEPs, give the opportunity to share successes and failures, as well as program management, and education/outreach. During November 2008, the NY-NJ HEP hosted the fall meeting; IEC staff assisted in planning stages and hands-on logistics.




The Commission has been involved with these national programs since their inception.

The Governors of New York and Connecticut and the Administrator of the US EPA signed the final CCMP for the LISS in September 1994. The Long Island Sound is bounded by Connecticut and Bronx and Westchester Counties, New York, on the north and by Long Island on the south. It is about 110 miles long ranging from the East River to the Race. In October 1996, the Governors of New York and Connecticut met to re-affirm their commitment to the actions set forth in the CCMP. The LISS 2003 Agreement more clearly defines desired outcomes of the CCMP actions in measurable, trackable terms, proposes a better link between monitoring/research and environmental indicators to established goals and results, promotes implementation, and addresses new issues. It affirms targets for nitrogen reduction and habitat restoration. The “vision” is to restore the health of the Sound by 2014, the 400th anniversary of Adrian Block’s first exploration of the region. The Agreement focuses on hypoxia, pathogens, toxic substances, living resources and their habitats, open space and public access, watershed management, public education and community involvement and partnerships.



For the past several years, the Management Committee’s quarterly meetings have been enhanced with a fall two-day meeting. This allows for a full agenda where all participants can interact in a professional and social atmosphere. During the October 2008 meeting, members and/or their representatives, with the help of a facilitator, discussed in detail a variety of topics including the potential implications of climate change on current and future management programs for Long Island Sound; the progress in implementing the LIS 2008 Agreement actions; assessing the accomplishments in the LIS 2003 Agreement targets; reviewing the current organizational structure and capacity of the LISS; refining the list of 2009 funding and implementation priorities; and review the Sentinel Site Monitoring Program. This program with appropriated LISS monies (\$150,000 shared by Connecticut and New York) will address climate change impacts on Long Island Sound. The so called “sentinel sites” would be locations of research monitoring to show the first information on what is changing in the local environment. The first step is to ascertain what data has been collected throughout the sound. The Commission is submitting all details of its multi-year monitoring efforts to this new database.

The Governors of New York and New Jersey and the US EPA Administrator signed the final CCMP for the HEP in August 1997. The estuary includes the waters of New York-New Jersey Harbor Complex and the tidally influenced portions of all rivers and streams that empty into the Harbor Complex. The plan addresses habitat and living resources, toxic contamination, dredged material, pathogens contamination, floatable debris, nutrients and organic enrichment, rainfall-induced discharges, and public involvement and education. Simultaneous with the 1997





closure of the Mud Dump Site (MDS) in the Atlantic Ocean, the site and surrounding areas that had been used historically as disposal sites for dredged materials was designated as the Historic Area Remediation Site (HARS). The Commission took an active role by serving on the MDS/HARS Workgroup. The final CCMP was amended to reflect the accelerated implementation schedule.

The TMDL efforts for nutrients, pathogens and toxics for the New York-New Jersey Harbor Estuary have been making advances in both management strategy refinement and modeling during 2008. These efforts are expected to result in completed TMDLs in 12 to 18 months. IEC has been involved with these workgroups and will assist in the process, especially for the interstate waters within IEC's jurisdiction. Both the Pathogens and Nutrients Workgroups have evaluated model outputs related to current conditions and, in a general way, what it would take to meet water quality standards. These groups are now taking the next steps which include refining modeling run scenarios and working with partners to develop cost analyses for pollution reduction options. Refer to the water quality surveys in this report for details of IEC's pathogens study being conducted in cooperation with the HEP.

#### COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS AND MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS

With the passage of the Clean Water Act in 1972 and the implementation of secondary treatment, the quality of the Region's waters has improved dramatically. However, waterbodies are still negatively impacted by urban and suburban stormwater runoff. Combined sewer overflows (CSOs) and municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) are major sources of pollution that are allowed to discharge only during wet weather.

The Commission's continuing activities with combined sewer overflows include in-house programs, as well as IEC's participation in the National Estuary Programs in the Region. The Commission has maintained an active dialogue with its member states, US EPA and POTW owners/operators to keep abreast of the status of CSO abatement activities in the District.

During the past five years, interest in the operation and control of municipal separate storm sewer systems has intensified. Phase I of the US EPA's stormwater program (1990), administered as NPDES permit requirements, addresses medium and large municipal separate storm sewer systems, construction activities, and industrial activities. Phase II is an effort to preserve, protect, and improve the nation's water resources by implementing programs and practices to control polluted stormwater runoff in small communities.

Long Island communities were informed of the requirements of the new Phase II Stormwater Program announced by NYS DEC in September 2002. Among the documents released by NYS DEC were two draft Phase II general SPDES permits — one for Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems and one for construction activities. According to the draft permits, all New York regulated entities (communities with stormwater discharges from MS4s and construction activities) were required to apply for coverage by SPDES permits by March 10, 2003. Communities with



MS4s were then required to proceed with preventing pollution using appropriate technologies and management practices outlined in the permit. The permittees are expected to report annual progress to the NYS DEC and fully implement the proposed program by March 2008.

In Connecticut, 113 municipalities are required to comply with Phase II Stormwater Management plans. The federal government created the Clean Water State Revolving Fund to provide low-cost financing for water quality remediation efforts, to be matched by state funds. Primarily intended to fund modernizing wastewater treatment facilities, this fund has expanded through state bonding to assist with CWA compliance. Due to the limit on grants imposed by required state allocation levels, this fund is grossly inadequate for meeting current water quality needs.

The State of New Jersey's CSO abatement mandates are regulated by the CWA's National CSO Control Policy, the New Jersey Sewerage Infrastructure Improvement Act-Solids/Floatables Control and the NY-NJ HEP's CCMP. The CWA's Nine Minimal Controls require the States to meet existing technology-based criteria and implement immediate corrective actions. The Long-term Control Plan sets forth requirements to meet water quality-based criteria; conduct intensive CSO monitoring and modeling studies; and implement significant infrastructure activity.

Phase II CSO Control Program objectives are to develop and evaluate alternatives, as well as formulate cost and performance relationships. A state-wide General Permit for Combined Sewer Systems was issued on January 27, 1995, reissued on February 28, 2000; revoked and reissued on June 30, 2004, effective August 1, 2004, with a five-year expiration date. The Long-term Control Plan requirements include a public participation process and a report of the public's activities, matters of concern, a summary of public views and comments, and the permittee's specific responses in terms of modifications or basis for rejections of the public input and suggestions. The General Permit also has a re-opener clause which allows for the legal, if necessary, adjustment or amendment.

In addition, Cost and Performance Analysis Reports were required to document the process of identifying and evaluating alternatives; a basis for sizing alternatives; a list of alternatives considered, but rejected; preliminary construction and implementation costs; and a basis for performance assumptions. These reports were due on February 1, 2007. Several permittees requested, and were granted, a two-month extension. The General Permit was subsequently modified with a due date of April 1, 2007.

US EPA - Region 2 will address state water quality standards inequity between New Jersey and New York, determine an equitable pathogen reduction between New Jersey and NYC, and determine a pathogen reduction in terms of a TMDL based upon feasibility studies and cost information. NJ DEP and NYC DEP will make cost performance available by June 2007. US EPA - Region 2 will provide load allocation under the TMDL by December 2007.

The goal of any stormwater program should be to achieve significant and measurable

improvements in water quality, and this may require actions beyond those required by Phase II. Stormwater utilities are a promising option for providing a dedicated funding stream and professional staff to manage stormwater at the local level. Enabling legislation at the state level is the first requirement for creating a stormwater utility. Once that is established, the municipality must determine whether to create a real or paper entity. Challenges facing small municipality administrators include a disconnect from the decision-making process for funding and new housing construction with its accompanied increase in impervious surfaces. Stormwater is not a visible problem and tends to receive a lower priority from elected officials who set budget priorities. There are no clear visions, goals or expectations from the program. Thus, public education, where elected officials are the ultimate target, is important.

The draft general MS4 permits require six minimum controls including: 1) public education/outreach on stormwater issues, 2) public involvement/participation in decisions involving stormwater, 3) illicit discharge detection and elimination, 4) construction and stormwater site runoff control, 5) post-construction management of stormwater facilities, and 6) pollution prevention at facilities operated by municipalities.

Initiated in 2002, the Commission was asked by US EPA - Region 2 to investigate the feasibility of conducting dry weather investigations of MS4s in the District, somewhat like the IEC's ongoing CSO program. IEC received some information from US EPA on MS4s (locations, sizes, and discharge waterways) in Nassau County, New York, and started conducting outfall inspections. Because of the importance of the track down of this pollution source, the Commission has continued this program. Although in previous years' investigations have been conducted in several counties in multiple states, the MS4 investigations were in Nassau County during 2008. When field inspections reveal outfalls flowing under dry weather conditions, NYS DEC, Region 1 was apprized of the situation so they could take appropriate follow-up action. The table on the following page shows the 2008 summary of MS4 inspections. This program will continue during 2009.

## PUBLIC EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

The Commission continues its commitment to participating in an active public involvement, education and outreach program. IEC continues to lecture at local schools and colleges, community boards, scuba and fishing clubs and related forums on a variety of environmental topics and Commission activities. Many of the Commission's staff members participate in this effort.

In past years, the Commission's public education and outreach program has encompassed a variety of topics and venues. IEC personnel have been called upon to participate in various seminars and forums in various roles such as a moderator, speaker, panelist, chairperson and/or a faculty member. The Commission is a member of various engineering, legal and professional organizations, and takes an active role on those organization's committees, boards, etc. The technical staff is involved with ASIWPCA, ICWP, WEF, NY WEA, NWQMC and other professional organizations and activities. Commission personnel have published articles on a variety of environmental topics, including interstate compacts, laboratory research and water pollution

**INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION  
MS4 DRY WEATHER INSPECTIONS  
NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK (1)**

<b>LOCATION</b>	<b>DATE INSPECTED</b>	<b>NUMBER OF OUTFALLS INSPECTED</b>	<b>NUMBER OF OUTFALLS FLOWING</b>
Whitney Lake Park, Manhasset	4/18/08	1	1(2)(3)
Whitney Lake Park, Manhasset	4/18/08	3	2
Gerry Park, Roslyn	4/18/08	1	1 (2)
Gerry Park, Roslyn	4/18/08	3	0
Mill Pond Park, Port Washington	4/18/08	1	0 (3)
Mill Pond Park, Port Washington	4/18/08	1	0

- (1) All observed flowing outfalls are referred to NYSDEC Region 1.  
(2) Evidence of oil and grease present.  
(3) Sewage odor present.

control. Activities such as these enhance the Commission's visibility and make IEC and its functions known to a broad audience.

This year, the Commission staff continued its spring and fall programs with the marine science students from Bayonne High School. The students visited the IEC laboratory to learn and observe laboratory testing and the IEC staff brought the R/V Natale Colosi to Newark Bay where the marine science students experienced hands-on water quality monitoring and data recording dockside and aboard IEC's research vessel.



BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY, HIGH SCHOOL MARINE SCIENCE STUDENTS  
ABOARD THE R/V NATALE COLOSI, MAY 2008

*Photo Courtesy of T. Tokar, Bayonne High School*

### **III. AIR POLLUTION**

#### **GENERAL**

The Commission's air program was initiated in 1962 after passage of supplemental statutes in New York and New Jersey. In 1969, Connecticut passed legislation mirroring that of New York and New Jersey, extending the IEC's air investigation and study authority. To aid the primary control agencies in the solution of air quality problems of an interstate nature, the Commission maintained two mobile vans capable of measuring airborne pollutants. The vans were used to trace air contaminants across state lines and locate sources. The Commission also maintained fixed-site monitoring stations.

In 1964, the first Air Pollution Warning System was put into operation and, through coordination by the Commission with its member states, has been periodically updated and strengthened as new information regarding air pollution abatement practices became available. In April 1970, the Commission was designated as the coordinating agency for the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Air Quality Control Region under the federal Air Quality Act. Pollutant values and meteorological conditions did not warrant activation of the High Air Pollution Alert and Warning System during 2008.

The Commission has maintained round-the-clock response for air pollution complaints since the late 1960s. To better serve the needs of the public by faster response to complainants, a field office was established on Staten Island in 1982. This presence was especially important during 1986 when odor complaints reported to the Commission peaked at nearly 3,500 complaints affecting 63 different neighborhoods throughout Staten Island. The number of complaints received by the IEC has significantly declined over the years and, although it had been a significant odor reported, no garbage odors were reported to the Commission for the ninth consecutive year.

#### **AIR POLLUTION COMPLAINTS**


Over the last 20 years, Staten Island was the source of more citizens' complaints than any other area in the Commission's jurisdiction. Historically, many of the complaints came from the western portion of Staten Island in the vicinity of the New York-New Jersey border and from the neighborhoods closest to the Fresh Kills Landfill. However, since the landfill's closure in 2001, complaints have been minimal.

IEC's Staten Island field office was closed in 1989 due to budgetary restraints. Since then, the Commission still maintains a 24-hour-a-day, 7-day-a-week answering service (**718-761-5677**) to receive complaints. Complainants are contacted during regular office hours by IEC staff and, when available, IEC personnel are dispatched to investigate ongoing complaints. When warranted, Commission personnel are contacted during non-office hours. The IEC also contacts and works closely with the appropriate enforcement agencies and health departments in New York and New


Jersey to perform follow-up.

For the 12-month period ending September 30, 2008, the Commission did not receive any odor complaints. This continues the pattern of a decreasing number of complaints since the 1986 peak of nearly 3,500 complaints and from a peak of 63 different Staten Island neighborhoods.

Over the years, the majority of the complaints received by the IEC tend to come from the



same group of neighborhoods. In past years, a category reflecting “nonspecific” descriptions, i.e., bad or awful or nauseating, were received regularly. During the last three calendar years, 2006-2008, no odor complaints of any type were received by the Commission. Citizen complaints are the most frequent source of firsthand information about poor air quality. The odors are usually detected by



persons who do not have special knowledge or training in identifying problem emissions; it is their accurate odor descriptions that could lead to the sources of odors. This is the ninth consecutive year that the nuisance odor category of “garbage” was not registered.

### OZONE HEALTH MESSAGE SYSTEM

For the 21st consecutive year, the Ozone Health Message System was activated to alert the public of unhealthy levels of ozone in the atmosphere of the Metropolitan Region. The system was developed as a cooperative effort by the Commission and environmental and health representatives from the States of New Jersey, New York and Connecticut; New York City; and the US EPA. It serves as a central source of precautionary advice on ozone to the Region during the warm weather months (May to October) when higher concentrations of ozone occur. The Metropolitan area ranks as the fourth worst in the nation for dangerous levels of particulate pollution, and the seventh worst for ground-level ozone. Ozone irritates the respiratory system and may cause decreased lung function. Adverse effects may include shortness of breath, chest pain, throat and eye irritation, and wheezing. It especially affects the elderly and those with pre-existing lung disease. Healthy adults and children may feel these effects during high ozone days. Whenever ozone reaches unhealthy levels, the public is advised against strenuous outdoor activities and physical exertion such as jogging, ball playing, and running.



In 2008, the Commission continued its participation in this program. The IEC took an active role in alerting the public to unhealthful conditions. During the warm weather months, when elevated levels of ozone existed in parts of the Metropolitan Area, the IEC relayed “health advisory” messages to the appropriate government environmental and health agencies. The IEC received 27 ozone and zero (0) fine particulate (soot and dust) advisories from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection. Ozone health advisories were received between May 23rd and September 4th. The number of advisories and temporal span are consistent with the past years during this decade (2000 to 2008). The majority of the advisories were made during July. This is the first year that no fine particulate advisories were received. Individual states issue their own health messages which identify specific counties where ozone levels are a special health threat. During 2008, it was not necessary for IEC to issue a region-wide Ozone Health Message.

### REGIONAL AIR POLLUTION WARNING SYSTEM

The IEC is the coordinator of the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Air Quality Control Region’s High Air Pollution Alert and Warning System. Based on high pollutant concentrations or stagnation advisory reports, the Commission may activate this system. The pollutant levels and stagnation advisory reports did not warrant activation of the system during this past year.

#### **IV. LEGAL ACTIVITIES**

Legal Counsel advances the mission of the Commission in regulation and enforcement, as well as outreach and examination of factors affecting the tri-state environmental district. Legal Counsel is dedicated with the staff to addressing the needs of the IEC's District and member states. Issues of compliance and regulatory changes which affect our agency must be acted upon. The Commission is counseled on state and federal regulations and case law, and provided risk assessments both of the ramifications of member actions, as well as the cost and/or benefits to the District and to the Commission. Counsel proactively seeks to assure compliance with IEC regulations, to recover damages from polluters and ensure accountability and remediation. Counsel to the Commission also counsels the staff and Commissioners on related matters including without limitation, matters relating to insurance, ethics, contracts, personnel, labor and management issues.

In addition to litigation, Legal Counsel must synthesize and analyze proposed legislation, regulatory changes and local issues in the member states, which may affect the IEC's District. This may include assisting with or delivering testimony upon the request of the Commission. An example in the past year included meetings and testimony about proposed legislation before the New York City Council, clarifying for the record the inapplicability a local law would have on the IEC, its employees and contractors. Counsel testified before the New York City Council Committee on Public Safety on Intro 650, which remains pending in the Council. The chairman for the committee, after Counsel's testimony, went on record to acknowledge the scope of the proposed law cannot reach the IEC. Another example is the review of the Solid Waste Management Plan of New York City, which calls for transfer stations and recycling centers. While, not taking a position or commenting on local issues, Counsel must advise staff where the waters of our District are concerned. Counsel, therefore must consult and keep current on issues in New York, New Jersey and Connecticut.

It is Counsel's duty to protect the interest and workings of the IEC. Litigation, negotiation and dispute resolutions are all options to ensuring compliance and fostering cooperation. The Interstate Environmental Commission is a tristate Commission whose Compact is part of the laws of each member state. IEC's regulations are enforceable and action may be brought in the courts of all of the states. The IEC's Compact received Congressional Consent and, therefore, the Commission's actions and regulations have a federal imprimatur. As such, the IEC is in a unique position to work on behalf of the interest of its District on both an interstate and intrastate basis to improve the quality of life for all citizens throughout this environmentally fragile region.

Some of the legal activities and their histories are listed below. At the time of publication, an administrative decision is pending on nitrogen issues relating to SPDES permit litigation in which the Commission is an amicus party. The SPDES litigation also concerns the impact of combined sewer overflow and New York City treatment plants. In addition, mitigation of the negative effects of the operation of the Fresh Kills Landfill remains a priority. An examination of these activities are illustrative and not all-inclusive of the legal activities of the IEC.



## MITIGATING NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF NEW YORK CITY'S OPERATION AT THE FRESH KILLS LANDFILL

Fresh Kills landfill was closed pursuant to New York City and New York State Law in March 2001, and opened briefly for limited purposes after the attack of September 11, 2001. Four years after closing the Fresh Kills Landfill in Staten Island, the City drew up a 20-year plan — a Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP or Plan)— to handle waste by shipping the bulk of it elsewhere. It relies on the use of four transfer stations from which garbage would be barged to states west and south of New York for landfilling. Some portion of the City's garbage would be incinerated at a facility in New Jersey. The plan ends the continued renewal of short-term contracts for debris disposal that relied exclusively on truck transport with its attendant negative traffic and air quality implications.

On July 19, 2006, the City approved a 20-year Plan (Solid Waste Management Plan) for the City's management of its solid waste. The City Council benefitted from much comment over several months of hearings and made modifications to the SWMP including: 1) the establishment of an independent office of reduction and reuse in the Mayor's Office; 2) a commitment to increase recycling; and 3) reducing the capacity of MTSs in overburdened communities. The NYS DEC approved the comprehensive plan in October 2006.

Among the benefits of the Plan is a shift from truck traffic through the City and through tunnels into New Jersey, to a system that relies more on barges and trains. The City would create four City-run marine transfer stations — 91st Street on the east side of Manhattan, one on Hamilton Avenue in Brooklyn at the Gowanus Canal, a second one in Brooklyn on Shore Parkway at Bay 41st Street, and one in Queens at 31st Avenue and 122nd Street. NYS DEC has held hearings where applicable but, to date, has only issued an air facility permit for the Queens MTS and a permit for the Hamilton Avenue site in Brooklyn. Most environmental groups approve of the Plan. Among those who disapprove are residents living near 91st Street in Manhattan and Hudson River Park advocates. New York City has made assurances regarding odor control and leachate, but residents remain leery. The State of New York must amend the Hudson River Park Act in order for the Gansevoort facility to proceed. While reports in newspapers have mentioned a legislative agreement, legislation in the New York State Assembly and Senate has yet to pass.

The genesis of this landfill case was a 1979 lawsuit, relating to the waterborne debris that enters the District's waters as a result of the garbage unloading operations at the Fresh Kills Landfill (Township of Woodbridge v. City of New York, Civil No. 79-1060). Located on the Arthur Kill shoreline in the western portion of Staten Island, New York, the majority of New York City's municipal solid waste was transported to the Fresh Kills Landfill by barge.

In 1986, the IEC intervened in an action in New Jersey federal District Court, which was initiated in 1979 by the Township of Woodbridge, New Jersey. Approximately 13 Court Orders were issued in the intervening years prior to IEC's cross-motion for contempt in September 1987. After investigations were conducted by Commission field inspectors, it was determined that, in spite

of the Orders issued and the steps taken by New York City, the problem of debris from the landfill operations entering adjacent waterways persisted in contravention of the IEC's Water Quality Regulations. IEC sought and succeeded in obtaining a Contempt Citation.

In order to find a solution to the Region's waterborne garbage problems, the parties to the suit entered into a Consent Order. That Consent Order required the City of New York to implement water cleanliness procedures; the installation of interim remedial equipment, including the superboom; and the hiring of an independent monitor. The Order also provided for an Independent Consultant to evaluate the effectiveness of the interim equipment and procedures, and to recommend alternative long-term measures by January 1, 1990. Reports issued by the Independent Consultant in 1990 recommended containerization and a single-barge enclosed unloading system as alternatives. The City concluded that of the final alternatives reviewed, the single-barge enclosed unloading facility presented the most effective and practical method to comply with the Consent Decree and proposed to implement it. The IEC submitted a revised Consent Decree to the parties in January 1991. During 1992, the Commission's request for assurances that there are monies set aside and dedicated solely to the design and construction of the single-barge enclosed unloading system were met. With only a minor adjustment in compliance dates, a draft Consent Decree was accepted by the parties in the spring of 1993. A final Consent Decree was filed in the United States District Court on June 15, 1993, and a fully executed copy was received by the Commission on June 28, 1993. Although the City was seemingly compliant after the 1993 revised Consent Decree was entered, 1995 saw the disbursement of technical assistance funds held by the Court. Litigation resumed during 1996 when Woodbridge initiated an action seeking relief from medical waste washing up on its shores. Ultimately, a monitor determined that while debris, including medical waste, escaped from the landfill, evidence was insufficient to establish the landfill as the sole source. During 1996, the City let it be known that following the passage of laws mandating closure of the landfill by the year 2001, they were considering filing a motion to be relieved of their obligation to build an enclosed barge unloader.



The enclosed barge unloader had been selected by the City and agreed upon among all the parties as the permanent solution for keeping floatable debris from entering the waterways in and around the landfill. When the City sought relief from building the enclosed unloader subsequent to the 1996 passage of laws mandating that no garbage be brought to the landfill for disposal after the end of 2001, the Commission was willing to consider appropriate alternative solutions that offer the same safeguards as those of the enclosed barge unloader. The Commission was committed in 1996, and remains committed today, to ensuring that floatable debris is prevented from entering the waterways around the landfill.

What remains is for all parties to agree upon a negotiated settlement taking into account the remaining issues cited. Moreover, in light of the issuance of a FEIS for a SWMP, all parties should be satisfied that no opportunity for debris to enter into the water or land in the Interstate

Environmental District or New Jersey, remain as issues. The State's approval of the Plan will go a long way toward achieving that goal.

#### ADDRESSING NITROGEN AND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW IMPACTS OF SOME NEW YORK CITY SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANTS

In April 2006, NYS DEC issued revised draft SPDES permits, primarily revising provisions to address potential combined sewer overflow issues, but also revising some nitrogen provisions. Pending before the NYS DEC Deputy Commissioner were prospective appeals in an administrative proceeding from a November 2005 ruling on CSOs and an April 2004 nitrogen issues ruling. The Interstate Environmental Commission is an amicus party to this administrative proceeding.

In February 2006, New York City and the NYS DEC entered into a Judicial Consent Order (JCO) concerning nitrogen discharges. The JCO was an outgrowth of a 2005 court case. The JCO is essentially a Court Order governing the City and State's agreement to attain certain nitrogen discharge limits from City treatment plants. This JCO only applies to the nitrogen issue (not CSOs) and binds only the City and NYS DEC, not the intervening parties to the administrative proceeding concerning the SPDES permits for WPCPs owned and operated by the City. This JCO supplants a 2002 Administrative Order on Consent (ACO) and NYS DEC's proposed nitrogen TMDL requirements for the SPDES permits and permits larger amounts of nitrogen than did the 2002 JCO.

During January and February 2007, the Commission, along with the Consolidated Intervenor (Natural Resource Defense Council; Riverkeeper, Inc.; Soundkeeper, Inc.; and New York/New Jersey Baykeeper); NYS DEC and NYC DEP, participated in the administrative proceeding concerning how the SPDES permits address CSOs and nitrogen discharges, through the filing of statements.

On March 16, 2007, the ALJ issued a Ruling on Proposed Adjudicable Nitrogen Issues and Party Status. The ruling named the City of New York as a party on permits along with NYC DEP, and set a schedule for those who wished to appeal to the NYS DEC Commissioner from a November 2005 Ruling on CSO issues and any party who wished to appeal from rulings on nitrogen issues. As an amicus party, according to administrative law, the IEC has no appeal rights. However, in the March 2007 ruling, the ALJ specifically noted that to the extent that other participants appeal CSO or nitrogen issues, IEC could file a reply brief on those issues appealed.

During April and May 2007, the Commission and Consolidated Intervenor filed appeals addressing issues about the incorporation of the CSO ACO into the SPDES Permit; whether narrative water quality based effluent limitations for CSO discharges should be included; and whether and to what extent changes to the CSO ACO should be subject to a full adjudicatory hearing. Consolidated intervenors touched on many other issues, which were not available to the Commission. The IEC, appearing as an amicus party, has appellate rights limited to only the issues set forth by the ALJ who has allowed the Commission to file responsive papers if the other participants appealed CSO or nitrogen issues.

The Commission has consistently maintained that with regard to CSOs, which are more complex and less straightforward than nitrogen controls, using both a SPDES permit and an Administrative Consent Order is appropriate. An Administrative Consent Order does not supersede a permit. With regard to whether or not a full adjudicatory hearing is required whenever the permittee misses a milestone date, the IEC proposed a reasonable compromise. Given that well over 200 milestone dates exist in the ACO for CSOs, the Commission identified only 31 critical milestones dates to be incorporated into the permit which, if missed, would trigger the requirement for a full adjudicatory hearing. The IEC-selected critical milestone dates include approvable drainage basin specific long-term control plans and dates for the completion of final construction.

This portion of the administrative proceeding concerning CSO control had its genesis in the administrative proceeding on the City SPDES permits. The City's main issue of contention was nitrogen control, but since both CSOs and nitrogen were part of the modified permit, the City also raised the CSO issue in requesting a hearing. It was during the consideration of nitrogen control issues that some intersection of CSO control with nitrogen control surfaced. Thereafter, interested parties sought a fuller exploration of many CSO control issues. The initial stage of this SPDES administrative hearing on both issues is set forth below.

It was in January 2004, that five parties (including the Interstate Environmental Commission, the Natural Resource Defense Council, the Riverkeeper, Save the Sound and the State of Connecticut) who had intervened in an administrative hearing, where the City of New York and the NYS DEC were the mandatory parties, were granted amicus (friend of court) status on the nitrogen control issue, and the Natural Resource Defense Council and the Riverkeeper full party status on the CSO issue (IEC was granted amicus party status). The ALJ stayed the CSO issue pending the outcome of an enforcement proceeding that followed NYS DEC's issuance of a Notice of Violation against the City, and the City's expressed intention to negotiate a settlement on CSOs.

A CSO abatement order modifying two earlier Orders of 1992 and 1996 was noticed for comment in September 2004, and a public meeting held in October. By November, all intervening parties had submitted written comments on the Order, along with approximately 600 others. NYS DEC promised responses early in 2005.

A revised CSO Abatement Order was necessitated by the City's failure to meet goals and objectives of previous Orders — in particular, water quality goals and concerns about facility plans. Among the CSO concerns raised by the Commission were the incorporation of long-term controls into the permit, which would follow the June 1993 NYS DEC decision; complying with US EPA's CSO Policy to attain water quality standards; and ensuring the timely implementation of the long-term control provisions of the CSO Abatement Order.

The impetus for the City's hearing request came from their concern that certain provisions in newly issued modified SPDES permits conflicted with other enforceable Orders on Consent regarding the nitrogen related provisions. The City's argument for a hearing on the nitrogen issue, in essence, was that since April 2002, when both the City and State signed an Administrative Order

on Consent, they had been required to meet certain nitrogen control limits, and that the modified SPDES permit conditions required that NYC DEP meet more stringent nitrogen limits. An ACO of April 2002 had settled two separate court actions against the City for exceeding the limits for nitrogen discharges that affected the quality of Long Island Sound. The ACO was the culmination of two matters — one commenced with a citizen suit against the City in federal court, and the other with the State of New York suing the City in State court. The April 2002 ACO preceded the 2006 Nitrogen JCO which were the subject of supplemental briefings filed by the intervenors in October 2007. The intervenors submitted both a motion for a sur-reply and a motion to supplement their briefing. The NYS DEC ALJ denied the motion for a sur-reply finding no new issues raised, but granted a motion to supplement briefings and admitted into evidence a Jamaica Bay Watershed report which stated New York City could not adhere to SPDES requirements or meet milestones. A decision of the ALJ on both the CSO and the nitrogen issues is pending.

The Commission's involvement in this case dates to IEC's appearance and filing an *amicus curiae*, brief with the New York State Supreme Court in 1999, and participated in the oral argument. Immediately following the filing in federal court, the Commission was asked to provide guidance to the State of Connecticut when they intervened in the lawsuit filed by the Hudson Riverkeeper and others. Throughout, the Commission has maintained a presence in both matters, aiding with providing historical data, data on the Long Island Sound Study's "no net increase policy," making available the comprehensive records kept by the Commission, and comparing Connecticut's permits to New York's permits.

The SPDES permits require that NYC DEP's WPCPs meet the limits established by the Long Island Sound Study. IEC has long been a key player on the Management Committee responsible for the nitrogen control limits, and has participated in the discussions that led to finalizing the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL) limits set by the LISS and adopted by the US EPA as minimal requirements for the states.

The Clean Water Act (CWA), from which the New York State SPDES system is derived, requires the states to promulgate, and US EPA to approve, TMDLs for wasteload allocations (WLAs) for waterbodies for which the effluent limits promulgated pursuant to CWA are not stringent enough for a waterbody to comply with applicable water quality standards (WQS). States were required to identify those waterbodies that do not meet water quality standards after applying the technology-based effluent limitations that are required by the CWA. The CWA also established lists of impaired waterbodies identified by the states.

New York and Connecticut identified Long Island Sound as "water quality limited" due to hypoxia, mainly caused by nitrogen discharges, and made it a priority for the development of TMDLs. TMDLs establish wasteload allocations for individual pollutants, applicable to all discharges to a waterbody to ensure that the combined effect of the discharges does not result in violations of the applicable WQS. By definition, a TMDL specifies the allowable pollutant load from all contributing sources (e.g. point sources, non-point sources and natural background) that will attain a water quality standard that applies to that waterbody, taking into account seasonal variations

and including a margin of safety. The margin of safety takes into account any lack of knowledge concerning the relationship between effluent limitations and water quality. In essence, a TMDL defines the capacity of the waterbody to absorb and digest a pollutant and still meet water quality standards. In April 2001, US EPA approved TMDLs for Long Island Sound that were developed jointly by NYS DEC and the CT DEP. When the discussions and approvals for the adoption of final TMDLs were taking place, NYS DEC, NYC DEP, CT DEP and IEC were prominent among the participants on the LISS Management Committee.

By February 1998, the LISS Management Conference adopted a 3-phase plan for hypoxia management by nitrogen reduction. Phase III Action Limits set an overall 58.5% reduction target for any discharge to Long Island Sound (not just those discharges from NYC DEP WPCPs), from the 1990 baseline for Long Island Sound nitrogen loads. Data on nitrogen loads were fully quantified for 1990, which is why that year was established as the baseline.

The 2002 Nitrogen ACO provided for limits which are clearly superseded by the TMDLs established by the February 2005 SPDES permits issued by NYS DEC that were the subject of the administrative proceeding. NYC DEP made a request for an administrative hearing arguing for the primacy of the ACO governing nitrogen limits over the SPDES permit limits. All five interveners, including IEC, argued along with NYS DEC that the permit has primacy over any Administrative Consent Order. Especially critical to the success of achieving the TMDL limits is the cooperation and coordination of all parties involved, and specifically, the willingness of nitrogen dischargers from New York and Connecticut to abide by the LISS TMDL limits. IEC, as an interstate body with regulatory authority over the waters of both New York and Connecticut that lie within the Interstate Environmental District, has a particularized interest in ensuring adherence to the LISS TMDL limits. Critical to achieving nitrogen reduction is having all those who contribute to the nitrogen load achieve TMDL limits established by the LISS. Based upon recent estimates, the WPCPs owned and operated by NYC DEP are responsible for at least 50% of the nitrogen load to Long Island Sound.

IEC's position on the nitrogen Administrative Order is that an ACO, as a stand-alone document, is not a permit and may not be used in lieu of a permit. In addition, NYS DEC has jurisdiction to administer a program permitting discharges under certain prescribed conditions. A discharger's ability to discharge flows from a permit rather than from an administrative order. The effluent limits in the newly issued permits for the 14 NYC DEP WPCPs in contention can be amended, modified or changed by the NYS DEC to add more stringent limits than those required in the previously issued ACO. In addition to the updated water quality standards, this can be driven by a number of other processes such as updated regulatory requirements, latest watershed-based modeling analysis, and realization of harmful impact of the particular pollutant on the water quality. In the case of the newly developed TMDLs, put into effect by US EPA, NYS DEC has the full right to amend the effluent limitations to include more stringent TMDL-based nitrogen limits into the permits.

The permit conditions set aggregate effluent limits for nitrogen discharges for two groups of four plants discharging into the upper reach of the East River and into Jamaica Bay, respectively.

Before these limits were to take effect in 1996 and 1997, the City was required to make operational and process changes to maximize nitrogen removal in the existing plant units, and also conduct extensive pilot work to test new processes and technologies. The City and NYS DEC were then to jointly determine the most appropriate new systems to implement in order to meet specified nitrogen reduction goals. In the long-term, a Nitrogen Control Feasibility Plan would have comprehensively analyzed additional methods to meet much greater levels of nitrogen reduction for future discharges. It was because neither the limits nor the Nitrogen Control Feasibility Plan were implemented that the litigation ensued which ended with the 2002 ACO.

This ongoing proceeding is of concern to many because violations of the nitrogen loading limits contribute to the severe hypoxic conditions in Long Island Sound and Jamaica Bay, and cause damage to those ecosystems. The proximate location of these plants that had discharged pollutants into the East River and Jamaica Bay in violation of the permitted effluent limit of the SPDES permits, and the likely impact on Long Island Sound, accounts for the concern on the part of the State of Connecticut. On CSO issues, IEC has argued that long-term controls for combined sewer overflows should be finalized and, if the final plan is incorporated into the CSO Abatement Order, and then, in turn, incorporated into the permit, that would suffice. The Commission, as an interstate agency, is uniquely situated as a participant in this matter.

Every phase of the ongoing administrative proceeding requires the Commission to reexamine the issues and to comment where appropriate. The Commission will continue its efforts to both rigorously defend its regulations and to protect Interstate Environmental District waters.



# WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS DISCHARGING INTO INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT WATERS

**2008**

	IEC RECEIVING WATER CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF CONSTR.	FLOW AVG. (MGD)	FLOW DESIGN (MGD)	TYPE OF TREATMENT	SLUDGE (1) GENERATED (TONS/YEAR)	SLUDGE (PERCENT SOLIDS)	SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHOD	ESTIMATED POPULATION SERVED	
<b><u>PLANT</u></b>										
<b><u>CONNECTICUT</u></b>										
<u>Fairfield County</u>										
Bridgeport - East Side	B-1	2002+	7.2	10.0	Secondary (AS)	1,132.6	(4)	4.5	Incineration (2)	44,750
- West Side	B-1	2002+	21.3	30.0	Secondary (AS)	3,885.5	(4)	5.2	Incineration (2)	112,500
Fairfield	A	2002+	7.6	9.0	Secondary (AS)	5,000.0		20.0	Compost	43,000
Greenwich (Grass Island)	A	2003+	8.1	12.5	Secondary (AS)	6,822.0		25.0	Incineration (2)	38,000
Norwalk	B-1	2002+	14.1	20.0	Secondary (AS)	2,288.0		25.0	Compost	80,000
Stamford	B-1	2005+	17.0	24.0	Secondary (AS)	15,600.0		25.0	Landfill/Incineration	100,000
Stratford	A	1992+	8.3	11.5	Secondary (AS)	45,163.0		5.8	Incineration (2)	49,400
Westport	A	1975+	1.6	2.9	Secondary (AS)	304.5	(5)	4.0 to 6.0	Incineration (2)	15,200
<u>New Haven County</u>										
Greater New Haven - East Shore	B-1	2000+	27.4	40.0	Secondary (AS)	28,212.9		24.2	Incineration	200,000
Milford - Beaver Brook	A	1996+	1.7	3.1	Secondary (AS)	1,164.0		13.8	Incineration (2)	20,000
- Housatonic	A	1996+	6.7	8.0	Secondary (AS)	3,784.0		16.0	Incineration (2)	43,300
West Haven	B-1	2000+	6.3	12.5	Secondary (AS)	8,700.0		27.0	Incineration	53,000
<b><u>NEW JERSEY</u></b>										
<u>Bergen County</u>										
BCUA Edgewater	B-1	1989+	3.5	6.0	Secondary (PO)	14,747.21		6.03	Beneficial Reuse (2)	16,000
<u>Essex County</u>										
Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners	B-1	1991+	242.4	330.0	Secondary (AS)	81,443.0		53.8	Landfill Daily Cover	1,400,000
<u>Hudson County</u>										
North Bergen M.U.A. - Woodcliff	B-1	1991+	3.1	2.9	Secondary (TF)	9,264.0		9.29	Incineration (2)	22,500
North Hudson Sewerage Authority										
- Adams Street (Hoboken)	B-1	1993+	-	24.0	Secondary (TF)	-		-	Incineration	119,200
- River Road (West New York)	B-1	1993+	-	10.0	Secondary (TF)	-		-	Incineration	45,800
<u>Middlesex County</u>										
Middlesex County Utilities Authority	A	2001+	120.6	147.0	Secondary (AS)	200,147.0		22.6	Beneficial Reuse	750,000
<u>Union County</u>										
Joint Meeting of Essex & Union Counties	B-2	2001+	63.7	85.0	Secondary (AS)	36,268.57		27.72	Land Application	500,000
Linden Roselle Sewerage Authority	B-2	1989+	10.7	17.0	Secondary (AS)	48,000.0		2.9	Beneficial Reuse	65,000
Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority	B-2	1991+	28.9	40.0	Secondary (AS)	10,118.0		25.7	Trucked Out	300,000



# WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS DISCHARGING INTO INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT WATERS

**2008**

PLANT	IEC RECEIVING WATER CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF CONSTR.	FLOW AVG. (MGD)	FLOW DESIGN (MGD)	TYPE OF TREATMENT	SLUDGE (1) GENERATED (TONS/YEAR)	SLUDGE (PERCENT SOLIDS)	SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHOD	ESTIMATED POPULATION SERVED
<b><u>NEW YORK</u></b>									
<u>Nassau County</u>									
Bay Park	A	2003+	59.4	70.0	Secondary (AS)	37,694.69	20.91	Beneficial Reuse	551,100
Belgrave Sewer District	A	1995+	1.4	2.0	Secondary (TF)	1,126.0	3.8	Trucked out to Bay Park & PVSC	12,000
Cedar Creek	A	1997+	54.9	72.0	Secondary (AS)	53,493.21	16.23	Beneficial Reuse	571,400
Cedarhurst	A	2003+	0.7	1.0	Secondary (TF)	25.0	4.0	Trucked Out	6,000
Glen Cove	A	2007+	2.9	5.5	Secondary (AS)	3,750.73	25.82	Landfill	28,000
Greater Atlantic Beach Water Reclamation District (Formerly - West Long Beach Sewer District)	A	2001+	0.5	1.5	Secondary (TF)	44.0	4.2	Trucked to Bay Park	5,000
Great Neck Water Pollution Control District	A	1990+	2.6	2.8	Secondary (AS)	674.0	26.0	Landfill	15,000
Great Neck Village	A	1996+	0.9	1.5	Secondary (TF)	97.96	5.2	Trucked Out	9,000
Jones Beach	A	1990+	0.04	2.5	Secondary (TF)	-	-	Trucked Out	Seasonal
Lawrence	A	2002+	1.2	1.5	Secondary (TF)	580.0	4.9	Trucked Out	5,500
Long Beach	A	2003+	4.7	7.5	Secondary (TF)	602.0	26.0	Landfill	37,000
Oyster Bay Sewer District	A	2006+	1.2	1.8	Secondary (TF)	156.2	4.0	Trucked Out	8,500
Port Washington Sewer District	A	1991+	2.6	4.0	Secondary (TF)	555.0	30.0	Incineration	35,000
<u>New York City</u>									
<u>Bronx County</u>									
Hunts Point (7)	B-1	1977+	130.0	200.0	Secondary (AS)	114,404.3	27.5	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	630,000
<u>Kings County (Brooklyn)</u>									
Coney Island (7)	A	1995+	85.0	110.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	602,100
Newtown Creek (7)	B-1	1967	234.0	310.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	1,039,300
Owls Head (7)	B-1	1996+	95.0	120.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	761,500
Red Hook (7)	B-1	1987	30.0	60.0	Secondary (AS)	13,802.5	20.7	-	192,200
26th Ward (7)	A	1975+	51.0	85.0	Secondary (AS)	82,259.9	25.4	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	271,240
<u>New York County (Manhattan)</u>									
North River (7)	B-1	1986	126.0	170.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	584,190
Wards Island (7)	B-1	1979+	215.0	275.0	Secondary (AS)	119,778.9	27.7	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	1,004,200
<u>Queens County</u>									
Bowery Bay (7)	B-1	1978+	103.8	150.0	Secondary (AS)	39,531.5	23.8	-	727,100
Jamaica (7)	A	1978+	87.0	100.0	Secondary (AS)	8,222.4	25.7	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	632,150
Rockaway (7)	A	1978+	22.0	45.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	94,500
Tallman Island (7)	B-1	1979+	58.0	80.0	Secondary (AS)	27,209.7	26.3	-	388,200

# WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS DISCHARGING INTO INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT WATERS

**2008**

	IEC RECEIVING WATER CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF CONSTR.	FLOW AVG. (MGD)	FLOW DESIGN (MGD)	TYPE OF TREATMENT	SLUDGE (1) GENERATED (TONS/YEAR)	SLUDGE (PERCENT SOLIDS)	SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHOD	ESTIMATED POPULATION SERVED
<b><u>PLANT</u></b>									
<b><u>NEW YORK (con't)</u></b>									
<u>Richmond County</u>									
<u>(Staten Island)</u>									
Oakwood Beach (7)	A	1979+	28.2	40.0	Secondary (AS)	30,835.3	23.6	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	151,600
Port Richmond (7)	B-2	1978+	29.0	60.0	Secondary (AS)	(3)	-	Land Application/Beneficial Reuse	172,300
<u>Rockland County</u>									
Joint Regional Sewerage Board									
- Town of Haverstraw	A	2002+	4.2	8.0	Secondary (AS)	3,299.19	(2)	Composting	58,500
Orangetown Sewer District	A	1996+	8.6	12.75	Secondary (TF)	4,962.0	(5)	Compost (2)	50,300
Palisades Interstate Park Commission									
- Bear Mountain Plant	A	1967+	0.03	0.3	Secondary (TF)	20.0	-	-	Seasonal
Rockland County Sewer District # 1	A	1995+	19.8	28.9	Secondary (RBC)	3,752.0	(6)	Composting	200,000
Stony Point	A	1985+	0.9	1.0	Secondary (AS)	699.0	17.0	Composting	12,000
<u>Suffolk County</u>									
Huntington Sewer District	A	2007+	1.9	2.6	Secondary (TF/RBC)	1,973.0	22.3	Landfill	25,000
Northport	A	2005+	0.3	0.45	Secondary (AS)	31.3	(5)	Incineration (2)	3,500
Suffolk County Sewer District # 1	A	2007+	0.7	1.15	Secondary (RBC)	224.3	(5)	Landfill	12,000
Suffolk County Sewer District # 3	A	1989+	24.5	30.0	Secondary (AS)	14,806.0	(6)	Landfill	280,000
Suffolk County Sewer District # 6	A	1973+	0.3	1.2	Secondary (AS)	100.1	(5)	Landfill	6,000
Suffolk County Sewer District # 21	A	1989	1.7	2.5	Tertiary	331.7	(5)	Landfill	20,000
<u>Westchester County</u>									
Blind Brook (Rye)	A	2000+	3.6	5.0	Secondary (AS)	-	0.17	Pumped to Port Chester	25,000
Buchanan	A	1999+	0.3	0.5	Secondary (AS)	386.6	(5)	Trucked Out	2,100
Coachlight Sq. on the Hudson Association, Inc.*	A	1992+	0.03	0.05	Secondary (AS)	105.1	15.0	Trucked Out	210
Mamaroneck	A	1993+	14.6	20.6	Secondary (AS)	-	0.14	Pumped to New Rochelle	80,000
New Rochelle	A	1997+	14.4	13.6	Secondary (AS)	27,746.0	(9)	Landfill	80,000
Ossining	A	1981	4.8	7.0	Secondary (AS)	1,005.6	(5)	Trucked Out	36,000
Peekskill	A	1980	6.7	10.0	Secondary (AS)	29,955.0	2.2	Trucked to Landfill	32,500
Port Chester	A	1990+	4.2	6.0	Secondary (RBC)	1,442.0	(6,10)	Trucked Out	25,000
Springvale Sewerage Corporation*	A	1992+	0.1	0.13	Secondary (RBC)	5,959.3	(5)	Trucked Out	1,700
Yonkers Joint Treatment	A	2002+	96.3	120.0	Secondary (AS)	40,349.0	25.6	Compost	525,000

# WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS DISCHARGING INTO INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRICT WATERS

**2008**

	IEC RECEIVING WATER CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF CONSTR.	FLOW AVG. (MGD)	FLOW DESIGN (MGD)	TYPE OF TREATMENT	SLUDGE (1) GENERATED (TONS/YEAR)	SLUDGE (PERCENT SOLIDS)	SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHOD	ESTIMATED POPULATION SERVED
<b><u>PLANT</u></b>									
<b><u>Federal and Military</u></b>									
Camp Smith (Westchester County)	A	1997+	0.04	0.24	Secondary (TF)	18,000.0	3.0	Trucked Out	200 to 2,000
Veterans Administration Hudson Valley Healthcare System (Westchester County)	A	1982+	0.1	0.4	Secondary (TF)	-	-	Trucked Out	Patient Count

NOTE: Except for the IEC Receiving Water Classification, all information and data are supplied by the operating entities and are published as supplied.

- (+) Year of major additions or reconstruction.
  - (\*) Private or institutional sewage treatment plant.
  - (-) Denotes no information.
  - (1) Except where indicated, all volumes represent wet tons per year.
  - (2) Disposal method occurs off-site.
  - (3) Transferred by sea to dewatering facility for processing.
  - (4) Reported as dry tons per year.
  - (5) Estimated volume.
  - (6) Metric dry tons.
  - (7) Starting April 1, 2003, plants are permitted on a 12-month rolling average of daily flows instead of dry weather flows.
  - (8) All volumes are flow weighted.
  - (9) Includes sludge generated at Mamaroneck which pumps about one million gallons per day to New Rochelle.
  - (10) Includes sludge generated at Blind Brook which pumps about 264,248 gallons per day to Port Chester.
- (AS) Activated Sludge
(BO) Biochemical Oxidation
(OD) Oxidation Ditch

(RBC) Rotating Biological Contractor
(PO) Pure Oxygen
(RD) Rotating Disc
(TF) Trickling Filter

**INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION  
DISCONTINUANCE OF SANITARY FLOW**

<b>NAME</b>	<b>SPDES #</b>	<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>DATE (1)</b>	<b>CEASE FLOW (2)</b>	<b>DRAINAGE BASIN</b>	<b>DIVERT TO MUNICIPAL SYSTEM</b>
Jamaica Bay Peaking Facility	NY 0270423	Kings	12-18-07			X

(1) Official notice of action and notification by NYS DEC, Region 2.

(2) Operation of the facility has ceased permanently. A discharge is no longer occurring from this site.

**INTERSTATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMMISSION  
FINANCIAL STATEMENT FY 2008**

The Commission's accounting records are maintained on a cash basis and are audited annually. The following is a statement of cash receipts and disbursements for fiscal year July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008:

**CASH BOOK BALANCE AS OF JUNE 30, 2007** \$ 1,250,737.56

**RECEIPTS**

Connecticut - FY'08	\$ 96,880.00
New York - FY'08	411,280.00
New Jersey - FY'08	383,000.00
EPA - FY'06	30,800.00
EPA - FY'07	224,256.00
Blending Project	54,200.00
Byram River	1,000.00
Pass-through funds for Regional	-
Bypass Model Upgrade	31,000.00
Interest	41,054.05
Miscellaneous Receipts	<u>6,601.96</u>

TOTAL RECEIPTS 1,280,072.01

Sub-Total \$2,530,809.57

**DISBURSEMENTS**

TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS 1,868,814.09

**CASH BOOK BALANCE ON JUNE 30, 2008** \$661,995.48

U.S. Treasury Bills	\$404,250.40
Insured Money Market Accounts	247,471.80
Checking Accounts	<u>10,273.28</u>
	<u>\$661,995.48</u>

## GLOSSARY

<b>ACO</b>	administrative consent order
<b>ALJ</b>	administrative law judge
<b>ASIWPCA</b>	Association of State and Interstate Water Pollution Control Administrators
<b>AWPCP</b>	auxiliary water pollution control plant
<b>BLRA</b>	Bayonne Local Redevelopment Authority
<b>BMP</b>	best management practice
<b>BMWCA</b>	Bureau of Marine Water Classification and Analysis
<b>BNR</b>	biological nutrient removal
<b>BOD</b>	biochemical oxygen demand
<b>CAVF</b>	Corona Avenue vortex facility
<b>CCMP</b>	Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan
<b>CDX</b>	central data exchange
<b>CES</b>	Center for Environmental Science
<b>CESE</b>	Center of Environmental Science and Engineering
<b>CI</b>	cast iron
<b>CO</b>	consent order
<b>CSI</b>	College of Staten Island
<b>CSO</b>	combined sewer overflow
<b>CT</b>	Connecticut
<b>CWA</b>	Clean Water Act
<b>CW/CA</b>	Clean Water/Clean Air Bond Act
<b>DEC</b>	Department of Environmental Conservation
<b>DEF</b>	Department of Environmental Facilities
<b>DEP</b>	Department of Environmental Protection
<b>DESA</b>	Division of Environmental Science and Assessment
<b>DO</b>	dissolved oxygen
<b>DOH</b>	Department of Health
<b>DOS</b>	Department of Sanitation
<b>DPH</b>	Department of Public Health
<b>DPR</b>	Department of Parks and Recreation
<b>EPA</b>	Environmental Protection Agency
<b>EPF</b>	Environmental Protection Fund
<b>ESAR</b>	environmental sampling, analysis and results
<b>FDA</b>	Food and Drug Administration
<b>FEIS</b>	final environmental impact statement
<b>FY</b>	fiscal year
<b>GNHWPCA</b>	Greater New Haven Water Pollution Control Authority
<b>GPM</b>	gallons per minute
<b>GPS</b>	global positioning satellite
<b>HARS</b>	Historic Area Remediation Site
<b>HDPE</b>	high density polyethylene
<b>HEP</b>	Harbor Estuary Program
<b>HVAC</b>	heating, ventilating and air conditioning
<b>ICWP</b>	Interstate Council on Water Policy
<b>IE</b>	Independent Expert
<b>IEC</b>	Interstate Environmental Commission
<b>IED</b>	Interstate Environmental District
<b>IMT</b>	interim monitoring team
<b>I/I</b>	infiltration/inflow
<b>ISC</b>	Interstate Sanitation Commission
<b>JCO</b>	judicial consent order
<b>LDC</b>	legacy data center
<b>LIS</b>	Long Island Sound
<b>LISO</b>	Long Island Sound Office
<b>LISS</b>	Long Island Sound Study
<b>LTCP</b>	long-term control plan
<b>LWRP</b>	local waterfront revitalization program
<b>MC</b>	management committee
<b>MCUA</b>	Middlesex County Utilities Authority
<b>MF</b>	membrane filter
<b>MG</b>	million gallons
<b>MGD</b>	million gallons per day
<b>MPN</b>	most probable number
<b>MS4</b>	municipal separate storm sewer system

## GLOSSARY

(continued)

<b>MUA</b>	Municipal Utilities Authority
<b>NCHD</b>	Nassau County Health Department
<b>NELAC</b>	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference
<b>NELAP</b>	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
<b>NEMO</b>	Nonpoint Education for Municipal Officials
<b>NEP</b>	National Estuary Program
<b>NHSA</b>	North Hudson Sewerage Authority
<b>NJHDG</b>	New Jersey Harbor Dischargers Group
<b>NJPDES</b>	New Jersey Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>NPDES</b>	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>NOV</b>	notice of violation
<b>NPS</b>	National Park Service
<b>NRDC</b>	Natural Resources Defense Council
<b>N/SPDES</b>	National/State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>NSSP</b>	National Shellfish Sanitation Program
<b>NWQMC</b>	National Water Quality Monitoring Council
<b>NYC</b>	New York City
<b>NYS</b>	New York State
<b>O &amp; M</b>	operation and maintenance
<b>ORD</b>	Office of Research and Development
<b>OPRHP</b>	Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
<b>PCCP</b>	pre-stressed concrete cylinder pipe
<b>POTW</b>	publicly owned treatment works
<b>PVSC</b>	Passaic Valley Sewerage Commissioners
<b>QAPP</b>	quality assurance project plan
<b>QA/QC</b>	quality assurance/quality control
<b>RAS</b>	return activated sludge
<b>RBC</b>	rotating biological contactor
<b>RBWG</b>	Regional Bypass Workgroup
<b>R/V</b>	research vessel
<b>SBR</b>	sequencing batch reactors
<b>SCADA</b>	supervisory control and data acquisition system
<b>SCSD</b>	Suffolk County Sewer District
<b>SOP</b>	standard operating procedure
<b>SPDES</b>	State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>SSES</b>	sewer system evaluation survey
<b>SSO</b>	storm sewer overflows
<b>STORET</b>	<u>ST</u> orage and <u>RE</u> trieval, EPA's national water quality data base
<b>STP</b>	sewage treatment plant
<b>SUNY</b>	State University of New York
<b>SWMP</b>	solid waste management plan
<b>TOGS</b>	technical and operational guidance series
<b>TMDL</b>	total maximum daily load
<b>TRC</b>	total residual chlorine
<b>TSS</b>	total suspended solids
<b>UConn</b>	University of Connecticut
<b>USA</b>	Use and Standards Attainment Project
<b>USCG</b>	United States Coast Guard
<b>UV</b>	ultraviolet
<b>VCP</b>	vitrified clay pipe
<b>VFD</b>	variable frequency drive
<b>VOC</b>	volatile organic carbon
<b>WCDEF</b>	Westchester County Department of Environmental Facilities
<b>WEA</b>	Water Environment Association
<b>WEF</b>	Water Environment Federation
<b>WLA</b>	waste load allocation
<b>WPAF</b>	water pollution abatement facility
<b>WPCA</b>	Water Pollution Control Authority
<b>WPCP</b>	water pollution control plant
<b>WQS</b>	water quality standard
<b>WQX</b>	water quality exchange
<b>XML</b>	extensive markup language